Effective SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT SERIES Scott Meyers, Consulting Editor

MORE Effective (] # 50 Specific Ways to Improve Your C#



Many of the designations used by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks. Where those designations appear in this book, and the publisher was aware of a trademark claim, the designations have been printed with initial capital letters or in all capitals.

The author and publisher have taken care in the preparation of this book, but make no expressed or implied warranty of any kind and assume no responsibility for errors or omissions. No liability is assumed for incidental or consequential damages in connection with or arising out of the use of the information or programs contained herein.

The publisher offers excellent discounts on this book when ordered in quantity for bulk purchases or special sales, which may include electronic versions and/or custom covers and content particular to your business, training goals, marketing focus, and branding interests. For more information, please contact:

U.S. Corporate and Government Sales (800) 382-3419 corpsales@pearsontechgroup.com

For sales outside the United States please contact:

International Sales international@pearson.com

Visit us on the Web: informit.com/aw

```
Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
```

Wagner, Bill.
More effective C# : 50 specific ways to improve your C# / Bill Wagner.
p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 978-0-321-48589-2 (pbk. : alk. paper)
1. C# (Computer program language) 2. Database management. 3.
Microsoft .NET. I. Title.

QA76.73.C154W343 2008 005.13'3—dc22

2008030878

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Education, Inc.

All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. This publication is protected by copyright, and permission must be obtained from the publisher prior to any prohibited reproduction, storage in a retrieval system, or transmission in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or likewise. For information regarding permissions, write to:

Pearson Education, Inc. Rights and Contracts Department 501 Boylston Street, Suite 900 Boston, MA 02116 Fax (617) 671 3447 ISBN-13: 978-0-321-48589-2 ISBN-10: 0-321-48589-0 Text printed in the United States on recycled paper at Donnelley in Crawfordsville, IN. First printing, October 2008

Introduction

When Anders Hejlsberg first showed Language-Integrated Query (LINQ) to the world at the 2005 Professional Developers Conference (PDC), the C# programming world changed. LINQ justified several new features in the C# language: extension methods, local variable type inference, lambda expressions, anonymous types, object initializers, and collection initializers. C# 2.0 set the stage for LINQ by adding generics, iterators, static classes, nullable types, property accessor accessibility, and anonymous delegates. But all these features are useful outside LINQ: They are handy for many programming tasks that have nothing to do with querying data sources.

This book provides practical advice about the features added to the C# programming language in the 2.0 and 3.0 releases, along with advanced features that were not covered in my earlier *Effective C#: 50 Specific Ways to Improve Your C#* (Addison-Wesley, 2004). The items in *More Effective C#* reflect the advice I give developers who are adopting C# 3.0 in their professional work. There's a heavy emphasis on generics, an enabling technology for everything in C# 2.0 and 3.0. I discuss the new features in C# 3.0; rather than organize the topics by language feature, I present these tips from the perspective of recommendations about the programming problems that developers can best solve by using these new features.

Consistent with the other books in the Effective Software Development Series, this book contains self-contained items detailing specific advice about how to use C#. The items are organized to guide you from using C# 1.x to using C# 3.0 in the best way.

Generics are an enabling technology for all new idioms that are part of C# 3.0. Although only the first chapter specifically addresses generics, you'll find that they are an integral part of almost every item. After reading this book, you'll be much more comfortable with generics and metaprogramming.

Of course, much of the book discusses how to use C# 3.0 and the LINQ query syntax in your code. The features added in C# 3.0 are very useful in

their own right, whether or not you are querying data sources. These changes in the language are so extensive, and LINQ is such a large part of the justification for those changes, that each warrants its own chapter. LINQ and C# 3.0 will have a profound impact on how you write code in C#. This book will make that transition easier.

Who Should Read This Book?

This book was written for professional software developers who use C#. It assumes that you have some familiarity with C# 2.0 and C# 3.0. Scott Meyers counseled me that an *Effective* book should be a developer's second book on a subject. This book does not include tutorial information on the new language features added as the language has evolved. Instead, I explain how you can integrate these features into your ongoing development activities. You'll learn when to leverage the new language features in your development activities, and when to avoid certain practices that will lead to brittle code.

In addition to some familiarity with the newer features of the C# language, you should have an understanding of the major components that make up the .NET Framework: the .NET CLR (Common Language Runtime), the .NET BCL (Base Class Library), and the JIT (Just In Time) compiler. This book doesn't cover .NET 3.0 components, such as WCF (Windows Communication Foundation), WPF (Windows Presentation Foundation), and WF (Windows Workflow Foundation). However, all the idioms presented apply to those components as well as any other .NET Framework components you happen to prefer.

About the Content

Generics are the enabling technology for everything else added to the C# language since C# 1.1. Chapter 1 covers generics as a replacement for System.Object and casts and then moves on to discuss advanced techniques such as constraints, generic specialization, method constraints, and backward compatibility. You'll learn several techniques in which generics will make it easier to express your design intent.

Multicore processors are already ubiquitous, with more cores being added seemingly every day. This means that every C# developer needs to have a solid understanding of the support provided by the C# language for multi-

threaded programming. Although one chapter can't cover everything you need to be an expert, Chapter 2 discusses the techniques you'll need every day when you write multithreaded applications.

Chapter 3 explains how to express modern design idioms in C#. You'll learn the best way to express your intent using the rich palette of C# language features. You'll see how to leverage lazy evaluation, create composable interfaces, and avoid confusion among the various language elements in your public interfaces.

Chapter 4 discusses how to use the enhancements in C# 3.0 to solve the programming challenges you face every day. You'll see when to use extension methods to separate contracts from implementation, how to use C# closures effectively, and how to program with anonymous types.

Chapter 5 explains LINQ and query syntax. You'll learn how the compiler maps query keywords to method calls, how to distinguish between delegates and expression trees (and convert between them when needed), and how to escape queries when you're looking for scalar results.

Chapter 6 covers those items that defy classification. You'll learn how to define partial classes, work with nullable types, and avoid covariance and contravariance problems with array parameters.

Regarding the Sample Code

The samples in this book are not complete programs. They are the smallest snippets of code possible that illustrate the point. In several samples the method names substitute for a concept, such as AllocateExpensiveResource(). Rather than read pages of code, you can grasp the concept and quickly apply it to your professional development. Where methods are elided, the name implies what's important about the missing method.

In all cases, you can assume that the following namespaces are specified:

```
using System;
using System.Collections.Generic;
using System.Linq;
using System.Text;
```

Where types are used from other namespaces, I've explicitly included the namespace in the type.

xvi Introduction

In the first three chapters, I often show C# 2.0 and C# 3.0 syntax where newer syntax is preferred but not required. In Chapters 4 and 5 I assume that you would use the 3.0 syntax.

Making Suggestions and Providing Feedback

I've made every effort to remove all errors from this book, but if you believe you have found an error, please contact me at bill.wagner@srtsolutions.com. Errata will be posted to http://srtsolutions.com/blogs/MoreEffectiveCSharp.

Acknowledgments

A colleague recently asked me to describe what it feels like to finish a book. I replied that it gives you that same feeling of satisfaction and relief that shipping a software product gives you. It's very satisfying, and yet it's an incredible amount of work. Like shipping a software product, completing a book requires collaboration among many people, and all those people deserve thanks.

I was honored to be part of the Effective Software Development Series when I wrote *Effective C#* in 2004. To follow that up with *More Effective C#* and cover the numerous and far-reaching changes in the language since then is an even greater honor. The genesis of this book was a dinner I shared with Curt Johnson and Joan Murray at PDC 2005, when I expressed my excitement about the direction Hejlsberg and the rest of the C# team were presenting there. I was already taking notes about the changes and learning how they would affect the daily lives of C# developers.

Of course, it was some time before I felt comfortable in offering advice on all these new features. I needed to spend time using them and discussing different idioms with coworkers, customers, and other developers in the community. Once I felt comfortable with the new features, I began working on the new manuscript.

I was lucky enough to have an excellent team of technical reviewers. These people suggested new topics, modified the recommendations, and found scores of technical errors in earlier drafts. Bill Craun, Wes Dyer, Nick Paldino, Tomas Restrepo, and Peter Ritchie provided detailed technical feedback that made this book as useful as it is now. Pavin Podila reviewed those areas that mention WPF to ensure correctness. Throughout the writing process, I discussed many ideas with members of the community and the C# team. The regulars at the Ann Arbor .NET Developers Group, the Great Lakes Area .NET User Group, the Greater Lansing User Group, the West Michigan .NET User Group, and the Toledo .NET User Group acted as prototype audiences for much of the advice presented here. In addition, CodeMash attendees helped me decide what to leave in and what to leave out. In particular, I want to single out Dustin Campbell, Jay Wren, and Mike Woelmer for letting me discuss ideas with them. In addition, Mads Torgersen, Charlie Calvert, and Eric Lippert joined me in several conversations that helped clarify the advice detailed here. In particular, Charlie Calvert has the great skill of mixing an engineer's understanding with a writer's gift of clarity. Without all those discussions, this manuscript would be far less clear, and it would be missing a number of key concepts.

Having been through Scott Meyers's thorough review process twice now, I'd recommend any book in his series sight unseen. Although he's not a C# expert, he's highly gifted and clearly cares about the books in his series. Responding to his comments takes quite a bit of time, but it results in a much better book.

