

FT PRESS PROJECT MANAGEMENT SERIES

A
COMPREHENSIVE
GUIDE TO
PROJECT
MANAGEMENT
SCHEDULE AND
COST CONTROL

Methods
and Models
for Managing
the Project
Lifecycle

RANDAL WILSON, MBA, PMP

*Visiting Professor of Project Management,
Keller Graduate School of Management, DeVry University*

A Comprehensive Guide to Project Management Schedule and Cost Control

This page intentionally left blank

A Comprehensive Guide to Project Management Schedule and Cost Control

Methods and Models for
Managing the Project Lifecycle

Randal Wilson

Associate Publisher: Amy Neidlinger
Executive Editor: Jeanne Glasser Levine
Operations Specialist: Jodi Kemper
Cover Designer: Chuti Prasertsith
Managing Editor: Kristy Hart
Project Editor: Andy Beaster
Copy Editor: Chuck Hutchinson
Proofreader: Paula Lowell
Indexer: Cheryl Lenser
Senior Compositor: Gloria Schurick
Manufacturing Buyer: Dan Uhrig

© 2014 by Randal Wilson
Publishing as Pearson
Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458

For information about buying this title in bulk quantities, or for special sales opportunities (which may include electronic versions; custom cover designs; and content particular to your business, training goals, marketing focus, or branding interests), please contact our corporate sales department at corpsales@pearsoned.com or (800) 382-3419.

For government sales inquiries, please contact governmentsales@pearsoned.com.

For questions about sales outside the U.S., please contact international@pearsoned.com.

Company and product names mentioned herein are the trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, in any form or by any means, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

First Printing April 2014

ISBN-10: 0-13-357294-3

ISBN-13: 978-0-13-357294-0

Pearson Education LTD.

Pearson Education Australia PTY, Limited.

Pearson Education Singapore, Pte. Ltd.

Pearson Education Asia, Ltd.

Pearson Education Canada, Ltd.

Pearson Educación de Mexico, S.A. de C.V.

Pearson Education—Japan

Pearson Education Malaysia, Pte. Ltd.

Library of Congress Control Number: 2014930853

*I would like to dedicate this book to my wife, Dusty,
and sons, Nolan, Garrett, and Carlin,
for their continued support and patience on this project.*

This page intentionally left blank

Contents

	Dedication	v
	About the Author	x
	Introduction	1
	Schedule and Cost of Projects	1
	Project Balance	2
	What Is Control?	3
	Organizational Influences	4
	Solutions to Schedule and Cost Control	9
Part 1	Project Development	11
Chapter 1	Basic Project Structure	13
	Introduction	13
	Projects, Programs, and Portfolios	14
	Project Management Versus Program and Portfolio Management	17
	Project Life Cycle	23
	Review Questions	25
Chapter 2	Initiating Process	27
	Introduction	27
	Project Origination	28
	Project Stakeholders	31
	Project Selection	34
	Project Charter	52
	Review Questions	55
Chapter 3	Planning Process	57
	Introduction	57
	Develop Project Management Plan	58
	Collect Requirements	63
	Define Scope	66
	Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)	70
	Review Questions	75
Part 2	Project Schedule Analysis	77
Chapter 4	Activity Definition	79
	Introduction	79
	Activity Analysis	80
	Responsibility Assignment	88

- Work Authorization 91
- Review Questions 95
- Applications Exercise for Chapters 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 95
- Case Study Exercise for Chapter 4 96
- Chapter 5 Activity Sequencing 97**
 - Introduction 97
 - Information for Sequencing 98
 - Defining Dependencies 102
 - Precedence Diagramming Method (PDM) 104
 - Review Questions 116
 - Applications Exercise 116
- Chapter 6 Resource Estimating 117**
 - Introduction 117
 - Types of Resources 119
 - Resource Constraints 122
 - Resource Requirements 126
 - Resource-Estimating Methods 128
 - Review Questions 136
 - Applications Exercise 137
- Chapter 7 Activity Duration Estimating 139**
 - Introduction 139
 - Duration Estimating Methods 141
 - Duration Estimating with Constraints 146
 - Scheduling Conclusions 151
 - Review Questions 155
 - Applications Exercise 156
- Chapter 8 Schedule Development 157**
 - Introduction 157
 - Schedule Requirements 158
 - Schedule Structuring Techniques 162
 - Schedule Analysis 171
 - Schedule Documentation 180
 - Review Questions 182
 - Applications Exercise 182
- Part 3 Project Cost Analysis 183**
- Chapter 9 Cost Estimating 185**
 - Introduction 185
 - Collecting Cost Data 186

	Cost Constraints	191
	Estimating Tools and Techniques	192
	Review Questions	198
	Applications Exercise for Chapters 9 and 10	198
Chapter 10	Budget Development	201
	Introduction	201
	Functions of a Budget	202
	Budget Development Methods	205
	Budget Constraints	208
	Cost of Quality	210
	Review Questions	212
	Applications Exercise	213
Part 4	Project Monitoring and Control	215
Chapter 11	Schedule and Cost Monitoring	217
	Introduction	217
	Integrated Monitoring	218
	Monitoring and Analysis Tools	221
	Troubleshooting Tools	233
	Monitoring Results	235
	Review Questions	239
	Applications Exercise	239
	Case Study Exercise for Chapter 11	240
Chapter 12	Schedule and Cost Control	241
	Introduction	241
	Change Control	242
	Control Tools and Techniques	247
	Control Results	260
	Review Questions	263
	Applications Exercise	263
	Bibliography	265
	Index	267

About the Author

Randal Wilson, MBA, PMP, serves as Visiting Professor of Project Management, Keller Graduate School of Management, at the Elk Grove, California, DeVry University campus. His teaching style is one of addressing project management concepts using academic course guidelines and text and includes in-depth discussions in lectures using practical application from industry experience.

Mr. Wilson is currently Operations and Project Manager at Parker Hose and Fittings. He is responsible for five locations across northern California and Nevada, as well as project management of redesigns and renovation of existing facilities and construction of new facilities.

Mr. Wilson was formally in the telecommunications industry as Senior New Product Introduction Engineer at REMEC, Inc., Senior New Product Introduction Engineer with Spectrian Corp., and Associate Design Engineer with American Microwave Technology. He also served as Senior Manufacturing Engineer at Hewlett-Packard.

He is a certified Project Management Professional (PMP) of the Project Management Institute. He acquired an MBA with a concentration in General Operations Management from Keller Graduate School of Management of DeVry University in Fremont, California, and a bachelor of science in Technical Management with a concentration in Project Management from DeVry University in Fremont, California.

