





SHARE WITH OTHERS

CREATING A SOFTWARE ENGINEERING CULTURE

Also Available from DORSET HOUSE

Complete Systems Analysis: The Workbook, the Textbook, the Answers by James & Suzanne Robertson foreword by Tom DeMarco ISBN: 0-932633-50-1 Copyright ©1998,1994 624 pages, softcover

Exploring Requirements: Quality Before Design by Donald C. Gause and Gerald M. Weinberg ISBN: 0-932633-13-7 Copyright ©1989 320 pages, hardcover

Managing Expectations: Working with People Who Want More, Better, Faster, Sooner, NOW!

by Naomi Karten foreword by Gerald M. Weinberg ISBN: 0-932633-27-7 Copyright ©1994 240 pages, softcover

Peopleware: Productive Projects and Teams, 2nd ed.

by Tom DeMarco and Timothy Lister ISBN: 0-932633-43-9 Copyright ©1999 264 pages, softcover

The Psychology of Computer Programming: Silver Anniversary Edition by Gerald M. Weinberg ISBN: 0-932633-42-0 Copyright ©1998, 1971 360 pages, softcover

Quality Software Management Series by Gerald M. Weinberg

Vol. 1: Systems Thinking ISBN: 0-932633-22-6 Copyright ©1992 336 pages, hardcover Vol. 2: First-Order Measurement ISBN: 0-932633-24-2 Copyright ©1993 360 pages, hardcover Vol. 3: Congruent Action

ISBN: 0-932633-28-5 Copyright ©1994 328 pages, hardcover Vol. 4: Anticipating Change ISBN: 0-932633-32-3 Copyright ©1997 504 pages, hardcover

The Secrets of Consulting:

A Guide to Giving and Getting Advice Successfully by Gerald M. Weinberg ISBN: 0-932633-01-3 Copyright ©1988 248 pages, softcover

Surviving the Top Ten Challenges of Software Testing:

A People-Oriented Approach by William E. Perry and Randall W. Rice ISBN: 0-932633-38-2 Copyright ©1997 216 pages, softcover

Find Out More about These and Other DH Books: Contact us to request a Book & Video Catalog and a free issue of The Dorset House Quarterly, or to confirm price and shipping information.

DORSET HOUSE PUBLISHING CO., INC. 353 West 12th Street New York, NY 10014 USA 1-800-DH-BOOKS (1-800-342-6657) 212-620-4053 fax: 212-727-1044 dhpubco@aol.com http://www.dorsethouse.com

CREATING A SOFTWARE ENGINEERING CULTURE

Karl E.Wiegers

Dorset House Publishing 353 West 12th Street New York, New York 10014

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Wiegers, Karl Eugene, 1953–
Creating a software engineering culture / Karl E. Wiegers.
p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 0-932633-33-1 (hardcover)
1. Software engineering. I. Title.
QA76.758.W52 1996
005.1'068--dc20

96-27627 CIP

Trademark credits: Adobe, Acrobat, and PostScript are registered trademarks of Adobe Systems, Inc. Macintosh is a registered trademark of Apple Computer, Inc. Team*work* is a trademark of Cadre Technologies, Inc. CMM and Capability Maturity Model are service marks of Carnegie Mellon University. CompuServe is a registered trademark of CompuServe, Inc. IBM is a registered trademark of International Business Machines Corporation. Lotus Notes is a registered trademark of Lotus Development Corporation. M&M is a registered trademark of M&M/Mars Corporation, Inc. Microsoft and MS-DOS are registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation. Oracle is a registered trademark of Oracle Corporation. UNIX is a registered trademark of UNIX Systems Laboratories. Sybase, Velcro, and QNX are registered trademarks of their respective companies, and are the property of their respective holders and should be treated as such. Other trade or product names cited herein are either trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective companies, and are the property of their and should be treated as such.

Cover Design: Jeff Faville, Faville Design Cover Photograph: Jim Newmiller

Copyright © 1996 by Karl E. Wiegers. Published by Dorset House Publishing, 353 West 12th Street, New York, NY 10014.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

Library of Congress Catalog Number: 96-27627

ISBN: 0-932633-33-1

12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4

To Pretzels the Clown This page intentionally left blank

Acknowledgments

The dedicated critiquing efforts of Marcelle Bicker, Tim Brown, Lynda Fleming, Anne Fruci, Kathy Getz, Lesle Hill, Trudy Howles, Kevin Jameson, Tom Lindsay, Lori Schirmer, Doris Sturzenberger, Mike Terrillion, and Nancy Willer led to countless suggestions for improvement. These reviewers caught many errors; any that remain are entirely my responsibility. I also appreciate the helpful comments provided by Linda Butler, Henrietta Foster, Beth Layman, Mark Mitchell, Carol Nichols, Tom Sweeting, and Peter Szmyt.

None of the achievements made by our Kodak software groups would have been possible without the active involvement of all team members. I am indebted to the original members of the Photographic Applications Software Team, who worked with me as we learned how to build better software from January 1990 through April 1993. We learned a lot from each other, built some useful applications, and had fun in the process. Many thanks to Ray Hitt, Tom Lindsay, Mike Malec, and Mike Terrillion. Mike Terrillion also allowed me to describe his work correlating the number of requirements in a software requirements specification with the work effort needed to deliver the application.

Thanks go as well to John Scherer and Jim Terwilliger, managers who didn't know a lot about software, but were willing to learn. They provided solid management support and encouragement during the early years of our process improvement efforts. They also understood the importance of providing recognition for the team's efforts to improve our work and to share our approaches with other software groups at Kodak. Judy Walter and Jeffrey Yu in the Kodak Research Library kept me in touch with the software literature by responding quickly to a steady stream of requests for books and articles.

I am grateful to the staff at Dorset House, particularly Wendy Eakin, David McClintock, Ben Morrison, and freelance editor Teri Monge, for their careful review and editing, insightful recommendations, and support throughout this project.

Special thanks go to Professor Stanley G. Smith of the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, who taught me a lot more in graduate school than how to do physical organic chemistry.