Throughout the whole process, Joan Murray has been an incredible asset. As editor, she's always on top of everything. She prodded me when I needed prodding, she provided a great team of reviewers, and she helped shepherd the book from inception through outlines, manuscript drafts, and finally into the version you hold now. Along with Curt Johnson, she makes working with Addison-Wesley a joy.

The last step is working with a copy editor. Betsy Hardinger was somehow able to translate an engineer's jargon into English without sacrificing technical correctness. The book you're holding is much easier to read after her edits.

Of course, writing a book takes a large investment of time. During that time, Dianne Marsh, the other owner of SRT Solutions, kept the company moving forward. The greatest sacrifice was from my family, who saw much less of me than they'd like while I was writing this book. The biggest thanks go to Marlene, Lara, Sarah, and Scott, who put up with me as I did this again.

78 Chapter 2 Multithreading in C#

Simply return from the background thread procedure, and handle the error in the event handler for the foreground results.

Earlier I said that I often use BackgroundWorker in classes that aren't the Form class, and even in non-Windows Forms applications, such as services or Web services. This works fine, but it does have some caveats. When BackgroundWorker determines that it is running in a Windows Forms application and the form is visible, the ProgressChanged and RunWorkerCompleted events are marshaled to the graphical user interface (GUI) thread via a marshaling control and Control.BeginInvoke (see Item 16 later in this chapter). In other scenarios, those delegates are simply called on a free thread pool thread. As you will see in Item 16, that behavior may affect the order in which events are received.

Finally, because BackgroundWorker is built on QueueUserWorkItem, you can reuse BackgroundWorker for multiple background requests. You need to check the IsBusy property of BackgroundWorker to see whether BackgroundWorker is currently running a task. When you need to have multiple background tasks running, you can create multiple BackgroundWorker objects. Each will share the same thread pool, so you have multiple tasks running just as you would with QueueUserWorkItem. You need to make sure that your event handlers use the correct sender property. This practice ensures that the background threads and foreground threads are communicating correctly.

BackgroundWorker supports many of the common patterns that you will use when you create background tasks. By using it you can reuse that implementation in your code, adding any of those patterns as needed. You don't have to design your own communication protocols between foreground and background threads.

Item 13: Use lock() as Your First Choice for Synchronization

Threads need to communicate with each other. Somehow, you need to provide a safe way for various threads in your application to send and receive data. However, sharing data between threads introduces the potential for data integrity errors in the form of synchronization issues. Therefore, you need to be certain that the current state of every shared data item is consistent. You achieve this safety by using **synchronization primitives** to protect access to the shared data. Synchronization primitives ensure that the current thread is not interrupted until a critical set of operations is completed. There are many primitives available in the .NET BCL that you can use to safely ensure that access to shared data is synchronized. Only one pair of them—Monitor.Enter() and Monitor.Exit()—was given special status in the C# language.Monitor.Enter() and Monitor.Exit() implement a critical section block. Critical sections are such a common synchronization technique that the language designers added support for them using the lock() statement. You should follow that example and make lock() your primary tool for synchronization.

The reason is simple: The compiler generates consistent code, but you may make mistakes some of the time. The C# language introduces the *lock* keyword to control synchronization for multithreaded programs. The lock statement generates exactly the same code as if you used Monitor.Enter() and Monitor.Exit() correctly. Furthermore, it's easier and it automatically generates all the exception-safe code you need.

However, under two conditions Monitor gives you necessary control that you can't get when you use lock(). First, be aware that lock is lexically scoped. This means that you can't enter a Monitor in one lexical scope and exit it in another when using the lock statement. Thus, you can't enter a Monitor in a method and exit it inside a lambda expression defined in that method (see Item 41, Chapter 5). The second reason is that Monitor.Enter supports a time-out, which I cover later in this item.

You can lock any reference type by using the lock statement:

```
public int TotalValue
{
    get
    {
        lock(syncHandle)
        {
             return total;
        }
    }
}
public void IncrementTotal()
{
    lock (syncHandle)
    {
        total++:
    }
}
```

The lock statement gets the exclusive monitor for an object and ensures that no other thread can access the object until the lock is released. The preceding sample code, using lock(), generates the same IL as the following version, using Monitor.Enter() and Monitor.Exit():

```
public void IncrementTotal()
{
    object tmpObject = syncHandle;
    System.Threading.Monitor.Enter(tmpObject);
    try
    {
        total++;
    }
    finally
    {
        System.Threading.Monitor.Exit(tmpObject);
    }
}
```

The lock statement provides many checks that help you avoid common mistakes. It checks that the type being locked is a reference type, as opposed to a value type. The Monitor.Enter method does not include such safeguards. This routine, using lock(), doesn't compile:

```
public void IncrementTotal()
{
    lock (total) // compiler error: can't lock value type
    {
        total++;
    }
}
But this does:
public void IncrementTotal()
{
    // really doesn't lock total.
    // locks a box containing total.
    Monitor.Enter(total);
    try
    {
        total++;
    }
```

```
finally
{
    // Might throw exception
    // unlocks a different box containing total
    Monitor.Exit(total);
}
}
```

Monitor.Enter() compiles because its official signature takes a System.Object. You can coerce total into an object by boxing it. Monitor.Enter() actually locks the box containing total. That's where the first bug lurks. Imagine that thread 1 enters IncrementTotal() and acquires a lock. Then, while incrementing total, the second thread calls IncrementTotal(). Thread 2 now enters IncrementTotal() and acquires the lock. It succeeds in acquiring a different lock, because total gets put into a different box. Thread 1 has a lock on one box containing the value of total. Thread 2 has a lock on another box containing the value of total. You've got extra code in place, and no synchronization.

Then you get bitten by the second bug: When either thread tries to release the lock on total, the Monitor.Exit() method throws a SynchronizationLockException. That's because total goes into yet another box to coerce it into the method signature for Monitor.Exit, which also expects a System.Object type. When you release the lock on this box, you unlock a resource that is different from the resource that was used for the lock. Monitor.Exit() fails and throws an exception.

Of course, some bright soul might try this:

```
public void IncrementTotal()
{
    // doesn't work either:
    object lockHandle = total;
    Monitor.Enter(lockHandle);
    try
    {
        total++;
    }
    finally
    {
        Monitor.Exit(lockHandle);
    }
}
```

This version doesn't throw any exceptions, but neither does it provide any synchronization protection. Each call to IncrementTotal() creates a new box and acquires a lock on that object. Every thread succeeds in immediately acquiring the lock, but it's not a lock on a shared resource. Every thread wins, and total is not consistent.

There are subtler errors that lock also prevents. Enter() and Exit() are two separate calls, so you can easily make the mistake of acquiring and releasing different objects. This action may cause a Synchronization-LockException. But if you happen to have a type that locks more than one synchronization object, it's possible to acquire two different locks in a thread and release the wrong one at the end of a critical section.

The lock statement automatically generates exception-safe code, something many of us humans forget to do. Also, it generates more-efficient code than Monitor.Enter() and Monitor.Exit(), because it needs to evaluate the target object only once. So, by default, you should use the lock statement to handle the synchronization needs in your C# programs.

However, there is one limitation to the fact that lock generates the same MSIL as Monitor.Enter(). The problem is that Monitor.Enter() waits forever to acquire the lock. You have introduced a possible deadlock condition. In large enterprise systems, you may need to be more defensive in how you attempt to access critical resources. Monitor.TryEnter() lets you specify a time-out for an operation and attempt a workaround when you can't access a critical resource.

You can wrap this technique in a handy little generic class:

```
public sealed class LockHolder<T> : IDisposable
    where T : class
{
    private T handle;
    private bool holdsLock;
    public LockHolder(T handle, int milliSecondTimeout)
    {
        this.handle = handle;
        holdsLock = System.Threading.Monitor.TryEnter(
            handle, milliSecondTimeout);
    }
    public bool LockSuccessful
    {
        get { return holdsLock; }
    }
    #region IDisposable Members
    public void Dispose()
    {
        if (holdsLock)
            System.Threading.Monitor.Exit(handle);
        // Don't unlock twice
        holdsLock = false;
    }
    #endregion
}
You would use this class in the following manner:
object lockHandle = new object();
using (LockHolder<object> lockObj = new LockHolder<object>
    (lockHandle, 1000))
{
    if (lockObj.LockSuccessful)
    {
        // work elided
    }
}
// Dispose called here.
```

The C# team added implicit language support for Monitor.Enter() and Monitor.Exit() pairs in the form of the lock statement because it is the most common synchronization technique that you will use. The extra checks that the compiler can make on your behalf make it easier to create synchronization code in your application. Therefore, lock() is the best choice for most synchronization between threads in your C# applications.

However, lock is not the only choice for synchronization. In fact, when you are synchronizing access to numeric types or are replacing a reference, the System.Threading.Interlocked class supports synchronizing single operations on objects.System.Threading.Interlocked has a number of methods that you can use to access shared data so that a given operation completes before any other thread can access that location. It also gives you a healthy respect for the kinds of synchronization issues that arise when you work with shared data.

Consider this method:

```
public void IncrementTotal()
{
    total++;
}
```

As written, interleaved access could lead to an inconsistent representation of the data. An increment operation is not a single machine instruction. The value of total must be fetched from main memory and stored in a register. Then the value of the register must be incremented, and the new value from the register must be stored back into the proper location in main memory. If another thread reads the value after the first thread, the second thread grabs the value from main memory but before storing the new value, thereby causing data inconsistency.