Schedule and Cost of Projects

Most organizations are formed for a purpose that results in producing goods or services. The success of the organization's endeavor is in the management of resources and how the founders of the organization have structured the operation to achieve its strategic objective. Because most organizations require resources to facilitate the ability to accomplish daily tasks in the operation, on some occasions special activities are required to accomplish certain things the operation needs that are not part of the daily tasks, but require resources from within the organization. These types of special tasks are called *projects*, and if they are structured and managed well, they will provide opportunities for the organization to make improvements that are necessary in the ongoing improvement of the operation.

Depending on the type and size of the organization, projects may be sporadic and used only in special development situations, whereas other organizations may use projects integrated into its business structure as a main part of its daily operation. Regardless of how projects are utilized in the organization, they require resources that may include human resources, equipment and materials, facilities, and financial resources. When special projects require these types of resources, it is important to note that most of these resources are utilized in normal daily operation tasks; if they are used on a special project, they have to be allocated such that they do not impact daily operations and create conflicts. Most resources used within an organization have a cost component associated with how they are used; how a resource is expended for a special project also is a consideration.

Organizations can generally benefit from special projects, but the structure, organization, and utilization of resources become a very important element not only in the success of the project, but in minimizing the impact to the organization and daily

operations. Before a special project can be authorized, management within the organization needs to know how much the project will cost, how much of the organization's resources will be required, what are the expected deliverables or benefit to the organization, and how long the project will take to complete. Because it is usually easier to identify how a project deliverable will benefit the organization, it can be difficult to ascertain how much the project will cost, how long it will take, and how many and what types of resources will be required to complete the project objective. At this point, project management tools and techniques can be utilized to define cost and schedule requirements.

Project Balance

When an organization embarks on a special project, generally, the first task is to define what the project objective will be as it relates to expected deliverables. This task is usually accomplished fairly quickly by initial stakeholders; they include operations managers and other staff interested in the benefit the project deliverables will bring to the operation. Problems generally begin to arise when the project has been approved and the process of defining how to create the project deliverable, what costs will be associated, what resources will be required, and how to schedule these resources for a special project in addition to their normal daily tasks must be considered. Projects have to be structured and managed, maintaining a balance between utilizing the organization's resources for daily operations and for required tasks to complete work activities on a project.

Resources can be human resources and any other resources, including financial requirements, that will be needed on a project; however, they have to be defined, showing how they can be utilized in the balance of daily operations tasks and special project tasks. This can create a challenge for operations managers because they have an obligation to daily work activities but want to see special projects completed and struggle with ways to balance human resources and other resources within their department to complete both of these tasks. Part of this dilemma stems from the department manager being loyal to his obligation in the daily operations and will typically err to ensuring operations are not impacted, thus creating constraints for utilizing resources on special projects. The other part of this dilemma might be the department manager's inexperience with scheduling resources for both departmental tasks and project tasks. Project managers who are separated from operational departments do not share the same loyalty and, in fact, are probably more loyal to completing project

tasks and will negotiate with departmental managers in scheduling resources for project tasks. Project managers can also solicit the use of resources from outside the organization to complete project activities if internal resources are simply not available.

The second component that presents a challenge with special projects in an organization is defining all the costs associated with project activities and developing an overall budget for a special project. Typically, the error in costing projects is looking at project activities at a high level and trying to associate a more “generalized” cost to complete the entire activity, not taking into account all the specifics within each activity. Although this approach can be used in cost estimating a project, it is typically the reason that projects go over budget and that project activity costs are difficult to control. If project activity costs have been established based on the overall activity, as the activity plays out and specific tasks have resource, material, and equipment requirements that have not been accounted for, these requirements can add costs. Because these resources are needed, these costs present challenges in trying to control costs for the overall activity. Project managers typically have more time and techniques to break down activities to understand all the associated costs to derive a more accurate work activity cost and project budget.

Organizations that do not have project management capabilities will ultimately struggle with the implementation of projects in defining project costs, scheduling resources, and controlling project work activities. The key element, in addition to properly costing and scheduling a project, is in the control of costs and scheduling of activities and resources to ensure a project completes an objective at the estimated cost and within the allotted schedule.

What Is Control?

Projects can be developed and managed within an organization under the direction of the department manager for the sole purpose of completing a unique objective for that department. Projects also can be developed in an environment where several resources throughout the organization can be used to complete project activities. Either a department “functional” manager or a project manager can manage projects. These projects experience similar project life-cycle phases. One aspect of projects is consistent no matter what type of organizational structure or how big the project is: Projects have costs and schedules and need oversight and adjustments made to keep project activities within budget and on schedule. This is called *project control*.

Reporting Versus Managing

Overseeing project activities puts managers in a position of responsibility to ensure that project activities are completed. How managers view their responsibility plays a large role in whether the project is controlled or simply monitored. Managers will find themselves in one of two managerial roles with regards to projects: (1) monitoring and reporting activities; or (2) assigning, monitoring, and controlling activities. When managers simply report the status of project activities, this is not a control function. It is simply an observation of what is happening and reporting of status. *Control* in project management is defined as *having a means of measurement and initiating adjustments in the course of an activity to address unwanted changes to cost, schedule, quality, or risk elements that have influenced the activity.*

The Manager's Role in Control

Project managers are educated and/or trained in the need to provide control within the activities of a project. This requires the project managers' active participation in not only monitoring activities against a baseline of estimated cost and schedule, but also initiating adjustments that bring activities back in line with budget and schedule if problems arise. Either functional or project managers can achieve control over a project as long as they understand what control is designed to do for activities within a project. Control of the project is one of the most important roles project managers can have with oversight of project activities. One might say that anyone can observe project activities and report on status, but real management of a project has an element of control such that actively adjusting activities results in improvements to cost or schedule. Inasmuch as project managers utilize tools and techniques not only to monitor but also to control project activities, other forces and influences within the organization can present challenges to the success of a project. Project managers and/or functional managers must be aware of influences unique to the organization that can impose restrictions, constraints, and even conflicts for special projects operating within an organization.