On the home front, I wish to thank my cat, Gremlin, for keeping my lap warm whenever I try to read, and the musical genius of "Weird Al" Yankovic, for helping to put life into proper perspective. My deepest appreciation goes to my wife, Christine Zambito, for her limitless support, encouragement, listening ability, and sense of humor. Living with a clown is just slightly less peculiar than living with a computer person.

Permissions Acknowledgments

The author and publisher gratefully acknowledge the following for their permission to reprint material quoted on the cited pages.

p. 16: Material from *Yeager, An Autobiography* by Chuck Yeager and Leo Janos, p. 235, reprinted by permission of Bantam Doubleday Dell Publishing Group. Copyright © 1985. All rights reserved.

pp. 23, 45: Material from *Peopleware: Productive Projects and Teams* by Tom DeMarco and Timothy Lister, pp. 135 and 12, reprinted by permission of Dorset House Publishing. Copyright © 1987. All rights reserved.

p. 35: Material from *Managing Software Maniacs* by Ken Whitaker, p. 45. Copyright © 1994, published by John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved.

p. 51: Material reprinted from *Communications of the ACM*, stated purpose—reprinted monthly. Material reprinted from *IEEE Computer*, stated purpose—reprinted monthly.

pp. 61, 214: Material reprinted from *Software Reliability* by Glenford J. Myers, pp. 39, 189–95. Copyright © 1976, published by John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved.

pp. 61, 165, 313: Material reprinted from *Quality Is Free* by Philip Crosby, pp. 15, 58, 131. Copyright © 1979 McGraw-Hill. Reproduced with permission of The McGraw-Hill Companies.

pp. 63, 147, 158, 168, 170, 215: Material and data adapted from Assessment and Control of Software Risks by Capers Jones, pp. 29, 34, 286, 435, 436. Copyright © 1994. Reprinted by permission of Prentice-Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, N.J. All rights reserved.

p. 85: Figure 6.3 reprinted from IEEE Std 830-1993 IEEE Recommended Practice for Software Requirements Specifications, Copyright © 1994 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. The IEEE disclaims any responsibility or liability resulting from the placement and use in this publication. Information is reprinted with the permission of the IEEE.

pp. 105, 127: Material reprinted from W.S. Humphrey, *Managing the Software Process* (pages vii & 287), © 1989 Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc. Reprinted by permission of Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Company, Inc.

pp. 129, 145, 169: Material and data adapted from CMU/SEI-93-TR-24 and -25 and from the SEI's *The Capability Maturity Model: Guidelines for Improving the Software Process*, Copyright © 1995, Addison-Wesley, by permission of the Software Engineering Institute, Pittsburgh, Penn.

p. 171: Data adapted from *Applied Software Measurement* by Capers Jones, p. 76. Copyright © 1991. Material used with permission of the author and The McGraw-Hill Companies.

p. 181: Material reprinted from *The Art of Software Testing* by Glenford J. Myers, pp. 12–13. Copyright © 1979, published by John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved.

p. 191: Material reprinted and data adapted from *Software Engineering Economics* by Barry W. Boehm, p. 40. Copyright © 1981. Reprinted by permission of Prentice-Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, N.J.

p. 195: Material reprinted from *Software Inspection Process* by Robert G. Ebenau and Susan H. Strauss, p. 39. Copyright ©1994. Material is reproduced with permission of The McGraw-Hill Companies.

p. 200: Data adapted from Kirk Bankes and Fred Sauer, "Ford Systems Inspection Experience," *Proceedings of the 1995 International Information Technology Quality Conference* (Orlando, Fla.: Quality Assurance Institute, 1995). Used courtesy of Kirk Bankes.

pp. 211, 215, 266: Material reprinted and data adapted from *Software Testing Techniques*, 2nd ed. by Boris Beizer, pp. 3 and 75. Copyright © 1990. Reprinted by permission of ITP Computer Press.

p. 244: Material reprinted from James D. Wilson, "Methodology Mania: Which One Fits Best?" *Journal of the Quality Assurance Institute*, Vol. 7, No. 2 (April 1993), p. 22. Reprinted with permission of the Quality Assurance Institute.

p. 263: Figure 15.3 reprinted from James Walsh, "Determining Software Quality," *Computer Language*, Vol. 10, No. 4 (April 1993), p. 65. Reprinted with permission of Miller Freeman Inc.

p. 265: Figure 15.4 adapted from Robert B. Grady, "Practical Results from Measuring Software Quality," *Communications of the ACM*, Vol. 36, No. 11 (November 1993), p. 65. Figure adaptation courtesy of ACM. Copyright © 1993 Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. Reprinted with permission.

p. 316: Ratio provided by private communication from Capers Jones, Chairman, Software Productivity Research, Inc. Used by permission.

p. 326: Figure 20.1 reprinted courtesy of Corporate Ergonomics, Eastman Kodak Company.

Contents

Figures and Tables xvii	
Preface xix	
Part 1: A Software Engineering Culture	1
Chapter 1: Software Culture Concepts 3	3
Culture Defined 3	
Growing Your Own Culture 6	
A Healthy Software Culture 8	
Individual Behaviors 8	
Team Behaviors 8	
Management Behaviors 9	
Organizational Characteristics 9	
A Less Healthy Software Culture 10	
Individual Behaviors 10	
Management Behaviors 11	
Organizational Characteristics 11	
Organizational Options 12	
The Management Challenge 15	
Summary 18	
Culture Builders and Killers 18	
References and Further Reading 19	

Chapter 2: Standing On Principle 23

Integrity and Intelligence: With Customers24Integrity and Intelligence: With Managers27The Five Dimensions of a Software Project28Summary33

Culture Builders and Killers 34 References and Further Reading 34

Chapter 3: Recognizing Achievements Great and Small 35

The Importance of Being Visible 38 The Importance of Management Attitude 39 Rewards for a Job Well Done 40 Summary 41 Culture Builders and Killers 42 References and Further Reading 43

Chapter 4: So Much to Learn, So Little Time 45

What to Learn 47 Where to Learn 49 **Professional Seminar Sources** 49 50 Technical Conferences Publications 51 Videotape Instruction 53 **On-Line Information Sources** 53 Professional Societies and Certification 53 Summary 55 Culture Builders and Killers 55 References and Further Reading 56