Suppose two threads interleave calls to IncrementTotal. Thread A reads the value of 5 from total. At that moment, the active thread switches to thread B. Thread B reads the value of 5 from total, increments it, and stores 6 in the value of total. At this moment, the active thread switches back to thread A. Thread A now increments the register value to 6 and stores that value in total. As a result, IncrementTotal() has been called twice—once by thread A, and once by thread B—but because of untimely interleaved access, the end effect is that only one update has occurred. These errors are hard to find, because they result from interleaved access at exactly the wrong moment. You could use lock() to synchronize this operation, but there is a better way. The Interlocked class has a simple method that fixes the problem: InterlockedIncrement. If you rewrite IncrementTotal as follows, the increment operation cannot be interrupted and both increment operations will always be recorded:

```
public void IncrementTotal()
{
    System.Threading.Interlocked.Increment(ref total);
}
```

The Interlocked class contains other methods to work with built-in data types. Interlocked.Decrement() decrements a value. Interlocked.Exchange() switches a value with a new value and returns the current value. You'd use Interlocked.Exchange() to set new state and return the preceding state. For example, suppose you want to store the user ID of the last user to access a resource. You can call Interlock-ed.Exchange() to store the current user ID while at the same time retrieving the previous user ID.

Finally, there is the CompareExchange() method, which reads the value of a piece of shared data and, if the value matches a sought value, updates it. Otherwise, nothing happens. In either case, CompareExchange returns the preceding value stored at that location. In the next section, Item 14 shows how to use CompareExchange to create a private lock object inside a class.

The Interlocked class and lock() are not the only synchronization primitives available. The Monitor class also includes the Pulse and Wait methods, which you can use to implement a consumer/producer design. You can also use the ReaderWriterLockSlim class for those designs in which many threads are accessing a value that few threads are modifying. ReaderWriterLockSlim contains several improvements over the earlier version of ReaderWriterLock. You should use ReaderWriterLockSlim for all new development.

For most common synchronization problems, examine the Interlocked class to see whether you can use it to provide the capabilities you need. With many single operations, you can. Otherwise, your first choice is the lock() statement. Look beyond those only when you need special-purpose locking capability.

That introduces a breaking change in the application. This code snippet sets the value of Marker to 5:

```
MyType t = new MyType();
t.NextMarker(); // t.Marker == 5
```

You can't avoid this problem entirely, but you can minimize its effects. This sample was contrived to exhibit bad behavior. In production code, the behavior of the extension method should be semantically the same as that of the class method having the same signature. If you can create a better, more efficient algorithm in a class, you should do that. However, you must ensure that the behavior is the same. If you do that, then this behavior won't affect program correctness.

When you find that your design calls for making an interface definition that many classes will be forced to implement, consider creating the smallest possible set of members defined in the interface. Then provide an implementation of convenience methods in the form of extension methods. In that way, class designers who implement your interface will have the least amount of work to do, and developers using your interface can get the greatest possible benefit.

Item 29: Enhance Constructed Types with Extension Methods

You'll probably use a number of constructed generic types in your application. You'll create specific collection types: List<int>, Dictionary<EmployeeID, Employee>, and many other collections. The purpose of creating these collections is that your application has a specific need for a collection of a certain type and you want to have specific behavior defined for those specific constructed types. To implement that functionality in a low-impact way, you can create a set of extension methods on specific constructed types.

You can see this pattern in the System.Linq.Enumerable class. Item 28 (in this chapter) discusses the extension pattern used by Enumerable<T> to implement many common methods on sequences as extension methods on IEnumerable<T>. In addition, Enumerable contains a number of methods that are implemented specifically for particular constructed types that implement IEnumerable<T>. For example, several numeric methods are implemented on numeric sequences (IEnumerable<int>, IEnumerable<double>, IEnumerable<long>, and IEnumerable<float>). Here

are a few of the extension methods implemented specifically for IEnumerable<int>:

```
public class Enumerable
{
    public static int Average(this IEnumerable<int>
        sequence);
    public static int Max(this IEnumerable<int> sequence);
    public static int Min(this IEnumerable<int> sequence);
    public static int Sum(this IEnumerable<int> sequence);
    // other methods elided
}
```

Once you recognize the pattern, you can see many ways you could implement the same kind of extensions for the constructed types in your own domain. If you were writing an e-commerce application and you wanted to send e-mail coupons to a set of customers, the method signature might look something like this:

Similarly, you could find all customers with no orders in the past month:

```
public static IEnumerable<Customer> LostProspects(
    this IEnumerable<Customer> targetList);
```

If you didn't have extension methods, you could achieve a similar effect by deriving a new type from the constructed generic type you used. For example, the Customer methods just shown could be implemented like this:

```
public class CustomerList : List<Customer>
{
    public void SendEmailCoupons(Coupon specialOffer);
    public static IEnumerable<Customer> LostProspects();
```

}

It works, but it is actually much more limiting than extension methods on IEnumerable<Customer> to the users of this list of customers. The difference in the method signatures provides part of the reason. The extension methods use IEnumerable<Customer> as the parameter, but the methods added to the derived class are based on List<Customer>. They

mandate a particular storage model. For that reason, they can't be composed as a set of iterator methods (see Item 17, Chapter 3). You've placed unnecessary design constraints on the users of these methods. That's a misuse of inheritance.

Another reason to prefer the extension methods as a way to implement this functionality has to do with the way queries are composed. The Lost-Prospects() method probably would be implemented something like this:

```
public static IEnumerable<Customer> LostProspects(
    IEnumerable<Customer> targetList)
{
    IEnumerable<Customer> answer =
        from c in targetList
        where DateTime.Now - c.LastOrderDate >
            TimeSpan.FromDays(30)
        select c;
    return answer;
}
```

Item 34 (later in this chapter) discusses why lambda expressions are preferred over methods in queries. Implementing these features as extension methods means that they provide a reusable query expressed as a lambda expression. You can reuse the entire query rather than try to reuse the predicate of the where clause.

If you examine the object model for any application or library you are writing, you'll likely find many constructed types used for the storage model. You should look at these constructed types and decide what methods logically would be added to each of them. It's best to create the implementation for those methods as extension methods by using either the constructed type or a constructed interface implemented by the type. You'll turn a simple generic instantiation into a class having all the behavior you need. Furthermore, you'll create that implementation in a manner that decouples the storage model from the implementation to the greatest extent possible.

Item 30: Prefer Implicitly Typed Local Variables

Implicitly typed local variables were added to the C# language to support anonymous types. A second reason for using implicitly typed locals is that

5 Working with LINQ

The driving force behind the language enhancements to C# 3.0 was LINQ. The new features and the implementation of those features were driven by the need to support deferred queries, translate queries into SQL to support LINQ to SQL, and add a unifying syntax to the various data stores. Chapter 4 shows you how the new language features can be used for many development idioms in addition to data query. This chapter concentrates on using those new features for querying data, regardless of source.

A goal of LINQ is that language elements perform the same work no matter what the data source is. However, even though the syntax works with all kinds of data sources, the query provider that connects your query to the actual data source is free to implement that behavior in a variety of ways. If you understand the various behaviors, it will make it easier to work with various data sources transparently. If you need to, you can even create your own data provider.

Item 36: Understand How Query Expressions Map to Method Calls

LINQ is built on two concepts: a query language, and a translation from that query language into a set of methods. The C# compiler converts query expressions written in that query language into method calls.

Every query expression has a mapping to a method call or calls. You should understand this mapping from two perspectives. From the perspective of a class user, you need to understand that your query expressions are nothing more than method calls. A where clause translates to a call to a method named Where(), with the proper set of parameters. As a class designer, you should evaluate the implementations of those methods provided by the base framework and determine whether you can create better implementations for your types. If not, you should simply defer to the base library versions. However, when you can create a better version, you must make sure that you fully understand the translation from query expressions into method calls. It's your responsibility to ensure that your method signatures correctly handle every translation case. For some of the query expressions, the correct path is rather obvious. However, it's a little more difficult to comprehend a couple of the more complicated expressions.

The full **query expression pattern** contains eleven methods. The following is the definition from *The C# Programming Language*, Third Edition, by Anders Hejlsberg, Mads Torgersen, Scott Wiltamuth, and Peter Golde (Microsoft Corporation, 2009), §7.15.3 (reprinted with permission from Microsoft Corporation):

```
delegate R Func<T1,R>(T1 arg1);
delegate R Func<T1,T2,R>(T1 arg1, T2 arg2);
class C
{
    public C<T> Cast<T>();
}
class C<T> : C
{
    public C<T> Where(Func<T, bool> predicate);
    public C<U> Select<U>(Func<T,U> selector);
    public C<V> SelectMany<U,V>(Func<T,C<U>> selector,
        Func<T,U,V> resultSelector);
    public C<V> Join<U,K,V>(C<U> inner,
        Func<T,K> outerKeySelector,
        Func<U,K> innerKeySelector,
        Func<T,U,V> resultSelector);
    public C<V> GroupJoin<U,K,V>(C<U> inner,
        Func<T,K> outerKeySelector,
        Func<U,K> innerKeySelector,
        Func<T,C<U>,V> resultSelector);
    public 0<T> OrderBy<K>(Func<T,K> keySelector);
    public O<T> OrderByDescending<K>(Func<T,K> keySelector);
    public C<G<K,T>> GroupBy<K>(Func<T,K> keySelector);
    public C<G<K,E>> GroupBy<K,E>(Func<T,K> keySelector,
        Func<T,E> elementSelector);
}
class O<T> : C<T>
{
```

```
public O<T> ThenBy<K>(Func<T,K> keySelector);
public O<T> ThenByDescending<K>(Func<T,K> keySelector);
}
class G<K,T> : C<T>
{
public K Key { get; }
}
```

The .NET base library provides two general-purpose reference implementations of this pattern. System.Linq.Enumerable provides extension methods on IEnumerable<T> that implement the query expression pattern. System.Linq.Queryable provides a similar set of extension methods on IQueryable<T> that supports a query provider's ability to translate queries into another format for execution. (For example, the LINQ to SQL implementation converts query expressions into SQL queries that are executed by the SQL database engine.) As a class user, you are probably using one of those two reference implementations for most of your queries.