Organizational Influences

Projects can play an important role in the success of an organization, but the development and management of these structures alone will not result in isolated entities within the organization. However, these roles are still subject to other internal and

external influences that can make or break the goal of completing objectives. Projects simply give the organization focus and the ability to control activities required to complete special objectives within the organization. Because the organization typically has established departments to complete certain activities for daily operations, some of these areas produce things for profit, called profit centers; other areas within the operation complete tasks to support the profit centers, such as administration, accounting, and human resources. Because special projects can utilize resources throughout the organization primarily from within the profit centers, projects are connected to other areas within the organization not associated with profit centers to facilitate completion of strategic objectives. Although these areas are needed, they can present either positive or negative influences on the success of completing projects; therefore, project managers should take them into consideration. Three primary areas within the organization can have a significant influence on how projects are structured, scheduled, budgeted, and controlled, and they have to do with the organization's leadership, culture, and structure.

Organizational Leadership

There is a consistent rule within most organizations that everything starts from the top and rolls down. This rule also is true in the area of managing projects. Whether it is perception or actual fact, the impact this rule will have on an organization starts with the general maturity of the organization and senior staff as well as specific management styles of those overseeing projects. If the executive staff does not understand the importance and benefits of projects, they will not always be supportive of what managers are trying to accomplish and the approach they are taking in using projects to manage activities within the organization. This can come across in several forms, behaviors, attitudes, and actions such as

- Poor selection of key managers in critical roles
- Approval or nonapproval of certain projects and activities
- Unnecessary timelines or budget constraints creating undue stress on projects and activities
- Misunderstanding or ignorance of critical activity update information
- Personality conflicts with project managers
- Hidden agendas that drive inconsistent or confusing decisions

It is important that executive management understand their role in leading by example. They also must understand the impact their leadership can have on the

organization if it is not performed at the highest level of integrity, professionalism, and cooperation among themselves and with those reporting to them. It is also important that they understand their actions are seen not only by those reporting to them but by many in the organization; and their leadership can be a large part of the culture established within the organization.

Organizational Culture

When having discussions about the culture of organizations, people can go in several directions to assess, label, and/or stereotype organizations for a perceived culture. When we talk about culture, the general idea is not only the DNA makeup of how the organization structures itself, but also its management style and personality. It is interesting that an organization, in many ways, has a reputation or is known in the industry by its personality and how it conducts business. Some of this personality and management style are a direct result of those who started the organization or are currently senior officers within the organization, whereas other traits of organizational personality might be a result of how the organization conducts its business based on market demands and customer relations. Because these areas are typically seen as high level and generally broad-based perceptions or interpretations of business operations, the same DNA is found at the department and project levels.

It is important that project managers understand the DNA or personality of the organization in the form of a management style so that they can be consistent with the way the organization conducts business internally and externally. This helps project managers be consistent in their management style with the general culture of the organization and can make it easier to gain the approval of senior management. DNA is a complex strand of several elements, and the organization is similar because it is made up of several areas that ultimately define its personality and culture. Some of these areas include

- Type of business and market position
- Senior management experience, personality, and management style
- Hierarchical command structure
- Maturity in customer and supplier relationships
- High-level investment strategies and risk tolerance
- Senior management's perception of lower-level workforces
- Organizational approach to customer service
- General working conditions and environment within the organization

Understanding what makes up the DNA and personality of an organization can help project managers not only understand their place in the organization, but also understand the importance of a successful management style that is in sync with the culture of the organization. This also allows for managers to be more consistent with other peer management styles. The project managers can also benefit in better understanding the mindset and possible perceptions of the workforce, which can help in the project managers' management style and approach with their staff. One element of the organization's DNA is in the type of organization and how it is structured functionally based on the type of business it conducts. The type of management structure used can play a large role in defining how the organization conducts business, its relationships with customers, and the general role project managers will ultimately have.

Organizational Structures

Organizational structure is the foundation of how business is conducted both internally and externally. It plays a large role in how daily operations are carried out and how projects are integrated within daily operations. Some organizations utilize projects at a very low level, accomplishing small tasks, whereas other organizations utilize projects, and their main course of business in the organization is structured with emphasis on these large projects. Depending on how organizations utilize projects within daily operations, organizations are structured using one of three basic structures. These structures are called *functional*, *projectized*, and *matrix*.

Functional organizations employ the classic structure used to establish managerial hierarchy with the organization divided into traditional functional departments. These departments can include accounting, human resources, purchasing, engineering, manufacturing, quality control, inventory, and warehousing, as well as shipping and receiving. The general idea with this structure is each department has a specific objective with a clear chain of command wherein each department has a manager overseeing the work activities of that department. The manager of each department reports to a higher-level manager who may be overseeing several departments, and the chain of command continues all the way up to the highest level of management in the structure. Although organizations have found this structure to be successful in the general operation of business, it has inherent strengths and weaknesses with regard to efficiency, accountability, and resource management, as well as the management of projects and the role of the project manager.

The main strength of functional organizations is each department performing its activities as a unit and requiring little or no direct involvement with other departments to achieve its objectives. Each department's strength builds on the collective knowledge and experience of its members and processes it has developed to maximize the efficiency of work activities in completing its normal objectives. Likewise, projects developed within an individual department are most efficient using only its department members and overseen by the department manager.

The weakness of this structure is apparent when the organization selects a functional manager to oversee projects and that person may or may not have the experience of a project manager in structuring projects with regard to cost, schedule, resource management, and control. The project can suffer as a result. If a project manager is used in conjunction with this type of project, the project manager carries little or no authority and acts more like an activity expeditor.

Projectized organizations use a completely different type of business structure than that of functional organizations where staff members are grouped into workforces that may include representatives from several traditional departments and are tasked with a unique project objective. This organization only has project groups and very few, if any, functional departments. This type of structure also places a high level of importance on project objectives; therefore, projectized organizations hire project managers to structure and oversee projects. The project manager carries a much higher level of authority with oversight of all resources, budget, and scheduling, and responsibility for completion of the project objective.

Most projectized organizations were originally structured in this form as a result of their business strategic objectives. These objectives are based on groups of activities that result in unique output deliverables. Another big advantage of projectized organizations is the flexibility available in the business strategy. Because this structure emphasizes large projects as its main output, these organizations can respond quickly to changes in market demand, allowing them to be successful in both stable and unstable market environments.

Project management within a projectized organization requires management of activities utilizing different types of resources that can be permanently assigned to the project, borrowed from several departments within the organization, and possibly contracted from resources external to the organization. Unlike a specific project designed to accomplish a goal within a single department, projects are now the goal of the entire organization and may require only a few actual departments such as administration and engineering. Because the organization

is structured for projects, human resources are assigned tasks based on the requirements of their skill for specific activities on the project. After they complete their activities, they are reassigned to another project to provide their skills for activity requirements on that project. Human resources in this type of organization spend all their employment moving from project to project.