Part II: In Search of Excellent Requirements 59

Chapter 5: Optimizing Customer Involvement 61

Software Requirements: The Foundation of Quality 62 The Need for Customer Involvement 64 The Project Champion Model 66 Project Champion Expectations 69 When the Project Champion Model Fails 73 Summary 75 Culture Builders and Killers 75 References and Further Reading 76

Chapter 6: Tools for Sharing the Vision 78

Use Cases 79 Software Requirements Specifications 83 Dialog Maps 91 Prototypes 93 Requirements Traceability Matrices 96 From Requirements to Code 97 Summary 98 Culture Builders and Killers 99 References and Further Reading 100

Part III: Improving Your Processes 103

Chapter 7: Process Improvement Fundamentals 105

Principles of Process Improvement 106 Getting Started with Process Improvement 112 Summary 114 Culture Builders and Killers 115 References and Further Reading 116

Chapter 8: Process Improvement Case Study 117

Making Change Happen 122 Sustaining Momentum 123 Summary 125 Culture Builders and Killers 126 References and Further Reading 126

Chapter 9: Software Process Maturity 127

The Capability Maturity Model 128 Level 1: Initial 130 Level 2: Repeatable 131 Level 3: Defined 131 Level 4: Managed 132 Level 5: Optimizing 133 Dissenting Opinions 135 Process Assessments 137 Process Maturity and Culture 139 Summary 140 Culture Builders and Killers 141 References and Further Reading 141

Chapter 10: Software Development Procedures 146

Standards, Procedures, and Guidelines, Oh My! 147 Local Development Guidelines 149 Our Software Development Guidelines 151 IEEE Standards 155 Other Standards Sources 156 Summary 158 Culture Builders and Killers 159 References and Further Reading 160

Part IV: The Bug Stops Here 163

Chapter 11: The Quality Culture 165

The Cost of Quality 167 Assuring Software Quality 168 *How Dense Are Your Defects?* 170 *Lines of Code versus Application Functionality* 172 How Good Is Good Enough? 174 An Assault on Defects 178 Explicit SQA Responsibilities 181 Why Do We Think Quality Practices Pay Off? 184 Summary 185 Culture Builders and Killers 185 References and Further Reading 186

Chapter 12: Improving Quality by Software Inspection 189

Inspections and Culture 190 Benefits of Inspections 190 Inspections, Walkthroughs, and Reviews 194 Guiding Principles for Reviews and Inspections 198 Keeping Records 201 Making Inspections Work in Your Culture 204 Summary 207 Culture Builders and Killers 207 References and Further Reading 208

Chapter 13: Structured Testing 211

Testing and the Quality Culture 212 A Unit Testing Strategy 215 Cyclomatic Complexity and Testing 218 Test Management and Automation 220 Structured Testing Guidelines 222 Summary 224 Culture Builders and Killers 224 References and Further Reading 225

Part V: Methods, Measures, and Tools 229

Chapter 14: The CASE for Iteration 231

Types of CASE Tools 233 Hypes of CASE Tools 235 Lessons from Our CASE History 236 Fitting CASE into Your Culture 244 Other Benefits from CASE 247 Culture Change for CASE 248 Summary 249 Culture Builders and Killers 250 References and Further Reading 251

Chapter 15: Control Change Before It Controls You 254

Benefits of a Problem Tracking System 255 A Software Change Management Case Study 256 The Software Change Control Board 261 How Change Control Can Simplify Your Life 262 Learning from Bug Detection Trends 263 Proactive Failure Reporting 265 Making Change Management Work in Your Culture 267 Summary 268 Culture Builders and Killers 269 References and Further Reading 270

Chapter 16: Taking Measures to Stay on Track 272

Why Measurement Programs Fail 273 Metrics Programs Don't Have to Fail 276 What to Measure 276 How to Design Your Metrics Program 278 Summary 282 Culture Builders and Killers 283 References and Further Reading 284

Chapter 17: Case Study: Measurement in a Small Software Group 287

Software Work Effort Metrics 287 Trends and Applications 295 Metrics-Based Project Estimation 297 Lessons from Work Effort Metrics 300 Predicting Maintainability with Metrics 300 Summary 301 Culture Builders and Killers 302 References and Further Reading 302

Chapter 18: If It Makes Sense, Do It 304

Summary 308 Culture Builders and Killers 308 References and Further Reading 309

Part VI: What to Do on Monday 311

Chapter 19: Action Planning for Software Managers 313

Action Item Menu 315 Summary 318 References and Further Reading 318

Chapter 20: Action Planning for Software Engineers 319

Action Item Menu 320 Building a Healthy Workplace 324 Summary 327 References and Further Reading 327

Epilogue 329

Appendix A: Sources for Continued Software Learning 331

Appendix B: Contact Information for Selected Resources 337

Bibliography 339

Author Index 349

Subject Index 351

Reviewers' Comments 359

Figures and Tables

Figure 1.1. A software engineering culture. 5 Characteristics of Constantine's four organizational paradigms. 13 Figure 1.2. Table 1.1. Characteristics of a Structured Open Team. 14 Aspects of "Roman" versus "Greek" Software Engineering Cultures. 15 Table 1.2. *Table* 1.3. Software Engineering Cultural Principles. 17 Figure 2.1. *The five dimensions of a software project.* 29 Figure 2.2. Flexibility diagram for an internal information system. 30 Figure 2.3. Flexibility diagram for a quality-driven application. 31 Figure 2.4. Flexibility diagram for a competitive commercial application. 31 Figure 2.5. Sample form for documenting the negotiated dimensions for a project. 32 Figure 3.1. The simplest form of recognition. 36 Figure 3.2. *Priorities for the enlightened software manager.* 40 Growing better software engineers through continuing education. 47 Figure 4.1. Table 4.1. Technical and Nontechnical Skills for Software Developers. 48 Table 4.2. Professional Computing Societies. 51 Table 4.3. Professional Computing Certifications. 54 Figure 5.1 "Specification" for a Stonehenge replica. 62 Figure 5.2 *The software development expectation gap.* 63 Table 5.1. Effective Techniques for Requirements Engineering. 64 Figure 5.3. Project champion model for a large project. 68 Figure 5.4. The context diagram shows what is inside the system and what is not. 70 Figure 6.1. *Process and deliverables from the use case method.* 80 Figure 6.2. *Use case flipchart created in a workshop with user representatives.* 81 Figure 6.3. *IEEE template for software requirements specifications.* 85 Table 6.1. Characteristics of a High-Quality Requirements Statement. 87 Table 6.2. Some Quality Attributes for Software Operation. 88 Some Quality Attributes for Software Revision. 88 *Table* 6.3. Table 6.4. Some Quality Attributes for Software Transition. 89 Figure 6.4. Sample dialog map. 92 Figure 6.5. Prototyping reduces the software development expectation gap. 94 Figure 6.6. Sample requirements traceability matrix. 96 Activities involved in the effective practice of software engineering. 107 Figure 7.1. Figure 7.2. A process improvement task cycle. 113 Figure 7.3. Template for action planning. 114 Add new skills to your toolbox for future projects. 120 Figure 8.1. Figure 8.2. *Emotional reactions to pressure for change.* 122 Figure 9.1. Several evolutionary scales for software development. 128 Software Engineering Institute's Capability Maturity Model for software. 129 Figure 9.2.