Second, as a class author, you can create a data source that implements IEnumerable<T> or IQueryable<T> (or a closed generic type from IEnumerable<T> or IQueryable<T>), and in that case your type already implements the query expression pattern. Your type has that implementation because you're using the extension methods defined in the base library.

Before we go further, you should understand that the C# language does not enforce any execution semantics on the query expression pattern. You can create a method that matches the signature of one of the query methods and does anything internally. The compiler cannot verify that your Where method satisfies the expectations of the query expression pattern. All it can do is ensure that the syntactic contract is satisfied. This behavior isn't any different from that of any interface method. For example, you can create an interface method that does anything, whether or not it meets users' expectations.

Of course, this doesn't mean that you should ever consider such a plan. If you implement any of the query expression pattern methods, you should ensure that its behavior is consistent with the reference implementations, both syntactically and semantically. Except for performance differences, callers should not be able to determine whether your method is being used or the reference implementations are being used. Translating from query expressions to method invocations is a complicated iterative process. The compiler repeatedly translates expressions to methods until all expressions have been translated. Furthermore, the compiler has a specified order in which it performs these translations, although I'm not explaining them in that order. The compiler order is easy for the compiler and is documented in the C# specification. I chose an order that makes it easier to explain to humans. For our purposes, I discuss some of the translations in smaller, simpler examples.

In the following query, let's examine the where, select, and range variables:

The expression from n in numbers binds the range variable n to each value in numbers. The where clause defines a filter that will be translated into a where method. The expression where n < 5 translates to the following:

```
numbers.Where((n) \Rightarrow n < 5);
```

Where is nothing more than a filter. The output of Where is a proper subset of the input sequence containing only those elements that satisfy the predicate. The input and output sequences must contain the same type, and a correct Where method must not modify the items in the input sequence. (User-defined predicates may modify items, but that's not the responsibility of the query expression pattern.)

That where method can be implemented either as an instance method accessible to numbers or as an extension method matching the type of numbers. In the example, numbers is an array of int. Therefore, n in the method call must be an integer.

Where is the simplest of the translations from query expression to method call. Before we go on, let's dig a little deeper into how this works and what that means for the translations. The compiler completes its translation from query expression to method call before any overload resolution or type binding. The compiler does not know whether there are any candidate methods when the compiler translates the query expression to a method call. It doesn't examine the type, and it doesn't look for any candidate extension methods. It simply translates the query expression into the method call. After all queries have been translated into method call syntax, the compiler performs the work of searching for candidate methods and then determining the best match.

Next, you can extend that simple example to include the select expression in the query. Select clauses are translated into Select methods. However, in certain special cases the Select method can be optimized away. The sample query is a **degenerate select**, selecting the range variable. Degenerate select queries can be optimized away, because the output sequence is not equal to the input sequence. The sample query has a where clause, which breaks that identity relationship between the input sequence and the output sequence. Therefore, the final method call version of the query is this:

var smallNumbers = numbers.Where(n => n < 5);</pre>

The select clause is removed because it is redundant. That's safe because the select operates on an immediate result from another query expression (in this example, where).

When the select does not operate on the immediate result of another expression, it cannot be optimized away. Consider this query:

var allNumbers = from n in numbers select n;

It will be translated into this method call:

```
var allNumbers = numbers.Select(n => n);
```

While we're on this subject, note that select is often used to transform or project one input element into a different element or into a different type. The following query modifies the value of the result:

Or you could transform the input sequence into a different type as follows:

The select clause maps to a Select method that matches the signature in the query expression pattern:

```
var squares = numbers.Select(n =>
    new { Number = n, Square = n * n});
```

Select transforms the input type into the output type. A proper select method must produce exactly one output element for each input element. Also, a proper implementation of Select must not modify the items in the input sequence.

That's the end of the simpler query expressions. Now we discuss some of the less obvious transformations.

Ordering relations map to the OrderBy and ThenBy methods, or Order-ByDescending and ThenByDescending. Consider this query:

```
var people = from e in employees
    where e.Age > 30
    orderby e.LastName, e.FirstName, e.Age
    select e;
```

It translates into this:

```
var people = employees.Where(e => e.Age > 30).
OrderBy(e => e.LastName).
ThenBy(e => e.FirstName).
ThenBy(e => e.Age);
```

Notice in the definition of the query expression pattern that ThenBy operates on a sequence returned by OrderBy or ThenBy. Those sequences can contain markers that enable ThenBy to operate on the sorted subranges when the sort keys are equal.

This transformation is not the same if the orderby clauses are expressed as different clauses. The following query sorts the sequence entirely by LastName, then sorts the entire sequence again by FirstName, and then sorts again by Age:

```
// Not correct. Sorts the entire sequence three times.
var people = from e in employees
    where e.Age > 30
    orderby e.LastName
    orderby e.FirstName
    orderby e.Age
    select e;
```

As separate queries, you could specify that any of the orderby clauses use descending order:

```
var people = from e in employees
    where e.Age > 30
    orderby e.LastName descending
    thenby e.FirstName
    thenby e.Age
    select e;
```

The OrderBy method creates a different sequence type as its output so that thenby clauses can be more efficient and so that the types are correct for the overall query. OrderBy cannot operate on an unordered sequence, only on a sorted sequence (typed as O<T> in the sample). Subranges are already sorted and marked. If you create your own orderby and thenby methods for a type, you must adhere to this rule. You'll need to add an identifier to each sorted subrange so that any subsequent thenby clause can work properly. ThenBy methods need to be typed to take the output of an OrderBy or ThenBy method and then sort each subrange correctly.

Everything I've said about OrderBy and ThenBy also applies to OrderBy-Descending and ThenByDescending. In fact, if your type has a custom version of any of those methods, you should almost always implement all four of them.

The remaining expression translations involve multiple steps. Those queries involve either groupings or multiple from clauses that introduce continuations. Query expressions that contain continuations are translated into nested queries. Then those nested queries are translated into methods. Following is a simple query with a continuation:

```
var results = from e in employees
    group e by e.Department into d
    select new { Department = d.Key,
    Size = d.Count() };
```

Before any other translations are performed, the continuation is translated into a nested query:

```
var results = from d in
from e in employees group e by e.Department
select new { Department = d.Key, Size = d.Count()};
```

Once the nested query is created, the methods translate into the following:

```
var results = employees.GroupBy(e => e.Department).
Select(d => new { Department = d.Key, Size = d.Count()});
```

The foregoing query shows a GroupBy that returns a single sequence. The other GroupBy method in the query expression pattern returns a sequence of groups in which each group contains a key and a list of values:

```
var results = from e in employees
  group e by e.Department into d
  select new { Department = d.Key,
  Employees = d.AsEnumerable()};
```

That query maps to the following method calls:

```
var results2 = employees.GroupBy(e => e.Department).
Select(d => new { Department = d.Key,
Employees = d.AsEnumerable()});
```

GroupBy methods produce a sequence of key/value list pairs; the keys are the group selectors, and the values are the sequence of items in the group. The query select clause may create new objects for the values in each group. However, the output should always be a sequence of key/value pairs in which the value contains some element created by each item in the input sequence that belongs to that particular group.

The final methods to understand are SelectMany, Join, and GroupJoin. These three methods are complicated, because they work with multiple input sequences. The methods that implement these translations perform the enumerations across multiple sequences and then flatten the resulting sequences into a single output sequence. SelectMany performs a cross join on the two source sequences. For example, consider this query:

```
int[] odds = {1,3,5,7};
int[] evens = {2,4,6,8};
var pairs = from oddNumber in odds
    from evenNumber in evens
    select new {oddNumber, evenNumber,
    Sum=oddNumber+evenNumber};
```

It produces a sequence having 16 elements:

```
1,2, 3
1,4, 5
```

1,6, 7 1,8, 9 3,2, 5 3,4, 7 3,6, 9 3,8, 11 5,2, 7 5,4, 9 5,6, 11 5,8, 13 7,2, 9 7,4, 11 7,6, 13 7,8, 15

Query expressions that contain multiple select clauses are translated into a SelectMany method call. The sample query would be translated into the following SelectMany call:

```
int[] odds = { 1, 3, 5, 7 };
int[] evens = { 2, 4, 6, 8 };
var values = odds.SelectMany(oddNumber => evens,
    (oddNumber, evenNumber) =>
    new { oddNumber, evenNumber,
    Sum = oddNumber + evenNumber });
```

The first parameter to SelectMany is a function that maps each element in the first source sequence to the sequence of elements in the second source sequence. The second parameter (the output selector) creates the projections from the pairs of items in both sequences.