Matrix organizations are a blend of functional and projectized structures using the benefits of each in completing the organization's objectives. Matrix organizations typically have a combination of routinely produced deliverables as well as unique and specialized projects. This allows for traditional departments led by functional managers to manage output deliverables of their individual departments; the organization also is able to use these same resources in special projects. The functional manager still holds authority over her department, but the project manager can hold an equal level of authority in overseeing resources from several departments in managing a project.

Matrix organizations have the advantage of structure and stability found in functional organizations through established departments. They also use key resources within these departments on projects that allow the organizations the flexibility to produce deliverables in response to changing market conditions. This capability gives senior management a unique opportunity to assess market conditions and in parallel create a stable and predictable product delivery environment and a quick response project environment that are both successful in the marketplace.

Solutions to Schedule and Cost Control

Projects ultimately are the utilization of organizational resources identified for specific tasks that have been organized in a sequence of work activities that will accomplish the project objective. Although most organizations have management staff who are very good at utilizing resources for specific tasks within the operation, the trick in *project management* is not only to specifically identify all required resources, correctly sequence work activities, and accurately estimate costs of all specific work activity requirements, but also to design and initiate schedule, cost, and quality controls. As functional managers can probably accomplish some of these project-related tasks, their responsibility is not in directing daily work activities of the department. As we have seen, if a project is unique to a particular department, functional managers can actually be the best people to carry out that specific project as the scope management will be isolated to their department. If projects require resources from

multiple departments or projects occupy a primary structure within the organization, project managers typically have the knowledge and experience to accurately develop and implement projects.

If project managers want to ensure that a project is developed properly, they must be knowledgeable of tools and techniques that will assist in correctly and accurately gathering and evaluating project information to develop a comprehensive project management plan. The success of projects is typically the result of having well-documented project work activity requirements, accurate scheduling of resources and work activities, and accurate cost estimation of all specific activity requirements to develop the project budget. One of the primary success factors in project management is the attention to detail in work activity requirements and scheduling.

The goal of successfully developing and controlling a project would be understanding how to get the most detail of work activities to accurately assess cost and resource requirements and what types of controls can be implemented to ensure work activities stay on schedule and within budget. In most cases, the more accurate the project manager and project staff are in estimating schedule duration and cost for work activities, the better chance the project will have staying on schedule and within budget. Because there typically is a margin of error in schedule and cost estimating and the reality of the impact of organizational processes and risk or uncertainty, the project manager also needs to utilize some control to adjust for these abnormalities in the project life cycle.

The success of the project ultimately depends on how well the project manager is armed with tools and techniques for project development. This book is a comprehensive compilation of common project management tools and techniques used in project development, specifically with regard to scheduling, cost estimating, and project control. These tools are simple and can be used easily in the development, implementation, and control of a project. It should be the goal of all those tasked with the development and oversight of a project to be armed with tools that will assist in projects being documented and controlled effectively and accurately to ensure project objectives are completed on schedule and on budget.