Table 9.1. Key Process Areas for the Repeatable Level. 132 Table 9.2. Key Process Areas for the Defined Level. 133 Table 9.3. Key Process Areas for the Managed Level. 133 Key Process Areas for the Optimizing Level. 134 *Table 9.4.* Where do you fit along the CMM philosophy spectrum? 137 Figure 9.3. Figure 10.1. Software guidelines, procedures, and standards. 148 *Figure* 10.2. Sample source file header documentation template. 153 *Table 10.1.* IEEE Software Development Standards. 157 *Table* 11.1. Some Components of the Cost of Quality for Software. 167 Figure 11.1. Internal rework and post-release maintenance. 169 Table 11.2. Source Statements, Assembler Instructions, and Function Points. 171 Table 11.3. Defect Levels Associated with Different Sigma Values. 172 *Figure* 11.2. Example of how to calculate defect detection efficiencies. 176 Table 11.4. Reaching Six-Sigma Quality in a C Program. 177 *Figure* 11.3. A customer/supplier process model for software. 179 Allocating Software Quality Responsibilities. 183 *Table* 11.5. Trends in defect-correction work effort over five years. 184 *Figure* 11.4. Increase in the cost of fixing bugs throughout the life cycle [Boehm, 1981]. 191 Figure 12.1. Benefits from Software Inspections. 192 *Table* 12.1. *Table* 12.2. Errors Found by Code Inspection or Testing. 193 Figure 12.2. Stages in a formal software inspection process (adapted from [Ebenau, 1994]). 195 Defects found versus inspection rate. 200 *Figure* 12.3. Inspection summary report. 202 Figure 12.4. Figure 12.5. Inspection issues list. 203 Record of code reviews carried out. 204 Figure 12.6. Survey of Testing Practices Among Kodak R&D Software Engineers. 212 *Table* 13.1. *Table* 13.2. Myers' Software Testing Axioms. 214 Data flow model for operation of an automated test driver. 221 *Figure* 13.1. Sample test report from the Graphics Engine. 222 *Figure* 13.2. Some upper-CASE and lower-CASE tool categories. 223 *Figure* 14.1. Sample data flow diagram that will generate a validation error. 234 Figure 14.2. Figure 14.3. Different platforms used for development steps. 243 The data stores connected to a DFD process define its external interfaces. 248 *Figure* 14.4. *Figure* 15.1. Architecture of the SWCHANGE problem tracking system. 257 *Figure* 15.2. SWCHANGE entry submission screen. 258 Figure 15.3. Example of reliability modeling from defect discovery rates [Walsh, 1993]. 263 Categorization of sources of software defects at Hewlett-Packard [Grady, 1993]. 265 Figure 15.4. *Table* 15.1. Top Level of Beizer's Taxonomy of Bugs [Beizer, 1990]. 266 Sample e-mail message from a trapped REXX error. 267 *Figure* 15.5. A recommended starter set of software metrics. 277 Figure 16.1. Some Measurable Dimensions of Software Products, Projects, and Processes. 279 *Table* 16.1. Sample goal/question/metric strategy for a goal of early retirement. 280 *Figure* 16.2. Figure 16.3. Goal/question/metric feedback model for software metrics. 281 Figure 17.1. Work effort distribution over time for one software project. 291 Figure 17.2. Sample work effort distribution report for one project. 295 Figure 17.3. New development work effort distribution for one software group, by year. 296 Development time as a function of number of requirements. 298 Figure 17.4. Relating Software Engineering Practices to Organizational Goals. 306 Table 18.1. Figure 20.1. Recommended configurations of a safe computer work environment. 326 Some Suppliers of Software Training Seminars. 332 Table A.1. Table A.2. Some Software Development and Quality Conferences. 333 Table A.3. Some Software Engineering and Software Quality Periodicals. 334 Table A.4. Sources of Information about Testing Tools. 335 Table A.5. Sources of Information about CASE Tools. 335

Preface

Rarely has a professional field evolved as rapidly as software development. The struggle to stay abreast of new technologies, to deal with accumulated development and maintenance backlogs, and to cope with people issues has become a treadmill race, as software groups work hard just to stay in place. A key goal of disciplined software engineering is to avoid the surprises that can occur when software development goes awry. Software surprises almost always lead to bad news: canceled projects, late delivery, cost overruns, dissatisfied customers, and unemployment because of outsourcing.

The culture of an organization is a critical success factor in its efforts to survive, improve, and flourish. A culture based on a commitment to quality software development and management differentiates a team that practices excellent software engineering from a gaggle of individual programmers doing their best to ship code. In a software engineering culture, the focus on quality is present at all levels—individual, project, and organization.

In this book, I share a cultural framework that was effective in improving the results obtained by several software groups at Eastman Kodak Company. Most of our projects involved small teams of one to five developers, with typical durations of six months to two years. Each part of the book discusses several guiding principles that shaped the way we chose to create software. I also describe the specific software engineering practices that we adopted to improve the quality and productivity of our work. We believe a culture based on these principles and practices has improved our effectiveness as software engineers, the relationship and reputation we have with our customers, and our level of collaborative teamwork. Many of the experiences related and suggestions offered are most relevant to work-groups of two to ten engineers. Since even large software products are often constructed by small teams of engineers working together, these technical activities are applicable in a wide variety of organizations.