SelectMany() iterates the first sequence. For each value in the first sequence, it iterates the second sequence, producing the result value from the pair of input values. The output selected is called for each element in a flattened sequence of every combination of values from both sequences. One possible implementation of SelectMany is as follows:

```
static IEnumerable<TOutput> SelectMany<T1, T2, TOutput>(
    this IEnumerable<T1> src,
    Func<T1, IEnumerable<T2>> inputSelector,
    Func<T1, T2, TOutput> resultSelector)
{
    foreach (T1 first in src)
```

```
{
    foreach (T2 second in inputSelector(first))
        yield return resultSelector(first, second);
    }
}
```

The first input sequence is iterated. Then the second input sequence is iterated using the current value on the input sequence. That's important, because the input selector on the second sequence may depend on the current value in the first sequence. Then, as each pair of elements is generated, the result selector is called on each pair.

If your query has more expressions and if SelectMany does not create the final result, then SelectMany creates a tuple that contains one item from each input sequence. Sequences of that tuple are the input sequence for later expressions. For example, consider this modified version of the original query:

```
int[] odds = { 1, 3, 5, 7 };
int[] evens = { 2, 4, 6, 8 };
var values = from oddNumber in odds
    from evenNumber in evens
    where oddNumber > evenNumber
    select new { oddNumber, evenNumber,
    Sum = oddNumber + evenNumber };
```

It produces this SelectMany method call:

```
odds.SelectMany(oddNumber => evens,
    (oddNumber, evenNumber) =>
    new {oddNumber, evenNumber});
```

The full query is then translated into this statement:

```
var values = odds.SelectMany(oddNumber => evens,
  (oddNumber, evenNumber) =>
  new { oddNumber, evenNumber }).
  Where(pair => pair.oddNumber > pair.evenNumber).
  Select(pair => new {
    pair.oddNumber,
    pair.evenNumber,
    Sum = pair.oddNumber + pair.evenNumber });
```

You can see another interesting property in the way SelectMany gets treated when the compiler translates multiple from clauses into Select-Many method calls. SelectMany composes well. More than two from clauses will produce more than one SelectMany() method call. The resulting pair from the first SelectMany() call will be fed into the second SelectMany(), which will produce a triple. The triple will contain all combinations of all three sequences. Consider this query:

```
var triples = from n in new int[] { 1, 2, 3 }
    from s in new string[] { "one", "two",
        "three" }
    from r in new string[] { "I", "II", "III" }
    select new { Arabic = n, Word = s, Roman = r };
```

It will be translated into the following method calls:

```
var numbers = new int[] {1,2,3};
var words = new string[] {"one", "two", "three"};
var romanNumerals = new string[] { "I", "II", "III" };
var triples = numbers.SelectMany(n => words,
    (n, s) => new { n, s}).
    SelectMany(pair => romanNumerals,
    (pair,n) =>
        new { Arabic = pair.n, Word = pair.s, Roman = n });
```

As you can see, you can extend from three to any arbitrary number of input sequences by applying more SelectMany() calls. These later examples also demonstrate how SelectMany can introduce anonymous types into your queries. The sequence returned from SelectMany() is a sequence of some anonymous type.

Now let's look at the two other translations you need to understand: Join and GroupJoin. Both are applied on join expressions. GroupJoin is always used when the join expression contains an into clause. Join is used when the join expression does not contain an into clause.

A join without an into looks like this:

It translates into the following:

```
var query = numbers.Join(labels, num => num.ToString(),
    label => label, (num, label) => new { num, label });
```

The into clause creates a list of subdivided results:

That translates into a GroupJoin:

```
var groups = projects.GroupJoin(tasks,
    p => p, t => t.Parent, (p, projTasks) =>
    new { Project = p, TaskList = projTasks });
```

The entire process of converting all expressions into method calls is complicated and often takes several steps.

The good news is that for the most part, you can happily go about your work secure in the knowledge that the compiler does the correct translation. And because your type implements IEnumerable<T>, users of your type are getting the correct behavior.

But you may have that nagging urge to create your own version of one or more of the methods that implement the query expression pattern. Maybe your collection type is always sorted on a certain key, and you can shortcircuit the OrderBy method. Maybe your type exposes lists of lists, and this means that you may find that GroupBy and GroupJoin can be implemented more efficiently.

More ambitiously, maybe you intend to create your own provider and you'll implement the entire pattern. That being the case, you need to understand the behavior of each query method and know what should go into your implementation. Refer to the examples, and make sure you understand the expected behavior of each query method before you embark on creating your own implementations.

Many of the custom types you define model some kind of collection. The developers who use your types will expect to use your collections in the same way that they use every other collection type, with the built-in query syntax. As long as you support the IEnumerable<T> interface for any type that models a collection, you'll meet that expectation. However, your types may be able to improve on the default implementation by using the inter-

nal specifics in your type. When you choose to do that, ensure that your type matches the contract from the query pattern in all forms.

Item 37: Prefer Lazy Evaluation Queries

When you define a query, you don't actually get the data and populate a sequence. You are actually defining only the set of steps that you will execute when you choose to iterate that query. This means that each time you execute a query, you perform the entire recipe from first principles. That's usually the right behavior. Each new enumeration produces new results, in what is called **lazy evaluation**. However, often that's not what you want. When you grab a set of variables, you want to retrieve them once and retrieve them now, in what is called **eager evaluation**.

Every time you write a query that you plan to enumerate more than once, you need to consider which behavior you want. Do you want a snapshot of your data, or do you want to create a description of the code you will execute in order to create the sequence of values?

This concept is a major change in the way you are likely accustomed to working. You probably view code as something that is executed immediately. However, with LINQ queries, you're injecting code into a method. That code will be invoked at a later time. More than that, if the provider uses expression trees instead of delegates, those expression trees can be combined later by combining new expressions into the same expression tree.

Let's start with an example to explain the difference between lazy and eager evaluation. The following bit of code generates a sequence and then iterates that sequence three times, with a pause between iterations.

```
private static IEnumerable<TResult>
    Generate<TResult>(int number, Func<TResult> generator)
{
    for (int i = 0; i < number; i++)
        yield return generator();
}
private static void LazyEvaluation()
{
    Console.WriteLine("Start time for Test One: {0}",
        DateTime.Now);
    var sequence = Generate(10, () => DateTime.Now);
```

```
orderby p.GoalsScored
select p).Skip(2).First();
```

I chose First() rather than Take() to emphasize that I wanted exactly one element, and not a sequence containing one element. Note that because I use First() instead of FirstOrDefault(), the compiler assumes that at least three forwards have scored goals.

However, once you start looking for an element in a specific position, it's likely that there is a better way to construct the query. Are there different properties you should be looking for? Should you look to see whether your sequence supports IList<T> and supports index operations? Should you rework the algorithm to find exactly the one item? You may find that other methods of finding results will give you much clearer code.

Many of your queries are designed to return one scalar value. Whenever you query for a single value, it's best to write your query to return a scalar value rather than a sequence of one element. Using Single() means that you expect to always find exactly one item. SingleOrDefault() means zero or one item. First and Last mean that you are pulling one item out of a sequence. Using any other method of finding one item likely means that you haven't written your query as well as you should have. It won't be as clear for developers using your code or maintaining it later.

Item 44: Prefer Storing Expression<> to Func<>

In Item 42 (earlier in this chapter) I briefly discuss how query providers such as LINQ to SQL examine queries before execution and translate them into their native format. LINQ to Objects, in contrast, implements queries by compiling lambda expressions into methods and creating delegates that access those methods. It's plain old code, but the access is implemented through delegates.

LINQ to SQL (and any other query provider) performs this magic by asking for query expressions in the form of a System.Linq.Expressions.Expression object. Expression is an abstract base class that represents an expression. One of the classes derived from Expression is System.Linq.Expressions.Expression<TDelegate>, where TDelegate is a delegate type. Expression<TDelegate> represents a lambda expression as a data structure. You can analyze it by using the Body, Node-Type, and Parameters properties. Furthermore, you can compile it into a delegate by using the Expression<TDelegate>.Compile() method. That makes Expression<TDelegate> more general than Func<T>. Simply put, Func<T> is a delegate that can be invoked. Expression<TDelegate> can be examined, or it can be compiled and then invoked in the normal way.

When your design includes the storage of lambda expressions, you'll have more options if you store them using Expression<T>. You don't lose any features; you simply have to compile the expression before invoking it:

```
Expression<Func<int, bool>> compound = val =>
   (val % 2 == 1) && (val > 300);
Func<int, bool> compiled = compound.Compile();
Console.WriteLine(compiled(501));
```

The Expression class provides methods that allow you to examine the logic of an expression. You can examine an expression tree and see the exact logic that makes up the expression. The C# team provides a reference implementation for examining an expression with the C# samples delivered with Visual Studio 2008. The Expression Tree Visualizer sample, which includes source code, provides code that examines each node type in an expression tree and displays the contents of that node. It recursively visits each subnode in the tree; this is how you would examine each node in a tree in an algorithm to visit and modify each node.

Working with expressions and expression trees instead of functions and delegates can be a better choice, because expressions have quite a bit more functionality: You can convert an Expression to a Func, and you can traverse expression trees, meaning that you can create modified versions of the expressions. You can use Expression to build new algorithms at runtime, something that is much harder to do with Func.