Numbers

50/50 rule, 170

A

AC (actual cost), 230

accuracy of cost estimation data, 189

activity analysis, 80-88

activity information checklist, 80-82

activity organization, 83-84

information gathering, 82-83

in precedence diagramming method (PDM),
113-114

in work breakdown structure (WBS), 85-88

activity contingency estimating, 145

activity decomposition decision tree, 71-72

activity definition, 79-80

activity analysis, 80-88

activity information checklist, 80-82

activity organization, 83-84

information gathering, 82-83

*in work breakdown structure (WBS),
85-88*

responsibility assignment, 88-91

direct/indirect involvement, 88-89

matrices, 90-91

work authorization, 91-95

defining, 93-95

by organizational structure, 92-93

activity dependency matrix, 106, 166-167

activity disposition structure, 163

activity duration estimating, 139-140

categories of, 151-152

constraints, 146-151

importance of, 152-153

methods for, 141-146

milestones, 153-154

program management, 154-155

activity hierarchy structure, 164

activity information checklist, 80-82

activity level (organizing work activities), 84

activity sequencing, 97-98

defining dependencies, 102-104

information gathering, 98-101

diagramming methods, 99-100

terminology, 100-101

type of information required, 99

precedence diagramming method (PDM),
104-116

activity analysis, 113-114

activity dependency relationships,

105-106

*activity-on-node (AON) diagramming
technique, 106-107*

critical path determination, 110-113

float/slack calculation, 114-116

nodes in, 107-108

path types, 108-110

activity-on-arrow (AOA) diagramming
technique, 100

activity-on-node (AON) diagramming
technique, 100, 106-107

actual cost (AC), 230

ADM (arrow diagramming method), 100

administrative costs, 190

alternatives analysis (resource estimating), 131

analogous budgeting, 207-208

analogous cost estimating, 193-194

analogous estimating (activity duration), 141
analysis

activity analysis, 80-88

activity information checklist, 80-82

activity organization, 83-84

- information gathering*, 82-83
 - in precedence diagramming method (PDM)*, 113-114
 - in work breakdown structure (WBS)*, 85-88
 - activity duration estimating
 - reserve analysis*, 145
 - scenario analysis*, 150-151
 - alternatives analysis (resource estimating), 131
 - change validation analysis, 238
 - cost estimation, reserve analysis, 197-198
 - earned value analysis (EVA), 229-232
 - fault tree analysis (FTA), 234
 - information analysis tools for monitoring projects, 223-232
 - make-or-buy analysis, 212
 - milestones, 224-225
 - project S-curve analysis, 223-224
 - root cause analysis, 233
 - schedule analysis, 171-180
 - resource leveling*, 174-175
 - resource loading*, 172-174
 - scenario analysis*, 178
 - schedule reduction analysis*, 175-178
 - schedule variance analysis*, 179-180
 - trend analysis, 225-227
 - variance analysis (schedules), 179-180
 - AOA (activity-on-arrow) diagramming technique**, 100
 - AON (activity-on-node) diagramming technique**, 100, 106-107
 - arrow diagramming method (ADM)**, 100
 - artifacts**, project charter as, 54-55
 - authority**, 91-95
 - defining, 93-95
 - by organizational structure, 92-93
 - availability of resources**
 - as constraint, 125
 - project selection process, 36
- B**
- BAC (budget at completion)**, 202-203, 230
 - backward pass**
 - defined, 100
 - in precedence diagramming method (PDM), 114
 - balancing**
 - costs, 3
 - resources, 2-3
 - baselines**, creating, 227
 - beta distribution method (three-point estimating)**, 143-144, 194-196
 - bottom-up budgeting**, 206-207
 - bottom-up constraints**, 147-148
 - bottom-up cost estimating**, 196-197
 - bubble diagrams (project selection process)**, 48
 - budget at completion (BAC)**, 202-203, 230
 - budget contingency planning**, 210
 - budget development**, 201
 - constraints, 208-210
 - cost of quality, 210-212
 - methods for, 205-208
 - purpose of, 202-204
 - buffers in critical chain method (CCM)**, 169-171, 249-250
 - burst activities**
 - defined, 100
 - in precedence diagramming method (PDM), 109
- C**
- capability**
 - in project selection models, 47
 - as resource constraint, 124-125
 - capital equipment resources**, 120
 - CCM (critical chain method)**, 169-171, 249-250
 - change control process**, 69-70, 242-247
 - activity duration estimating, 148
 - authorization for, 94
 - managing, 261
 - in schedule development, 162
 - change validation analysis**, 238
 - charter for project**, 52-55, 64
 - check charts**, 222-223
 - closure phase**, 23
 - collecting data**. *See* information gathering
 - communication**
 - in change control process, 245
 - of schedule, 181-182
 - communications management plan**, 61
 - conceptual phase**, 23
 - initiating process, 27-28
 - origination of project*, 28-31
 - project charter*, 52-55
 - selecting projects*, 34-51
 - stakeholders*, 31-34
 - constraints**, 99
 - activity duration estimating, 146-151
 - budget development, 208-210
 - for cost estimation, 191-192
 - resource-constrained projects, 133

- on resources, 122-125
- theory of constraints (TOC), 167-169
- time-constrained projects, 132
- contingency control**, 248
- contingency estimating**
 - activity duration estimating, 145
 - cost estimating, 197-198
- contingency plans**
 - authorization for, 94
 - budget development, 210
- contract negotiation authorization for**, 94
- contracted resources**, 121
- contracts in cost control**, 256-257
- control**
 - change control process, 242-247
 - contingency control, 248
 - cost control, 253-257
 - defined, 3
 - manager's role, 4
 - monitoring versus, 241-242
 - quality control, 257-260
 - reporting versus managing, 4
 - results, 260-263
 - schedule control, 249-253
- control charts**, 225-227
- corrective action requirements**, 237
- cost aggregation budgeting**, 206-207
- cost control, organizational structure and**, 13.
See also control
- cost in project selection models**, 47
- cost management plan**, 60
 - budget development, 201
 - constraints*, 208-210
 - cost of quality*, 210-212
 - methods for*, 205-208
 - purpose of*, 202-204
- estimating costs**, 185
 - constraints*, 191-192
 - information gathering*, 186-190
 - methods for*, 192-198
- cost of quality**, 210-212
- cost performance index (CPI)**, 230
- cost variance (CV)**, 230, 238
- costs**
 - balancing, 3
 - monitoring. *See* monitoring projects
- CPI (cost performance index)**, 230
- CPM (critical path method)**, 99-100, 166
- critical chain method (CCM)**, 169-171, 249-250
- critical path**
 - defined, 100
 - determining, 110-113

- critical path method (CPM)**, 99-100, 166
- culture of organization, influence on projects**, 6-7
- customer specifications**
 - activity duration estimating, 148
 - cost estimation, 192
 - requirements collection, 64
 - in schedule development, 162
 - schedule development, 160
- customer-based programs**, 42-43
- CV (cost variance)**, 230, 238

D

- data collection. *See* information gathering**
- decimal breakdown methodology**, 74-75
- deliverables**, 63
- Delphi method (resource estimating)**, 130
- dependencies**
 - in activity sequencing, 102-104
 - determining relationships, 105-106
- design reviews**, 259-260
- determinate estimating (resource estimation)**, 130-131
- diagramming methods for activity sequencing**, 99-100, 104-116
- direct costs**, 190
- direct project resources**, 121
- discretionary dependencies**, 104
- documentation of schedule**, 180-182
- dropped baton**, 169
- duration estimating. *See* activity duration estimating**

E

- EAC (estimate at completion)**, 231
- early finish date (EF)**, 100
- early start date (ES)**, 100
- earned value analysis (EVA)**, 229-232
- earned value (EV)**, 230
- ease of use in project selection models**, 47
- estimate at completion (EAC)**, 231
- estimate to completion (ETC)**, 231, 238
- estimating**
 - activity duration, 139-140
 - categories of*, 151-152
 - constraints*, 146-151
 - importance of*, 152-153
 - methods for*, 141-146
 - milestones*, 153-154
 - program management*, 154-155

- costs, 185
 - constraints*, 191-192
 - information gathering*, 186-190
 - methods for*, 192-198
 - resources, 117-119
 - constraints*, 122-125
 - methods for*, 128-136
 - requirements*, 126-128
 - types of resources*, 119-122
 - ETC (estimate to completion), 231, 238
 - EV (earned value), 230
 - EVA (earned value analysis), 229-232
 - evaluating human resources, 117-119
 - constraints, 122-125
 - methods for, 128-136
 - requirements, 126-128
 - types of resources, 119-122
 - events, 100
 - execution phase, 23
 - controlling, 241-242
 - change control process*, 242-247
 - contingency control*, 248
 - cost control*, 253-257
 - quality control*, 257-260
 - results*, 260-263
 - schedule control*, 249-253
 - monitoring, 217-218
 - information analysis tools*, 223-232
 - information gathering tools*, 221-223
 - integrated monitoring*, 218-221
 - results monitoring*, 235-238
 - sources of information*, 221
 - troubleshooting tools*, 233-234
 - expectations of stakeholders, 33-34
 - expected costs, 203
 - external dependencies, 104
 - external factors in schedule development, 160
 - external projects, initiating process, 30-31
 - external requirements, 63
 - external resources, 121
- F**
- facilities resources, 120
 - fast tracking, 177-178, 252-253
 - fault tree analysis (FTA), 234
 - 50/50 rule, 170
 - financial models (project selection process), 49-51
 - financial resources. *See also* cost management plan
 - defined, 120
 - project selection process, 36-37
 - finish-to-finish (FF) relationship, 105
 - finish-to-start (FS) relationship, 105
 - fixed-price contracts, 256
 - fixed-price incentive fee contracts, 257
 - flexibility in project selection models, 47
 - float/slack
 - calculating, 114-116
 - defined, 100
 - forecasting
 - adjustment requirements, 237-238
 - updates, 261-262
 - forward pass
 - defined, 101
 - in precedence diagramming method (PDM), 113
 - FTA (fault tree analysis), 234
 - functional organizations
 - defined, 7-8
 - project selection process, 37-38
 - work authorization, 92
 - funding limit reconciliation, 209
- H**
- historical data
 - budget development, 207-208
 - requirements collection, 65
 - in-house technology (project selection process), 35
 - human resources
 - defined, 119
 - evaluating, 117-119
 - constraints*, 122-125
 - methods for*, 128-136
 - requirements*, 126-128
 - types of resources*, 119-122
 - management plan, 61
 - project selection process, 35
 - responsibility assignment, 88-91
 - direct/indirect involvement*, 88-89
 - matrices*, 90-91
 - work authorization, 91-95
 - defining*, 93-95
 - by organizational structure*, 92-93