With this book I hope to reach first-line software managers, project leaders, and practitioners who wish to drive progress toward an improved, quality-oriented culture in their organization. My goals are to provide practical ideas for immediately improving the way a team performs software engineering, and to show that continuous software process improvement is both possible and worthwhile. I am assuming that the reader has the ability to actually change the culture of his software group, or at least to positively influence those who can drive changes.

I present here a tool kit composed of many ideas and practices for those who wish to improve the quality of the software they develop, along with case studies of how these methods really worked. Our groups have applied all the methods described, and I have used nearly all of them personally. Every anecdote is real, although the names have been changed. While not every team member has used every good method on every project, we invariably obtained better results when we applied these solid engineering practices than when we did not.

An organization grows a quality-directed software culture by blending established approaches from many sources with locally developed solutions to specialized problems. To help point toward useful sources in the voluminous software literature, each chapter provides an annotated bibliography of references and additional reading materials. The references I feel are particularly valuable are marked with a bookshelf icon.

Each chapter contains several "Culture Builder" tips (marked with a handshake icon), which are things a manager or project leader can do to promote an attitude and environment that leads to software engineering excellence. "Culture Killers" are also described, and are marked with a skull and crossbones warning icon. Culture killers are management actions that will undermine a team devoted to superior software engineering or prevent such a culture from developing. Sadly, many of these are real examples. You can probably think of other culture killers from your own experience, as either victim or unknowing perpetrator. Although both builders and killers are written in the form of recommendations, remember that the culture killers are tongue-in-cheek. Don't rush into work next Monday with an agenda of action items selected from the culture killers!

Some of the experiences of our software groups at Kodak were published originally in the following articles; material is included here with permission from the publishers:

Wiegers, Karl E. "Creating a Software Engineering Culture," *Software Development*, Vol. 2, No. 7 (July 1994), pp. 59-66.

——. "Effective Quality Practices in a Small Software Group," *The Software QA Quarterly*, Vol. 1, No. 2 (Spring 1994), pp. 14-26.

-----. "Implementing Software Engineering in a Small Software Group," Computer Language, Vol. 10, No. 6 (June 1993), pp. 55-64.

——. "Improving Quality Through Software Inspections," *Software Development*, Vol. 3, No. 4 (April 1995), pp. 55-64.

——. "Lessons from Software Work Effort Metrics," *Software Development*, Vol. 2, No. 10 (October 1994), pp. 36-47.

------. "In Search of Excellent Requirements," Journal of the Quality Assurance Institute, Vol. 9, No. 1 (January 1995), pp. 23-32.

This page intentionally left blank

CREATING A SOFTWARE ENGINEERING CULTURE

This page intentionally left blank

Recognizing Achievements Great and Small

Another major software player decided to "dangle" incentive money in front of its developers. . . . The dictated delivery date came and went and no product had been delivered. . . . Senior management withdrew the incentive and threatened jobs since developers were employed to deliver even without the need for extra compensation. Some of the software engineers (the good ones) left the company, morale was horrible, and by the time a product was delivered, the company's market share had eroded. What a terrible lesson to learn.

-Ken Whitaker, Managing Software Maniacs

When I first became the supervisor of the Kodak software group in which I had worked for several years, I initiated a simple (and slightly corny) recognition program. When someone reached a minor project milestone or made a small contribution such as helping another team member with a problem, I gave him a package of M&M[®] candies, with a message tag attached expressing congratulations or thanks, as appropriate. Bigger achievements generated bigger bags of M&Ms, or something more tangible. It wasn't much, but it was more than we were used to.

As I expected, the candy disappeared immediately, but I was pleasantly surprised to see that some people kept the message tags visible around their desks. To them, the important thing was not the bag of candy, but the words indicating that their manager noticed and valued the progress being made. It soon became apparent that group members preferred to have the presentations made publicly at our weekly team meetings, indicating their desire for peer recognition of even small achievements.

M&Ms worked with our group, but some other social recognition technique might work better for you. We also gave this sort of micro-recognition award to people outside the group who helped us in some way. It brought smiles to their faces and goodwill to our relationships. However you choose to do it, appropriate praise and commendation help to build the culture of teamwork and striving for excellence that we all want, and it can motivate your team members to do an even better job in the future, since they know you appreciate their efforts. The form and extent of recognition and reward is a visible indication of an organization's culture. If managers believe the employees are lucky just to have jobs, they won't go out of their way to offer even small gestures of appreciation or congratulations. Conversely, in a market characterized by competitive hiring and high staff turnover, an effective recognition program can help retain talented developers. M&Ms won't make up for low salaries or unpleasant working conditions, but simple recognition is an important step in the right direction.

Software engineers are like other people (well, pretty much): We want to be appreciated, and we appreciate being wanted. Besides the internal satisfaction we obtain from interesting, challenging work and the tangible compensation in our paychecks, we want to feel that our efforts are noticed and valued by those around us. We all enjoy receiving compliments, especially when they come from various sources: peers, customers, team leader, senior managers, professional associates.

Praise for a job well done should be timely, direct, personal, and specific (see Fig. 3.1). If you are a manager, don't wait until performance appraisal or salary adjustment time rolls around to pass along some positive feedback. Tell the individual exactly what he or she did well and why you appreciate it. A mumbled "Keep up the good work" in the hallway is more likely to confuse than motivate the recipient. Your team members must know you are sincere when you offer compliments on their work. Though many people feel awkward when they receive a compliment, they appreciate that someone took the trouble to say how pleased he was with your work.



Figure 3.1: The simplest form of recognition.

Recognition can take many forms. Donna Deeprose presents more than one hundred ideas about how to select appropriate and meaningful recognition and rewards for your employees [Deeprose, 1994]. Ask the members of your team what kinds of recognition are important to *them*. Do they prefer a public pronouncement at the weekly group meeting, or are they more comfortable with private ceremonies? Should recognition come just from you, or is it meaningful to have higherlevel managers participate in certain recognition activities? Tailor the reinforcement you offer to be significant to the recipients. The following paragraphs describe some of the things the groups in which I have worked have done to express appreciation and to build a positive culture. Some of these apply to individuals, while others are appropriate for teams of people.

