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2.3 Identify ways to optimize API usage through HTTP cache controls

When API load increases due to growth of user requests and application data, hardware requirements increase as well. There are a few ways to address this: you can scale hardware (horizontally or vertically) or optimize your application, or both. The goals of the application optimizations are as follows:

- Improve response time in user-interactive applications.
- Decrease the required bandwidth.
- Decrease processing times.
- Lower resource utilization.

These goals may be achieved with pagination (see Section 2.4), caching, and compression.

HTTP Caching

HTTP caching is a technique that stores copies of web resources and serves them back when requested. Typically limited to caching responses to "GET" requests, HTTP caches intercept these requests and return local copies instead of redownloading resources from originating servers.

Caching may be implemented at different places: at the client (for example, in a web browser), locally as an organization's proxy server, on the server side as a standalone reverse proxy, or as a part of an API gateway.

Caching reduces the amount of network traffic and the load of web servers. It also improves the user experience since HTTP requests may be completed in less time. However, resources may change, and it is important to cache them properly: only until they change, not longer. To address this, caches use the concept of "freshness."

A stored resource is considered "fresh" if it may be served directly from the cache. As web servers cannot contact caches and clients when a resource changes, they simply indicate an expiration time for the provided content. Before this expiration time, the resource is "fresh," and after the expiration time, the resource is "stale."

"Stale" content is not ignored and might still be reused, but it needs to be validated first. During validation, a check is performed on the original resource to verify that the cached copy is still current. Such checks usually involve only HTTP headers (HTTP "HEAD" request) and no data, so they are "cheap" compared to retrieving the whole resource. Validation checks increase the response time; however, they are more reliable than a time-based mechanism because they ensure data is up to date.

Website content may change when the cache is still "fresh," so some servers may want to force validation on every request to avoid inconsistencies. One way to achieve that is to set the explicit expiration time in the past and thus indicate that the response is already stale when it's received.

Validation can only happen if the origin server previously provided a validator for a resource, which is some value that describes a specific version of a resource. There are two types of validators:
- The date of last modification of the document, provided in the "Last-Modified" HTTP header.
- Some string that uniquely identifies a version of a resource, called the Entity Tag (ETag) and provided in the "ETag" HTTP header. It is not specified how ETag values are generated, so it may be a hash of the content, a hash of the last modification timestamp, or just a revision number.

Validators may be strong when they guarantee that two resources are completely byte-to-byte identical to each other. With the weak validators, two versions of the document are considered as identical if the content is equivalent (for example, same web page but with the different ads on it). ETags may be used as strong validators (by default) or as weak ones (prefixed with "W/"; for example, W/"5bfb1b822b0f7").

**HTTP Conditional Requests**

With HTTP conditional requests, servers' responses are based on the result of a comparison between the current version of a resource with validators included in a request. Conditional requests enable an efficient validation process but have other uses as well: to verify the integrity of a document (for example, when resuming a download) or, when clients act in parallel, to prevent accidental deletion or overwriting of one version of a document with another.

Special headers are used to specify preconditions in requests, and their logic depends on the HTTP request type: safe (read) or unsafe (write), as presented in Figure 26. In these examples, the "Last-Modified" and "ETag" headers are already known from previous requests.

With safe methods (GET and HEAD), conditions are used to fetch a resource only when needed.

![Figure 26: HTTP Conditional Requests: Fetch the Resource](image)

The following headers specify a condition for the request:

- "If-None-Match": Set to previously known "ETag" value. The condition is true if the ETag of the resource on a server is different from the one given in this header. Both strong and weak ETags may be used.
- "If-Modified-Since": Set to previously known "Last-Modified" value. The condition is true if the date of the resource on a server is more recent than the one listed in this header.
If the condition is true (that is, the resource has changed), then the server responds with the HTTP 200 code and the complete resource (for the GET method). If the resource hasn't changed, the server must respond with the HTTP status code 304 "Not Modified" and an empty body.

With unsafe methods (PUT and DELETE), conditions are used to allow modifications to a resource only when it's still the same and hasn't changed since the last interaction (see Figure 27).

![Figure 27: HTTP Conditional Requests: Modify the Resource](image)

The following headers specify a condition for the request:

- "If-Match": Set to previously known "ETag" value. The condition is true if the ETag of the resource on a server is equal to the one supplied in this header. Only a strong ETag may be used, as weak tags never match under this comparison.

- "If-Unmodified-Since": Set to previously known "Last-Modified" value. The condition is true if the date of the resource on a server is the same or older than the one listed in this header.

If the condition is true (that is, the resource has not changed), then the server proceeds with the update as normal. If the condition is not met, then the change is rejected with a 412 "Precondition Failed" error.

**Caching Controls**

Sometimes, caching may interfere with the application behavior, and the server administrator may wish to provide explicit directions to cache engines. The Cache-Control HTTP header is used for this purpose. Some of the most often used options are:

- no-store: The response may not be stored in any cache; disable caching.
- no-cache: Allow caching, but stored response must be validated first before using it.
- max-age: Time in seconds a cached copy is valid. max-age=0 is similar to no-cache.
- public: Can be cached by anyone.
- **private**: Only browser/client may cache.

Example: `Cache-Control: no-cache, max-age=0`

**HTTP Data Compression**

By using HTTP compression, less data needs to be transferred over the network, which results in faster response times and lower bandwidth utilization.

When making an HTTP request, a client may include an HTTP "Accept-Encoding" header to indicate a list of compression algorithms that the client supports. Common examples are compress, deflate, gzip, and bzip2.

Compression is not always accepted by the server, as it may cause issues with antivirus software, next-gen firewalls, or proxies, and/or it may violate company policies.

When the server receives a request that indicates compression support, it can honor that request or ignore it and send an uncompressed response. If one of the client's compression methods is supported, and the server decides to use it to compress the response, the server must include it in the "Content-Encoding" HTTP header.

### 2.4 Construct an application that consumes a REST API that supports pagination

When a client makes a REST API request, the response can be very large (for example, event log). Quite often, the client is not interested in all the data. For example, it might only need log entries for yesterday or the 50 latest chat messages. Pagination is a method to split resulting data into manageable chunks to allow better handling of large data sets and faster request processing.

The main reasons for using pagination in REST APIs are as follows:

- **To improve response times and end-user experience**: Because paginated responses are much smaller, they can be handled by the server and the network faster, and faster responses provide better user experiences.

- **To save resources**: Providing small responses demands much less compute and network resources.

One of the simplest types of pagination is the "Offset/Page"-based pagination. With this method, the client supplies the data offset and data size as query parameters. Here are two examples:

- **https://example.com/events?offset=100&limit=20**: Returns 20 entries, starting with 100th
- **https://example.com/events?page=6&per_page=20**: Same logic, different syntax

Typically, if offset or limit parameters are omitted, the server will use defaults (for example, offset=0 and limit=50).

Offset-based pagination is simple to implement and to use; however, it has its downsides:

- Adding or deleting entries (known as *page drift*) between calls may cause confusion. Some results may be skipped over (for example, some item is deleted and now the first item on a new page moved to the previous) and some may be included more than once (when items are inserted).