- ## I
- identified risks, 99
 - implementation in change control process, 244-245
 - independent projects, selection process, 46
 - indirect costs, 190
 - indirect labor costs, 190
 - indirect materials cost, 190
 - indirect project resources, 121
 - information analysis tools for monitoring projects, 223-232
 - information gathering
 - for activity duration estimating, 151
 - for activity sequencing, 98-101
 - diagramming methods*, 99-100
 - terminology*, 100-101
 - type of information required*, 99
 - for cost control, 254-255
 - for cost estimation, 186-190
 - accuracy and reliability*, 189
 - cost requirements*, 186-187
 - direct versus indirect costs*, 189-190
 - sources*, 187-189
 - for monitoring projects, 221-223
 - for schedule control, 249
 - for schedule development, 158-160
 - for work activities, 82-83
 - information technology resources, 120
 - initiating process, 27-28
 - origination of project, 28-31
 - project charter, 52-55
 - selecting projects, 34-51
 - independent projects*, 46
 - models and methodologies*, 47-51
 - organizational constraints*, 34-38
 - in organizational strategy*, 40-42
 - for portfolios and programs*, 42-46
 - project management constraints*, 38-40
 - stakeholders, 31-34
 - inspections
 - quality inspections, 258-259
 - regulatory inspections, 259
 - integrated change control, 246-247
 - integrated monitoring, 218-221
 - intended functionality (measure of quality), 257
 - internal projects, initiating process, 29-30
 - internal requirements, 63
- ## L
- labels. *See* nodes
 - late finish date (LF), 101
 - late start date (LS), 101
 - leadership, influence on projects, 5-6
 - life cycle of projects, 23-25. *See also* closure phase; conceptual phase; execution phase; planning phase
- ## M
- make-or-buy analysis, 212
 - managers
 - project selection process, 35-36
 - role in project control, 4
 - managing
 - change control process, 261
 - reporting versus, 4
 - mandatory dependencies, 104
 - materials and workmanship standards (measure of quality), 258
 - materials resources, 120
 - matrix organizations
 - defined, 9
 - project selection process, 37-38
 - work authorization, 93
 - measurement in change control process, 245-246
 - meetings, status, 221-222
 - merge activities
 - defined, 101
 - in precedence diagramming method (PDM), 109
 - methodologies (project selection process), 47-51
 - qualitative models, 48
 - quantitative models, 49-51
 - Microsoft Excel
 - for schedule documentation, 181
 - in work breakdown structure (WBS), 86
 - Microsoft Project
 - baseline creation, 227
 - for schedule documentation, 181
 - Tracking Gantt charts, 228
 - in work breakdown structure (WBS), 86-87
 - milestones
 - analysis, 224-225
 - reporting status, 204
 - in schedule development, 153-154

models (project selection process), 47-51
 qualitative models, 48
 quantitative models, 49-51
 monitoring projects, 217-218
 controlling projects versus, 241-242
 information analysis tools, 223-232
 information gathering tools, 221-223
 integrated monitoring, 218-221
 results monitoring, 235-238
 sources of information, 221
 troubleshooting tools, 233-234
 multiple critical paths, 112-113
 multitasking, 170

N

net present value (NPV), calculating, 50-51
 network diagrams. *See also* diagramming methods
 in activity duration estimating, 152
 defined, 101
 in schedule development, 165-167
 new risk assessment, 236-237
 nodes
 defined, 101
 in precedence diagramming method (PDM), 107-108
 NPV (net present value), calculating, 50-51

O

organizational constraints
 cost estimation, 191
 project selection process, 34-38
 resource estimating, 123
 schedule development, 160
 organizational division-based programs, 44-45
 organizational influences on projects, 4-9
 culture, 6-7
 leadership, 5-6
 structure, 7-9
cost control and, 13
explained, 14
profit centers versus support functions, 14-15
project selection process, 37-38
work authorization by, 92-93
 organizational needs, projects/programs/
 portfolios and, 18
 organizational process updates, 262-263
 organizational resource management, 127-128

organizational strategy (project selection process), 40-42
 organizing work activities, 83-84
 origination of projects, 28-31
 outsource contracting in cost of quality, 211-212
 overhead expenses, 190

P

parallel activities
 defined, 101
 in precedence diagramming method (PDM), 109
 parametric cost estimating, 194
 parametric estimating (activity duration), 141-142
 Parkinson's Law, 169
 paths
 defined, 101
 in precedence diagramming method (PDM), 108-110
 payback period (project selection process), 50
 PDM (precedence diagramming method), 100, 104-116
 activity analysis, 113-114
 activity dependency relationships, 105-106
 activity-on-node (AON) diagramming technique, 106-107
 critical path determination, 110-113
 float/slack calculation, 114-116
 nodes in, 107-108
 path types, 108-110
 in schedule development, 166-167
 performance reports, 235-236
 PERT (program evaluation and review technique), 99-100
 phases of projects, 23-25. *See also* closure phase; conceptual phase; execution phase; planning phase
 planned value (PV), 229
 planning phase, 23, 57-58
 cost management plan, 60. *See also* cost management plan
 project management plan, 58-62
 requirements collection, 63-66
defining requirements, 63-64
management plan, 66
resources, 64-65
 schedule management plan, 60. *See also* schedule management plan