Spend a few moments at weekly team meetings to give everyone a chance to pass along some positive reinforcement ("R+") to others. Did a coworker help you solve a problem this week? Did someone take some action out of the ordinary that helped the team? If yes, say so! The group may be uncomfortable when you first try this, but they should warm to the idea over time. If group members are so isolated from each other that no one ever has any R+ to pass along, you may have some serious issues of team dynamics to address.

A traveling trophy that moves from project to project can be used to recognize team achievements. In keeping with the M&M motif, we used a framed threepound M&M bag (empty, sad to say) as a traveling trophy. Recipients displayed the prize in their office area until another project reached a milestone worthy of recognition. The trophy was ceremoniously passed from one team to the next in our group meeting. If you try something like this, be sure to keep the trophy traveling every few weeks, or its significance becomes lost.

Food and entertainment are also good ways to recognize someone's contributions or special achievement. Taking the team to a celebration luncheon when a milestone is reached can be fun for everyone involved. A gift certificate for dinner at a restaurant gives an individual recipient a chance to celebrate privately with friends or family. Whenever a member of my team earned a college or advanced degree, my wife and I took him and his significant other out to dinner. Maybe going to dinner with the boss is not everyone's idea of a great time, but it worked for us. On another occasion, I gave each team member a pair of movie passes as a symbol of how much I appreciated their time and teamwork when, during an intense period of selecting new members for our group, the entire team of ten pitched in on short notice to participate in the interviews. It was a small gesture but a sincere way of saying, "Thanks for the help, gang."

Recognize individuals outside your group for their contributions as well. It's amazing how much future cooperation you can secure with a simple gesture of appreciation. As the recipient of a few such gestures myself, it always makes me feel good to know that someone really appreciated something I did, however routine it may have seemed to me. We have thanked project customer representatives by taking them to lunch, giving them certificates to hang on the wall, and bestowing restaurant gift certificates upon those who shouldered the most responsibility. One customer even reciprocated, throwing a lunch bash for the development group. This sort of customer-developer interaction helps build a culture of constructive teamwork.

Be sure to recognize people for attaining minor milestones, as well as when they complete a big project. Interim pats on the back help provide team members with the incentive to keep pushing ahead. Again, it says to the recipient, "Congratulations on making progress toward your goals."

As a manager, you must actively look for recognition opportunities, and seize the moment as soon as you spot one. The manager who realizes an achievement is worthy of formal recognition but waits to figure out what he wants to do about it, and waits to execute his plan, may provide recognition too far removed from the achievement itself to mean much to the recipient. "Oh, so you finally noticed what I did," is a typical unspoken reaction to a belated recognition effort. A manager who expects such opportunities automatically to pop up in front of him will miss many of them, and will not deliver consistent recognition messages. Also remember that managers who fail to reward exceptional contributions are sowing the seeds of discontent. The absence of well-deserved recognition is highly demotivating.

The Importance of Being Visible

The antithesis of being recognized for your achievements is feeling that your managers do not know who you are, what you do on the project, how you do it, or what your contributions are to the company as a whole. When was the last time your supervisor stopped by your office just to say hello and to ask how things are going? How about a visit from a manager farther up the corporate hierarchy?

Some people are uncomfortable with an unannounced manager visit, but others welcome the opportunity to share their concerns and show the boss what they are working on. "Management By Walking Around" is one way managers express interest in the individuals in their organization; it can and should be practiced by managers at all levels. Think about it: If you are a first-line supervisor and you never see your boss in the engineering staff's offices, chances are you'll conclude that he or she is hopelessly out of touch with the group.

People are more motivated to put in extra effort when they know the higherups value it. We have all worked for managers who represented the other extreme, having a limited awareness of the group's challenges and contributions. How excited can you get about trying to please such managers? As a supervisor, make sure you really know what the engineers in your department are doing. Who are the contributors, the innovators, the leaders? Who is just along for the ride? The team members will have no confidence that they can get fair performance appraisals if they rarely talk to their supervisor. Your employees need to have adequate opportunities to explain what they do and the problems they face.

When appropriate, a manager should also show interest in professional activities that are unrelated to specific projects. It is discouraging to put in extra time to prepare a presentation for a local software conference, then not to see your boss's face in the audience. Telling you he is pleased you are doing something extra is not nearly so meaningful as if he actually shows up for the event. Remember all those plays, dance presentations, and concerts you sat through because your children were on stage? Being a good manager demands some of the same actions as being a good parent. It means a lot to know your supervisor cares about what you are doing.

The Importance of Management Attitude

Here's a radical idea: Think of you, as a manager, working *for* the people who report to you, as opposed to the more traditional view of subordinates working for the supervisor. The people you supervise are the customers for your leadership and management services, including

- coaching and mentoring
- setting project goals and priorities
- resolving problems and conflicts
- providing resources
- evaluating performance and providing feedback
- career development
- leading process improvement efforts
- providing technical guidance when appropriate

Give your own people top priority over the demands of others for your time. Your priorities as a manager should be those shown in Fig. 3.2.

Unfortunately, too many managers are busy looking *up* the corporate organization chart, not down. The sequence in Fig. 3.2 is frequently inverted, with the key driver being what you think will make your own boss happy. In a healthy, congruent workplace, the boss should be thrilled if you are meeting the needs of your team and its customers. Not everyone is fortunate enough to work in such an enlightened environment; priorities are usually defined by the perception of who you think you have to please to keep your job.

One way a manager can tell if his priorities are straight is whether he ever receives any recognition from his team members. It meant more to me to get an R+ from one of the people I supervised than to get one from my own supervisor. If you are an individual contributor, remember to thank your managers for special contributions they make or extra help they provide to you. Managers are people, too, with the same desire to be appreciated that anyone else has.



Figure 3.2: Priorities for the enlightened software manager.