This habit helps you by letting you later combine expressions using code. In this way, you build an expression tree that contains multiple clauses. After building the code, you can call Compile() and create the delegate when you need it.

Here is one way to combine two expressions to form a larger expression:

```
Expression<Func<int, bool>> IsOdd = val => val % 2 == 1;
Expression<Func<int, bool>> IsLargeNumber = val => val > 300;
InvocationExpression callLeft = Expression.Invoke(IsOdd,
Expression.Constant(5));
InvocationExpression callRight = Expression.Invoke(
IsLargeNumber,
Expression.Constant(5));
```

```
BinaryExpression Combined =
    Expression.MakeBinary(ExpressionType.And,
    callLeft, callRight);
// Convert to a typed expression:
Expression<Func<bool>> typeCombined =
    Expression.Lambda<Func<bool>>(Combined);
Func<bool> compiled = typeCombined.Compile();
bool answer = compiled();
```

This code creates two small expressions and combines them into a single expression. Then it compiles the larger expression and executes it. If you're familiar with either CodeDom or Reflection.Emit, the Expression APIs can provide similar metaprogramming capabilities. You can visit expressions, create new expressions, compile them to delegates, and finally execute them.

Working with expression trees is far from simple. Because expressions are immutable, it's a rather extensive undertaking to create a modified version of an expression. You need to traverse every node in the tree and either (1) copy it to the new tree or (2) replace the existing node with a different expression that produces the same kind of result. Several implementations of expression tree visitors have been written, as samples and as open source projects. I don't add yet another version here. A Web search for "expression tree visitor" will find several implementations.

The System.Linq.Expressions namespace contains a rich grammar that you can use to build algorithms at runtime. You can construct your own expressions by building the complete expression from its components. The following code executes the same logic as the previous example, but here I build the lambda expression in code:

```
// The lambda expression has one parameter:
ParameterExpression parm = Expression.Parameter(
    typeof(int), "val");
// We'll use a few integer constants:
ConstantExpression threeHundred = Expression.Constant(300,
    typeof(int));
ConstantExpression one = Expression.Constant(1, typeof(int));
ConstantExpression two = Expression.Constant(2, typeof(int));
```

```
// Creates (val > 300)
BinaryExpression largeNumbers =
    Expression.MakeBinary(ExpressionType.GreaterThan,
    parm, threeHundred);
// creates (val % 2)
BinaryExpression modulo = Expression.MakeBinary(
    ExpressionType.Modulo.
    parm, two);
// builds ((val % 2) == 1), using modulo
BinaryExpression isOdd = Expression.MakeBinary(
    ExpressionType.Equal,
    modulo, one);
// creates ((val % 2) == 1) && (val > 300),
// using isOdd and largeNumbers
BinaryExpression lambdaBody =
    Expression.MakeBinary(ExpressionType.AndAlso,
    isOdd, largeNumbers);
// creates val => (val % 2 == 1) && (val > 300)
// from lambda body and parameter.
LambdaExpression lambda = Expression.Lambda(lambdaBody, parm);
// Compile it:
Func<int, bool> compiled = lambda.Compile() as
    Func<int, bool>;
// Run it:
Console.WriteLine(compiled(501));
```

Yes, using Expression to build your own logic is certainly more complicated than creating the expression from the Func<> definitions shown earlier. This kind of metaprogramming is an advanced topic. It's not the first tool you should reach for in your toolbox.

Even if you don't build and modify expressions, libraries you use might do so. You should consider using Expression<> instead of Func<> when your lambda expressions are passed to unknown libraries whose implementations might use the expression tree logic to translate your algorithms into a different format. Any IQueryProvider, such as LINQ to SQL, would perform that translation. Also, you might create your own additions to your type that would be better served by expressions than by delegates. The justification is the same: You can always convert expressions into delegates, but you can't go the other way.

You may find that delegates are an easier way to represent lambda expressions, and conceptually they are. Delegates can be executed. Most C# developers understand them, and often they provide all the functionality you need. However, if your type will store expressions and passing those expressions to other objects is not under your control, or if you will compose expressions into more-complex constructs, then you should consider using expressions instead of funcs. You'll have a richer set of APIs that will enable you to modify those expressions at runtime and invoke them after you have examined them for your own internal purposes.

Index

Α

Abstract base classes, 121, 126–127 Action delegates, 113 Action method, 11, 113 Action methods, 114, 223 Actions decoupling iterations from, 112–116 exceptions in, 222-225 Add method Example, 36–37 operator +, 135-136 Vector, 128-129 Addition operators (+, +=), 135–136 AddRange method, 183–184 AddToStream method, 31 Algorithm runtime type checking, 19 - 26Aliases array, 270 closed generic type, 55 Ambiguities from overloads, 127–134 Anonymous delegates, 94 Anonymous types local functions on, 191–195 for type scope, 176–180 Arrays parameters, 266–271 sorting, 11

ArrayTypeMismatchException class, 266 as operator, 59 AsEnumerable method, 244 AsQueryable method, 246

B

Background threads, 68 BackgroundWorker class, 74–78 Base Class Library (BCL), 41 Base classes abstract, 121, 126–127 generic specialization on, 42-46 inheritance from, 157–158 **BaseType class**, 279 BCL (Base Class Library), 41 BeginInvoke method Control, 78, 101 ControlExtensions, 102 Dispatcher, 93–94 Behavior array covariance, 270 must-have, 14 properties, 150–156 BindingList class, 13, 117–118 **Bound variables** in closures, 229-231 modifying, 185–191

C

C# 3.0 language enhancements, 163 anonymous types local functions on, 191-195 for type scope, 176–180 bound variables, 185–191 composable APIs, 180–185 extension methods constructed types, 167–169 *minimal interface contracts, 163–167* overloading, 196-200 implicitly typed local variables, 169-176 Call stacks exceptions on, 147 in multithreading, 92, 100 Callbacks, 91–92 Calls cross-thread, 93-103 mapping query expressions to, 201 - 213virtual functions in constructors, 271 - 274

CancellationPending flag, 77

Candidate methods for compiler choices, 128

Capturing expensive resources, 229–242

ChangeName method, 53–54

CheckEquality method, 58–59

Classes

abstract, 121, 126–127 derived, 157–158, 272, 279 generic specialization on, 42–46 inheritance from, 157–158 interface separation, 121–122 nested, 191, 193, 239 partial, 261–266 Classic interfaces with generic interfaces, 56-62 Closed generic types, 2 Closure class, 190, 230-231 Closures bound variables in, 229-231 nested classes for, 239 CLS (Common Language Specification), 134 Collections, 1, 5 for enumerator patterns, 27 processing, 10-12, 105-106 random access support, 21 COM (Component Object Model), 93 **Common Language Specification** (CLS), 134 Comparable class, 17, 164 CompareExchange method, 85, 88 Comparer class, 47, 61 CompareTo method IComparable, 7-8, 163-164 Name, 57–58 Order, 9 Comparing strings, 47 Comparison method, 11 Compile method, 249–250 Compile-time type inference, 26–32 Component class, 75 Component Object Model (COM), 93 **Composable APIs** for external components, 180–185 for sequences, 105–112 Composable methods, 180 Composite keys, 179 Composition vs. inheritance, 156–162 Concatenation, 111–112, 135

ConsoleExtensions namespace, 197 Constraints minimal and sufficient, 14-19 on type parameters, 36-42 Constructed types, 167-169 Constructors partial methods for, 261–266 virtual functions in, 271–274 Continuation methods, 109 ControlExtensions class, 95–98, 101 - 102ControlInvoke method, 93 Converter delegate, 11–12 Count property, 23 Coupling and events, 137-139 loosening, 120–127 Covariance behavior of arrays, 270 CreateSequence method, 117–119, 124 Critical section blocks, 78-79, 82 Cross-thread communication BackgroundWorker for, 74-78 in Windows Forms and WPF, 93–103 CurrencyManager class, 13

D

Data member properties, 150 Data sources, IEnumerable vs. IQueryable, 242–246 Deadlocks causes, 66, 91 scope limiting for, 86–90 Declarative code, 225 Declaring nonvirtual events, 139–146 Decoupling iterations, 112–116 Decrement method, 85 default method, 17 Default property, 6 DefaultParse method, 181, 232, 255 Deferred execution benefits, 106 bound variables in, 191 composability, 109 vs. early execution, 225–229 and locks, 89 Degenerate selects, 205 Delegates action, 113 anonymous, 94 converter, 11-12 for method constraints on type parameters, 36-42 Dependencies, 120 Derived classes with events, 140–143 inheritance by, 157–158 with ref modifier, 53 and virtual functions, 93, 272-274 and virtual implied properties, 279 DerivedType class, 279 Deserialization, 258 Design practices, 105 composable APIs for sequences, 105 - 112declaring nonvirtual events, 139-146 decoupling iterations, 112–116 events and runtime coupling, 137–139 exceptions, 146-150 function parameters, 120-127 inheritance vs. composition, 156–162 method groups, 127–134

Design practices (continued) methods vs. overloading operators, 134-137 property behavior, 150-156 sequence items, 117-120 Dictionary class, 5 Dispatcher class, 93, 95, 99-100 DispatcherObject class, 97 Disposable type parameters support, 32 - 35**Dispose** method event handler, 139 Generator, 235–236 IDisposable, 33–35 LockHolder, 83 ReverseEnumerable, 20 ReverseStringEnumerator, 24 weak references, 276 DoesWorkThatMightFail class, 148–150 DoWork method, 75-76, 148-150