- scope definition, 66-70
 - change control process*, 69-70
 - product scope*, 67
 - project scope*, 67
 - project scope statement*, 68
 - responsibility for*, 67-68
- work breakdown structure (WBS), 70-75
- portfolio management**
 - defined, 18
 - importance of, 21-22
 - project selection process, 39-40
 - resource estimating, 128-129
 - responsibilities of, 21
- portfolios**
 - organizational needs and, 18
 - project selection process, 42-46
 - projects and programs versus, 15-17
- precedence diagramming method (PDM), 100, 104-116**
 - activity analysis, 113-114
 - activity dependency relationships, 105-106
 - activity-on-node (AON) diagramming technique, 106-107
 - critical path determination, 110-113
 - float/slack calculation, 114-116
 - nodes in, 107-108
 - path types, 108-110
 - in schedule development, 166-167
- predecessor constraints (activity duration estimating), 149-150**
- predecessor requirements, 74**
- predecessors**
 - creating relationships, 102-104
 - defined, 101, 105
- process, project charter as, 54-55**
- procrastination, 170**
- procurement management plan, 61**
- procurements**
 - in cost control, 255-256
 - in cost of quality, 211
- product scope, 67**
- product-based programs, 43-44**
- profit centers, support functions versus, 14-15**
- program evaluation and review technique (PERT), 99-100**
- program management**
 - activity duration estimating, 154-155
 - defined, 18
 - importance of, 21-22
 - project selection process, 39-40
 - resource estimating, 129
 - responsibilities of, 19-21
- programs**
 - organizational needs and, 18
 - project selection process, 42-46
 - projects and portfolios versus, 15-17
- project budget baseline, 203**
- project charter, 52-55**
 - requirements collection, 64
 - schedule development, 159
- project constraints**
 - cost estimation, 191
 - resource estimating, 123-124
- project contingency estimating, 145**
- project deliverables, 101**
- project level (organizing work activities), 84**
- project management**
 - activity duration estimating, 154-155
 - defined, 18
 - importance of, 21-22, 57-58
 - plans, 58-62
 - defined*, 58
 - structure*, 59-62
 - updates*, 262
 - usage*, 62
 - project selection process, constraints in, 38-40
 - resource estimating, 129
 - responsibilities of, 18-19
- project milestones**
 - analysis, 224-225
 - reporting status, 204
 - in schedule development, 153-154
- project monitoring information systems, 218-221**
- project plans, 59**
- project resource requirements, 126-127**
- project scope, 67, 161. *See also* scope**
- project scope statement, 68, 159**
- project S-curve analysis, 223-224**
- projectized organizations**
 - defined, 8-9
 - project selection process, 37-38
 - work authorization, 92
- projects**
 - control
 - defined*, 3
 - manager's role*, 4
 - reporting versus managing*, 4
 - costs, balancing, 3
 - defined, 1-2
 - execution phase
 - controlling*, 241-242. *See also* control
 - monitoring*, 217-218. *See also* monitoring projects

- initiating process, 27-28
 - origination of project*, 28-31
 - project charter*, 52-55
 - selecting projects*, 34-51
 - stakeholders*, 31-34
 - life-cycle phases, 23-25
 - organizational influences, 4-9
 - culture*, 6-7
 - leadership*, 5-6
 - structure*, 7-9
 - organizational needs and, 18
 - planning phase, 57-58
 - cost management plan*, 60. *See also cost management plan*
 - project management plan*, 58-62
 - requirements collection*, 63-66
 - schedule management plan*, 60. *See also schedule management plan*
 - scope definition*, 66-70
 - work breakdown structure (WBS)*, 70-75
 - programs and portfolios versus, 15-17
 - requirements, 63
 - resources, balancing, 2-3
 - structuring, 13-14
 - proposals
 - in change control process, 243-244
 - requesting, 30-31
 - published data estimating (resource estimation), 131
 - PV (planned value), 229
- Q**
- qualitative project selection, 41-42, 48
 - quality
 - controlling, 257-260
 - cost of, 210-212
 - quality inspections, 258-259
 - quality management plan, 60
 - quantitative project selection, 42, 49-51
 - quotes, requesting, 31
- R**
- RACI (responsibility, accountability, consultative, and informative) matrix, 90-91
 - RAM (responsibility assignment matrix), 90-91
 - realism in project selection models, 47
 - reduction in schedule duration, 175-178
 - regulatory inspections, 259
 - reliability of cost estimation data, 189
 - reporting
 - managing versus, 4
 - project control, 260-261
 - project status, 204
 - work performance, 235-236
 - request for proposal (RFP), 30-31
 - request for quote (RFQ), 31
 - requirements
 - collecting, 63-66
 - corrective action requirements, 237
 - cost estimation, 186-187
 - defined, 63-64
 - forecasting adjustment requirements, 237-238
 - management plan, 66
 - predecessor/successor, 74
 - resources
 - determining*, 126-128
 - information gathering*, 64-65
 - resource requirements plan*, 135-136
 - in schedule development*, 161
 - reserve analysis
 - activity duration estimating, 145
 - cost estimation, 197-198
 - resource assessment, 90
 - resource requirements plan, 135-136
 - resource-constrained projects, 133
 - resources
 - balancing, 2-3
 - bottlenecks, 170
 - constraints, 124-125
 - estimating, 117-119
 - constraints*, 122-125
 - methods for*, 128-136
 - requirements*, 126-128
 - types of resources*, 119-122
 - leveling, 134, 174-175, 250-251
 - loading, 133-134, 172-174
 - outsourcing, 211-212
 - project selection process, 36
 - requirements, 64-65, 161
 - responsibilities
 - assigning, 88-91
 - direct/indirect involvement*, 88-89
 - responsibility assignment matrices*, 90-91
 - authority for, 91-95
 - defining*, 93-95
 - by organizational structure*, 92-93
 - of portfolio management, 21
 - of program management, 19-21
 - of project management, 18-19

responsibility, accountability, consultative, and informative (RACI) matrix, 90-91
 responsibility assignment matrix (RAM), 90-91
 results
 of controlling projects, 260-263
 of monitoring projects, 235-238
 return on investment (ROI), calculating, 51
 RFP (request for proposal), 30-31
 RFQ (request for quote), 31
 risk contingency, authorization for, 94
 risk management plan, 61
 risks
 identifying, 99
 new risk assessment, 236-237
 ROI (return on investment), calculating, 51
 ROME (rough order of magnitude estimating), 193
 root cause analysis, 233
 rough order of magnitude estimating (ROME), 193