Rewards for a Job Well Done

The skillful manager will use recognition and rewards to reinforce desired behaviors, rather than offering tangible incentives to individuals or teams to achieve specific goals. The main incentive for most software people to go to work each day is the opportunity to work on challenging projects with stimulating colleagues, in an environment that encourages quality work, in which software skills can be applied and extended.

Dangling extra cash as a carrot in front of a software engineer to try to get him to work faster-harder-longer can backfire [Whitaker, 1994]. If the ambitious goals are not met, and developers know the pot of gold at the end of the rainbow won't be forthcoming, how do you keep them motivated? Withdraw the incentives (thereby destroying morale), or renew the incentives (thereby showing that falling short of management's outrageous goals is just as meritorious as achieving them)? Either way, everyone loses. Instead of offering incentives, design a reward program that matches your organization's culture and means something to your team members. Motivate your team through frequent interim recognition activities, and reward them for a job well done when the job really is done. People should also be rewarded for taking intelligent risks, even if a great notion or great effort doesn't pay off for reasons outside the development team's control. Public rewards indicate to the rest of the group those behaviors you feel are desirable.

Rewards can be monetary or non-monetary. Slipping a few bonus bills in the pay envelope may seem like a sure way to please an employee, but often something else would be preferable. Talk to your people and understand what rewards they feel are significant. The corporate culture will have some influence in selecting feasible rewards. It may be easier to give someone a substantial, but non-cash, award, such as a trip to a conference or trade show. Some companies reward employees with frequent flyer miles, which can be purchased from airlines for a few cents per mile. Some developers might enjoy extra vacation time; others might want to buy a special software package with which to experiment, just because they heard it was interesting. If someone does an exceptional job on a project, he might appreciate a mini-sabbatical, a couple of weeks set aside to work on whatever he likes.

Another kind of reward is the opportunity to work on an exciting new project. In some groups, only the old hands have the skills and knowledge to keep the legacy systems alive. Less experienced people may be assigned to projects involving newer technology, which are more fun than maintaining ancient applications. This is a good way to drive your senior staff members out of the group, to search for opportunities where they can learn contemporary skills and work on the kinds of projects they read about in computer magazines. Creative and experienced engineers don't want to be mired in legacy code for the rest of their careers. Look for ways to reward your best workers by keeping them stimulated with new learning opportunities and project challenges.

Summary

- ✓ People need to feel the work they do is appreciated.
- Receiving positive reinforcement from peers, managers, and customers is highly motivating to most people. Failing to recognize someone's exceptional contributions and major achievements is demotivating. Why do extra work if your managers don't care?
- ✓ Recognition says, "I appreciate your effort," "Congratulations on your accomplishment," or simply, "I noticed what you did."
- Find out what kinds of recognition and rewards are meaningful to your people, and tailor your R+ program accordingly to foster a culture of desirable software engineering behaviors.
- Recognize minor accomplishments and milestones, to motivate individuals to keep working toward their major objectives.

- Managers can build better relationships with their team members simply by understanding the work they do and showing a sincere interest in it. Talk to your people informally, to find out what they are excited and unhappy about. Sometimes, this approach will let you deal with a concern before it becomes a crisis.
- ✓ A software manager should regard the people who report to him as his most important customers. The manager's top priority should be to address the needs of his team members.
- Reward your staff members, whatever their job, for a good per**v** formance, rather than offering big incentives to induce them to do great work in the future.

Culture Builders and Killers

Culture Builder: Distribute recognition awards equitably to your group members. Don't reserve recognition events only for project leaders, members of high-profile project teams, or your senior technical people. The scale and frequency of rewards does not have to be the same for everyone-after all, people are different—but it is demoralizing for an employee to see the same coworkers being recognized repeatedly without anyone noticing his own achievements.

Culture Builder: Make sure you are accessible to the people who report to you. Schedule one-on-one meetings with those who desire them, at whatever interval is mutually acceptable to you and each individual. A general open-door policy is important, too, but your team members may be reluctant to bother you if they know how busy you are. They deserve a slice of your undivided attention at regular intervals.

Culture Builder: If you make a verbal commitment to someone for a reward, be sure to follow through on it. Forgetting that you made this promise demonstrates a lack of sincerity. Be sure to reward the right people for the right reasons. If you aren't sure who made key contributions to a successful project, find out before you present any rewards or recognition. Few things are more infuriating than seeing a person receive praise (or more) for work that was actually done by someone else.



Culture Killer: In an era of political correctness carried to an extreme, you don't dare run the risk of anyone crying "Discrimination!" on any basis. Therefore, it is safest to offer exactly the same kinds of recognition to all of your team members, whether they excel in the performance of highly challenging work or they struggle to meet minimal expectations.

Culture Killer: Here are some good reasons to cancel, cut short, or interrupt a regularly scheduled one-on-one meeting with one of your team members:

- Someone else has already stopped by your office to chat.
- You need to work on one of your own projects.
- Your telephone rings.
- You have been invited to join a new committee that meets at that same time.
- Your boss calls another meeting for that time.
- You have to travel to another site to meet with someone else.
- You forgot about it.

Whenever anything short of a true emergency interferes with a scheduled meeting with someone you supervise, you are sending a clear message: "Everything else I have to do is more important to me than you are."

Culture Killer: Offer recognition, such as a luncheon with several important managers, to an individual who has done an ordinary job on an ordinary assignment, but offer nothing to other group members for taking significant initiative that extends outside the boundaries of their assignment. Word will get around that recognition depends on who you are, not what you do.

References and Further Reading



Deeprose, Donna. How to Recognize and Reward Employees. New York: AMACOM, 1994.

Deeprose presents ten guidelines that can give your recognition program more impact. She lists one hundred ways you might provide recognition and reward, in the form of structured reward programs, spontaneous rewards, and day-to-day feedback. You can recover the cost of the book the first time you provide an employee with recognition that motivates him to work a little bit harder or smarter on the company's behalf.



Whitaker, Ken. Managing Software Maniacs. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1994.

In Chapter 3, "Attracting and Keeping Developers," Whitaker argues that managers should reward developers after the project is completed, rather than trying to motivate them by promising wonderful rewards in advance as an incentive. This book contains many horror stories of management actions that led to undesirable results.