Ε

Eager evaluation, 213 Early execution vs. deferred, 225–229 End-of-task cycle in multithreading, 67–68 EndInvoke method, 94 engine_RaiseProgress method, 91 EngineDriver class, 33–35 Enhancements. *See* Language enhancements Enumerable class extension methods, 163, 167–168, 185, 203, 243 numeric types, 45 EnumerateAll method, 11 **Enumerations**, 112 EqualityComparer class, 6 Equals method EmployeeComparer, 6 IEqualityComparer, 5-6 Name, 57–59 Object, 16-17 Order, 9–10 overriding, 135–136 Equals operator (==) implementing, 59–60 overloading, 134-136 Equatable class, 17, 61 Error codes, 146–147 Error property, 77 Evaluation queries, 213-218 EventHandler method, 12-13 Events and event handlers declaring, 139-146 generics for, 12–13 multithreading, 66, 75-78 partial methods for, 261–266 predicates, 27 and runtime coupling, 137–139 EveryNthItem method, 115 Exception-safe code multithreading, 79, 82 and queries, 224 Exceptions delegates, 101, 126 in functions and actions, 222-225 for method contract failures, 146-150 multithreading, 75, 77, 81-82 null reference, 183 Exchange method, 85

Exists method, 147 Exit method, 79-82, 84 Expensive resources, capturing, 229 - 242ExpensiveSequence method, 238–239 Expression class vs. Func, 249–253 Expression patterns, 202–203 **Expression Tree Visualizer sample, 250 Expression trees**, 243 Expressions, query, 201–213 Expressions namespace, 251 Extension methods, 133 constructed types with, 167–169 minimal interface contracts, 163-167 overloading, 196-200 External components, composable APIs for, 180-185

F

Factory class, 18 FactoryFunc class, 18 Failure reporting for method contracts, 146–150 FillArray method, 268–269 Filter method, 114–115 Filters, 114–115 Find method, 27 FindAll method, 27 FindValue method, 191–192 FindValues method Closure, 190 ModFilter, 187–189 First method, 247–249 FirstOrDefault method, 248 Forcing compile-time type inference, 26 - 32ForEach method and foreach loops collections, 10-12 List, 27 Format method ConsoleReport, 197 XmlReport, 198 FormatAsText method, 199 FormatAsXML method, 199 Func method delegates, 37-38 vs. Expression, 249–253 .NET library, 113 **Functions** on anonymous types, 191-195 decoupling iterations from, 112–116 exceptions in, 222-225 parameters, 120-127 virtual, 271-274

G

Garbage collection expensive resources, 229–231, 238–240 and weak references, 274–277 GeneratedStuff class, 262–265 Generator class, 235–236 Generic namespace, 7 Generic type definitions, 2–3 Generics, 1–3 1.x Framework API class replacements, 4–14 algorithm runtime type checking, 19–26 with base classes and interfaces, 42–46 classic interfaces in addition to, 56–62 Generics (continued) compile-time type inference, 26–32 constraints, 14-19 delegates for method constraints on type parameters, 36–42 disposable type parameters support, 32-35 tuples, 50–56 type parameters as instance fields, 46 - 50Generics namespace, 5 GenericXmlPersistenceManager class, 30 - 32get accessors, 150 GetEnumerator method, 21–23, 26-27, 163 GetHashCode method EmployeeComparer, 6 IEqualityComparer, 5–6 overriding, 59, 135 GetNextNumber method, 235 GetSyncHandle method, 88 GetUnderlyingType method, 10 GetValueOrDefault method, 257 Greater-than operators (>, >=)implementing, 61 overloading, 135, 137 GreaterThan method, 164 GreaterThanEqual method, 164 GroupBy method, 208, 212 GroupInto method, 163 GroupJoin method, 208, 211–212

Η

Handles, lock, 86–90 Hero class, 69 Hero of Alexandria algorithm, 69 Higher-order functions, 192–193

I

IAdd interface, 36 IBindingList interface, 13 ICancelAddNew interface, 13 ICloneable interface, 10 ICollection interface, 5, 23 extensions, 183-185 and IList, 22 inheritance by, 62 IComparable interface, 7–10, 15 extensions, 164 implementing, 41, 60-61, 122 nullable types, 259 IComparer interface, 5, 7, 47 IContract interface, 158, 160 IContractOne interface, 160 IContractTwo interface, 160 IDictionary interface, 5 **IDisposable interface** expensive resources, 230-231 type parameters, 32–35 weak references, 276-277 IEngine interface, 33 IEnumerable interface, 5, 185 collection processing, 10–12 constraints, 17 extensions, 163, 167-169 implementing, 41 inheritance from, 62 vs. IQueryable, 242–246 for LINQ, 203, 222 random access support, 21 sequence output, 38–39 typed local variables, 170, 174–175 IEnumerator interface, 21-25, 163 IEquality interface, 59 IEqualityComparer interface, 5-6 IEquatable interface, 5–6 anonymous types, 195 implementing, 41, 122 overriding methods, 135 support for, 16–17 IFactory interface, 36 IHashCodeProvider interface, 6 IL (Intermediate Language), 1–3 IList interface, 5, 21-22, 24, 185 IMessageWriter interface, 42 Imperative code, 225 **Implicit** properties benefits, 151 for mutable, nonserializable data, 277-282 Implicitly typed local variables, 169-176 IncrementTotal method, 79-85, 88 Inheritance vs. composition, 156–162 Initialize method, 265–266 InnerClassOne class, 159 InnerClassTwo class, 159 InnerTypeOne class, 160 InnerTypeTwo class, 160 InputCollection class, 40–41 Instance fields, type parameters as, 46 - 50Interfaces class separation, 121–122 extension methods for, 163–167 generic specialization on, 42-46 Interlocked class, 84–85

InterlockedIncrement method, 85 Intermediate Language (IL), 1–3 InternalShippingSystem namespace, 8 InvalidOperationException class, 93, 248 Invoke method Control, 91, 100–101 Dispatcher, 93 InvokeIfNeeded method ControlExtensions, 95–96 WPFControlExtensions, 97–98 Invoker method, 94–95 InvokeRequired method, 93, 97–100 IPredicate interface, 122 IQueryable interface vs. IEnumerable, 242–246 for LINO, 203, 221–222 typed local variables, 170, 174–175 IQueryProvider interface, 170, 221, 244, 252 IsBusy property, 78 isValidProduct method, 244 Iterations composability, 110 decoupling, 112–116 return values, 109 Iterators, 106, 117

J

JIT compiler, 1–3 Join method, 208 INumerable, 111–112, 122–124 query expressions, 211–212 Joining strings, 111–112, 135

K

Keys, composite, 179 KeyValuePair type, 54

L

Lambda expressions and syntax anonymous types, 193 benefits, 226 bound variables, 186-191 for data structure, 249-250 delegate methods, 37, 39 lock handles, 79, 89 vs. methods, 218–222 multithreading, 72, 79, 89, 92 in queries, 169 Language enhancements, 163 anonymous types local functions on, 191–195 for type scope, 176–180 bound variables, 185-191 composable APIs, 180–185 extension methods constructed types, 167–169 minimal interface contracts, 163-167 overloading, 196–200 implicitly typed local variables, 169-176 overloading extension methods, 196 - 200Language-Integrated Query. See LINQ (Language-Integrated Query) language Large objects, weak references for, 274-277 Last Name property, 151

LastIndexOf method, 246 Lazy evaluation queries, 213–218 LazyEvaluation method, 213-214 LeakingClosure method, 239 Length property, 151 Less-than operators (<, <=) implementing, 61 overloading, 135, 137 LessThan method, 164 LessThanEqual method, 164 Lexical scope, 79 Lifetime of objects, 139, 229 LinkedList class, 5 LINQ (Language-Integrated Query) language, 163, 201 capturing expensive resources, 229-242 early vs. deferred execution, 225–229 exceptions in functions and actions, 222-225 IEnumerable vs. IQueryable data sources, 242–246 lambda expressions vs. methods, 218-222 lazy evaluation queries, 213-218 mapping query expressions to method calls, 201-213 semantic expectations on queries, 247 - 249storing techniques, 249–253 List class, 5, 23–24, 27, 122 Livelocks, 66 LoadFromDatabase method, 154-155 LoadFromFile method GenericXmlPersistenceManager, 30 - 31XmlPersistenceManager, 27–29

Local functions on anonymous types, 191-195 Local variables captured, 229-230 implicitly typed, 169-176 Lock handles scope, 86-90 lock method, 78-85 Locked sections, 90–93 LockHolder class, 83 LockingExample class, 86 Locks adding, 65 deadlocks, 66, 86-91 synchronization, 78–85 unknown code in, 90–93 Long weak references, 277 Loops, 10-12, 27, 105 Loosening coupling, 120–127 LostProspects method, 169 LowPaidSalaried method, 219 LowPaidSalariedFilter method, 220-221

Μ

MakeAnotherSequence method, 241 MakeDeposit method, 64–65 MakeSequence method, 231 MakeWithdrawal method, 64–65 ManualThreads method, 71–72 Mapping query expressions to method calls, 201–213 Match method, 122 Mathematical operators, overloading, 135–136