S

scenario analysis
 activity duration estimating, 150-151
 schedule development, 178
 schedule analysis, 171-180
 resource leveling, 174-175
 resource loading, 172-174
 scenario analysis, 178
 schedule reduction analysis, 175-178
 schedule variance analysis, 179-180
 schedule crashing, 176-177, 252
 schedule development, 157-158
 information gathering, 158-160
 customer requirements, 162
 project scope, 161
 resource requirements, 161
 schedule analysis, 171-180
 resource leveling, 174-175
 resource loading, 172-174
 scenario analysis, 178
 schedule reduction analysis, 175-178
 schedule variance analysis, 179-180
 schedule documentation, 180-182
 structuring schedules, 162-171
 activity disposition structure, 163
 activity hierarchy structure, 164
 critical chain method (CCM), 169-171
 network diagrams, 165-167
 theory of constraints (TOC), 167-169

schedule management plan, 60
 activity definition, 79-80
 activity analysis, 80-88
 responsibility assignment, 88-91
 work authorization, 91-95
 activity duration estimating, 139-140
 categories of, 151-152
 constraints, 146-151
 importance of, 152-153
 methods for, 141-146
 milestones, 153-154
 program management, 154-155
 activity sequencing, 97-98
 defining dependencies, 102-104
 information gathering, 98-101
 precedence diagramming method (PDM), 104-116
 resource estimating, 117-119
 constraints, 122-125
 methods for, 128-136
 requirements, 126-128
 types of resources, 119-122
 schedule development, 157-158
 customer requirements, 162
 information gathering, 158-160
 project scope, 161
 resource requirements, 161
 schedule analysis, 171-180
 schedule documentation, 180-182
 structuring schedules, 162-171
 schedule performance index (SPI), 230
 schedule reduction analysis, 175-178
 schedule variance analysis, 179-180
 schedule variance (SV), 230, 238
 scheduling
 authorization for, 93
 controlling. *See* control
 monitoring. *See* monitoring projects
 scope
 change control process, 69-70
 defining, 66-70
 product scope, 67
 project scope, 67
 responsibility for, 67-68
 project scope statement, 68
 in schedule development, 161
 scope creep, 69, 148, 187
 scope management plan, 60
 scoring model (project selection process), 48
 selecting projects, 34-51
 independent projects, 46
 models and methodologies, 47-51

- organizational constraints, 34-38
 - in organizational strategy, 40-42
 - for portfolios and programs, 42-46
 - project management constraints, 38-40
 - self-protection, 169**
 - sequencing activities, 97-98**
 - defining dependencies, 102-104
 - information gathering, 98-101
 - diagramming methods, 99-100*
 - terminology, 100-101*
 - type of information required, 99*
 - precedence diagramming method (PDM), 104-116
 - activity analysis, 113-114*
 - activity dependency relationships, 105-106*
 - activity-on-node (AON) diagramming technique, 106-107*
 - critical path determination, 110-113*
 - float/slack calculation, 114-116*
 - nodes in, 107-108*
 - path types, 108-110*
 - serial activities**
 - defined, 101
 - in precedence diagramming method (PDM), 108
 - SMEs (subject matter experts)**
 - activity duration estimating, 146
 - cost estimation, 193
 - monitoring projects, 222
 - requirements collection, 65
 - software tools for schedule documentation, 181**
 - SOW (statement of work), 64**
 - spending, authorization for, 93
 - SPI (schedule performance index), 230**
 - stakeholder management plan, 62, 65**
 - stakeholder register, 65**
 - stakeholders, 31-34**
 - expectations, 33-34
 - managing, 31-33
 - project charter, 52
 - requirements, 63
 - start-to-finish (SF) relationship, 105**
 - start-to-start (SS) relationship, 105**
 - statement of work (SOW), 64**
 - status meetings, 221-222**
 - structure of organization**
 - cost control and, 13
 - explained, 14
 - influence on projects, 7-9
 - profit centers versus support functions, 14-15
 - project selection process, 37-38
 - work authorization by, 92-93
 - structuring**
 - project charters, 52-53
 - project management plans, 59-62
 - projects, 13-14
 - schedules, 162-171
 - activity disposition structure, 163*
 - activity hierarchy structure, 164*
 - critical chain method (CCM), 169-171*
 - network diagrams, 165-167*
 - theory of constraints (TOC), 167-169*
 - student syndrome, 170**
 - subject matter experts (SMEs)**
 - activity duration estimating, 146
 - cost estimation, 193
 - monitoring projects, 222
 - requirements collection, 65
 - successor constraints (activity duration estimating), 149-150**
 - successor requirements, 74**
 - successors**
 - creating relationships, 102-104
 - defined, 101, 105
 - support functions, profit centers versus, 14-15**
 - SV (schedule variance), 230, 238**
- ## T
- task-on-arrow (TOA) diagramming technique, 100**
 - terminology for activity sequencing, 100-101**
 - theory of constraints (TOC), 167-169**
 - three-point estimating**
 - activity duration estimating, 142-145
 - cost estimating, 194-196
 - time and materials contracts, 257**
 - time value of money (project selection process), 49-50**
 - time-constrained projects, 132**
 - time-phased budgeting, 207**
 - TOA (task-on-arrow) diagramming technique, 100**
 - TOC (theory of constraints), 167-169**
 - top-down budgeting, 205-206**
 - top-down constraints, 147-148**
 - top-down cost estimating, 196-197**
 - Tracking Gantt charts, 228**
 - trend analysis, 225-227**

triangular distribution method (three-point estimating), 143, 194-196

triple constraint

- activity duration estimating, 146-147
- budget development, 203-204
- controlling projects, 247
- in project constraints, 124
- quality costs, 210-212

troubleshooting tools for monitoring projects, 233-234

U

updates

- forecasting, 261-262
- organizational process, 262-263
- project management plan, 262

V

variance analysis (schedules), 179-180

variance at completion (VAC), 231

W

WBS (work breakdown structure), 70-75

- activity definition in, 85-88
- schedule development, 160

work activities

- activity definition, 79-80
 - activity analysis, 80-88*
 - responsibility assignment, 88-91*
 - work authorization, 91-95*
- activity duration estimating, 139-140
 - categories of, 151-152*
 - constraints, 146-151*
 - importance of, 152-153*
 - methods for, 141-146*
 - milestones, 153-154*
 - program management, 154-155*
- activity sequencing, 97-98
 - defining dependencies, 102-104*
 - information gathering, 98-101*
 - precedence diagramming method (PDM), 104-116*
- in schedule development, 160
- variance, 179-180

work authorization, 91-95

- defining, 93-95
- by organizational structure, 92-93

work breakdown structure (WBS), 70-75

- activity definition in, 85-88
- schedule development, 160

work package activities, defined, 101

work performance reports, 235-236