Max method Enumerable, 45 lazy queries, 217 Math, 47 Utilities, 133 Utils, 47-48 Merge method, 39 Message pumps, 94 MethodImplAttribute method, 87 Methods and method groups, 133 constraints on type parameters, 36 - 42constructed types with, 167–169 contract failure reports, 146–150 generics, 46-50 guidelines, 127-134 vs. lambda expressions, 218–222 mapping query expressions to, 201-213 minimal interface contracts, 163–167 vs. operator overloading, 134–137 overloading, 196-200 partial, 261-266 signatures, 5 Min method Enumerable, 45 lazy queries, 217 Math, 47 Utils, 47–48 Minus sign operators (-, -=), 136 ModFilter class, 187-190 Modifying bound variables, 185–191 Monitor class, 79–82, 84 Moore's law, 63 MoveNext method implementing, 163 ReverseEnumerable, 20 ReverseStringEnumerator, 25

Multiple parameters in overloaded methods, 130-131 Multithreading, 63–66 BackgroundWorker for, 74-78 cross-thread calls in Windows Forms and WPF, 93-103 lock handle scope, 86–90 lock method for, 78-85 thread pools, 67-74 unknown code in locked sections. 90-93 Mutable, nonserializable data, implicit properties for, 277-282 Mutators, partial methods for, 261–266 MyEventHandler method, 12 MyInnerClass class, 158–159 MyLargeClass class, 274–276 MyOuterClass class, 157–161 MyType class, 153–156

Ν

Name class, 56–60 Name resolution, 45 NaN value, 256–257 Negate method, 136 Nested classes anonymous types, 193 bound variables, 191 for closure, 239 .NET platform BCL, 41 collections, 27 delegates, 38 generic replacements, 4–14 inheritance, 121–122, 161–162 multithreading. *See* Multithreading null references, 183 numeric types, 45 new method, 17–18 NextMarker method, 165–166 Nonserializable data, implicit properties for, 277–282 Nonvirtual events, 139–146 Not equal operator (!=), 59–60 Null coalescing operator, 257 Nullable generic types support by, 10 visibility of, 255–260 Nullable struct, 10 NullReferenceException class, 258–260

0

Object class generic replacements, 4 ObjectDisposedException class, 233 ObjectModel namespace, 5 ObjectName property, 153–156 Objects lifetime, 139, 229 runtime coupling among, 137–139 1.x Framework API class generic replacements, 4-14 OneThread method, 69–70 OnProgress method, 140–141, 143 OnTick method, 94, 96, 103 OnTick2 method, 94 OnTick20 method, 98 op_version of methods, 134 Open generic types, 2 Open method, 147

Operators implementing, 59–61 overloading, 134–137 Order class, 8–10 orderby clause, 243 OrderBy method, 163, 206–207, 217 OrderByDescending method, 206 Output parameters vs. tuples, 50–56 Overloading extension methods, 196–200 guidelines, 127–134 operators, 134–137 Overriding methods, 59, 132, 135–136

Ρ

Parameters arrays, 266-271 function, 120–127 overloaded methods, 128-134 type. See Type parameters params arrays, 266–271 Parking windows, 99 ParseFile method, 234 ParseLine method, 181–182 Partial classes and methods, 261–266 Patterns generics for, 26-27 query expression, 202–203 Performance with generics, 1, 3–4, 10, 16 iterations, 105-106 thread pools, 67, 69, 73–74 Plus sign operators (+, +=), 135–136 Point class multiple parameters, 131–132

properties, 152–153 sequences, 38-40 Point3D class, 132 Predicate method, 113 Predicates decoupling iterations from, 112 - 116defining, 27 delegates, 12, 113-114 Program class, 43–44 Progress accessor, 91 ProgressChanged event, 78 **Properties** behavior, 150-156 for mutable, nonserializable data, 277-282 Pulse method, 85

Q

Queries lazy evaluation, 213–218 LINQ. *See* LINQ (Language-Integrated Query) language mapping expressions to method calls, 201–213 semantic expectations on, 247–249 Queryable class, 45, 244 QueueInvoke method, 102 QueueUserWorkItem class, 67–68, 72–75

R

Race conditions, 64–65, 93 raiseProgress method, 91

Readability anonymous types, 179 cross-thread calls, 95, 97 implicit properties, 277, 279, 282 local variables, 170-172, 175-176 patterns, 164 ReaderWriterLockSlim class, 85 ReadFromStream method GenericXmlPersistenceManager, 32 InputCollection, 41 ReadLines method, 232 ReadNumbersFromStream method, 232 Ref parameters vs. tuples, 50–56 References for large objects, 274–277 RemoveAll method, 113–114, 121–122 ReplaceIndices method, 266-267 ReportChange struct, 262-263 Reporting method contract failures, 146 - 150ReportValueChanged method, 263–265 ReportValueChanging method, 263 - 264RequestCancel method, 138 RequestChange class, 263, 265 Reset method implementing, 163 ReverseEnumerable, 20 ReverseStringEnumerator, 25 ResourceHog method, 238–239 ResourceHogFilter method, 240 Return codes for method failures, 147 Reuse, generic type parameters for, 19 Reverse method, 175, 184-185 ReverseEnumerable class, 19-24 ReverseStringEnumerator class, 24–26 Runtime coupling among objects, 137–139 Runtime type checking, 19–26 RunWorkerAsync method, 75 RunWorkerCompleted event, 78

S

SaveToDatabase method, 154–155 SaveToFile method GenericXmlPersistenceManager, 30 - 31XmlPersistenceManager, 28–29 Scale method overloading, 129-130 Point, 131-132 Point3D, 132 Scope anonymous types for, 176-180 lock handles, 86–90 sealed keyword, 33 Select clause, 205-206, 209 Select method, 205-206 SelectClause method, 188, 190 SelectMany method, 208–211 Semantic expectations on queries, 247-249 SendMailCoupons method, 168 Sequences composable APIs for, 105–112 generating as requested, 117–120 Serialization nullable types, 258 XML, 27-30 set accessors, 150-151 Short weak references, 277

Side effects early execution, 226–228 race conditions, 65 Signatures for interface methods, 5 Single method, 247–249 Single-threaded apartment (STA) model, 93 SingleOrDefault method, 248–249 Sort method, 11 SortedList class, 5 Sorts array objects, 11 lazy queries, 217 SQL queries and LINQ. See LINQ (Language-Integrated Query) language Square method, 110, 112 Square root algorithm, 69 STA (single-threaded apartment) model, 93 Stack class, 5 StorageLength property, 183 Storing techniques, 249–253 StreamReader class, 236 Strings comparing, 47 concatenating, 111-112, 135 Subtract method, 136 Subtraction operators (-, -=), 136 Sum method, 125–126 syncHandle object, 88 Synchronization, 78-85 Synchronization primitives, 78 SynchronizationLockException class, 82 System namespace, 7, 113

Т

Take method, 216, 249 TakeWhile method, 193 TestConditions method, 149 TextReader class, 233-234 ThenBy method, 163, 206–207 ThenByDescending method, 206 ThirdPartyECommerceSystem namespace, 8 Thread pools, 67-74 ThreadAbortException class, 68 ThreadPoolThreads method, 70–71 Throwing exceptions, 222–225 ToArray method, 218 ToList method, 118, 218 ToString method CommaSeparatedListBuilder, 49 Employee, 51, 53 nullable values, 259 Person, 280-281 Transform method, 115-116, 177 Transformations, 177–178 Transformer method, 115–116 Transport method, 12 TrueForAll method, List, 27 TryDoWork method, 148–150 TryParse method, 180 Tuple struct, 54–55 Tuples vs. output and ref parameters, 50 - 56Type inference, 26–32 Type parameters disposable, 32–35 for generic reuse, 19 generic types, 2–3

Type parameters (*continued*) as instance fields, 46–50 method constraints on, 36–42

Type scope, anonymous types for, 176–180

U

Unique method, 106–109, 112 Unknown code in locked sections, 90–93 UpdateMarker method, 166 UpdateTime method, 95 UpdateValue method, 262–265 using statements runtime behavior affected by, 198–200 for type parameters, 33 Utilities class, 133 Utilis class, 47–50

V

Value type parameters, 3 Variables bound, 185–191, 229–231 implicitly typed, 169–176 local, 229–230 Vector class, 128–129 VFunc method, 271–273 Virtual functions in constructors, 271–274 Virtual implied properties, 279 Visibility of nullable values, 255–260

W

Wait method, 85 WaitCallback method, 75 Weak references for large objects, 274-277 where clause, 201, 243 Where method, 163, 201, 203–204 WhereClause method, 188, 190 Windows Forms, cross-thread calls in, 93-103 Windows Presentation Foundation (WPF), cross-thread calls in, 93-103 Work method, 149 WorkerClass class, 90-91 WorkerEngine class, 137–138 WorkerEngineBase class, 140–145 WorkerEngineDerived class, 141–142, 144-146 WorkerEventArgs class, 138 WorkerSupportsCancellation property, 76 WPF (Windows Presentation Foundation), cross-thread calls in, 93-103 WPFControlExtensions class, 96–97 WriteMessage method, 45–46 AnotherType, 43 IMessageWriter, 42 Program, 43-44 WriteOutput1 method, 269–270 WriteOutput2 method, 269–270 WriteType method, 267–268

Χ

Υ

XML serializers, 27–30 XmlPersistenceManager class, 27–29 XmlSerializer class, 28–30 yield return statement, 12, 106–111, 117, 221