Author Index

Ambler, S., 82, 100, 339 Ash, D., 303, 340 Bach, J., 135, 141, 271, 339 Bankes, K., 200, 208, 339 Basili, V., 278, 284, 339 Beizer, B., 146, 211, 215, 216, 217, 225, 226, 264, 266, 270, 339 Bell, R., 252, 346 Bergin, T., 234, 251, 339 Binder, R., 126, 339 Boddie, J., 56, 339 Boehm, B., 19, 190-91, 208, 297, 302, 340 Bollinger, T., 340 Bond, S., 142, 341 Brooks, F., 11, 19, 231, 340 Card, D., 278, 284, 340 Carleton, A., 143, 343 Carmel, E., 76, 344 Carnegie Mellon University/Software Engineering Institute, 128, 130, 134, 142, 149, 160, 169, 186, 340 Caswell, D., 285, 343 Chidamber, S., 279, 284, 340 Chrissis, M., 144, 345 Christerson, M., 102, 344 Clark, J., 252, 343 Cohen, R., 52, 57, 340 Coleman, D., 300, 303, 340 Constantine, L., 12, 13, 20, 79, 100, 340 Cornell, J., 93, 100, 341 Covey, S., 52, 321, 327, 341

Crosby, P., 61, 76, 127, 141, 165, 167, 187, 313, 341 Curtis, B., 136, 142, 144, 341, 345 Daskalantonakis, M., 138, 142, 273, 276, 281, 284, 341 Davis, A., 61, 78, 84, 95, 100, 341 Deeprose, D., 37, 43, 341 DeGrace, P., 14, 20, 86, 101, 233, 245, 251, 341 DeMarco, T., 3, 19, 20, 23, 45, 51, 57, 127, 254, 272, 273, 278, 279, 284, 292, 297, 303, 317, 318, 341 Deutsch, M., 86, 87, 101, 187, 341 Dion, R., 128, 142, 342 Dixon, R., 235, 251, 342 Dorfman, M., 77, 346 Dreger, J., 173, 187, 342 Ebenau, R., 192, 194, 195, 196, 200, 208, 342 Fagan, M., 192, 194, 209, 342 Falk, J., 226, 271, 344 Fenton, N., 342 Freedman, D., 201, 209, 342 Gause, D., 76, 342 Gianturco, M., 215, 226, 342 Gilb, T., 86, 89, 101, 192, 209, 342 Glass, R., 86, 87, 101, 187, 342 Goodman, P., 342 Grady, R., 192, 209, 264, 265, 270, 274, 275, 276, 281, 284-85, 342, 343 Graham, D., 209, 342 Harwin, R., 324, 327, 343 Haynes, C., 327, 343

Hefley, W., 142, 341 Herbsleb, J., 128, 143, 343 Hetzel, B., 282, 285, 343 Hughes, C., 245, 252, 343 Humphrey, W., 105, 116, 127, 128, 135, 143, 160, 166–67, 170, 187, 192, 196, 209, 343 Iannino, A., 271, 345 IEEE, 84, 101, 160, 211, 214, 226, 264, 270, 279, 285, 343 Ince, D., 156, 160, 343 Jacobsen, I., 79, 102, 344 Janos, L., 348 Johnson, M., 216, 226, 344 Johnson, M.L., 286, 346 Jones, C., 63, 76, 136, 139, 143, 147, 154, 158, 161, 168, 170–71, 173–74, 180, 188, 193, 210, 215, 226, 273, 285, 291, 297, 303, 316, 344 Jonnson, P., 102, 344 Kaner, C., 216, 226, 271, 344 Karten, N., 26, 34, 344 Keil, M., 65, 76, 344 Kemerer, C., 284, 340 Keuffel, W., 57, 271, 340, 344 Kidd, J., 286, 344 Konrad, M., 142, 341 Kuzara, R., 346 Lao-tzu, 319 Layman, B., 161, 344 Lazell, P., 252, 346 Lister, T., 3, 19, 20, 23, 45, 51, 57, 127, 292, 303, 317, 318, 341 Lorenz, M., 279, 286, 344 Lowther, B., 303, 340 Mack, R., 345 Maguire, S., 20, 34, 46, 57, 344 Marose, B., 286, 346 McCabe, T., 216, 218, 226, 344 McConnell, S., 21, 34, 52, 57, 188, 210, 344 McGowan, C., 340 Miller, S., 142, 341 Mosley, D., 217, 219, 227, 345 Musa, J., 263-64, 271, 345 Myers, G., 61, 181, 188, 213–14, 227, 345 Nguyen, H., 226, 271, 344 Nielsen, J., 345 Okumoto, K., 271, 345 Oman, P., 303, 340 Overgaard, G., 102, 344 Page-Jones, M., 128, 143, 252, 345

Paulk, M., 128, 144, 345 Perry, D., 303, 345 Perry, W., 188, 345 Pfleeger, S., 345 Pirsig, R., 52 Port, O., 287 Pressman, R., 57, 139, 144, 345 Raynor, D., 57, 345 Rettig, M., 94, 102, 345 Robertson, J., 234, 252, 346 Robertson, S., 234, 252, 346 Roetzheim, W., 158, 161, 346 Rombach, H., 284, 339 Rozum, J., 143, 343 Rubin, H., 273, 274, 276, 286, 346 Saiedian, H., 346 Sellers, D., 324, 327, 346 Shafer, L., 100, 341 Sharon, D., 234, 252, 346 Siegel, J., 143, 343 Silver, D., 77, 347 Spurr, K., 245, 252, 346 Stahl, L., 14, 20, 101, 251, 341 Staudenmayer, N., 303, 345 Strauss, S., 208, 342 Szmyt, P., 77, 346 Thayer, R., 77, 346 Tripp, L., 156, 161, 346 Van Slack, T., 209, 343 Verdago, G., 286, 346 Votta, L., 303, 345 Walsh, J., 263-64, 271, 346 Weber, C., 144, 345 Weeks, K., 217-18, 227, 346 Weinberg, G., 3, 7, 21, 76, 128, 135, 144, 188, 190, 209, 210, 272–73, 286, 307, 309, 317, 318, 342, 346, 347 Weller, E., 191, 192, 210, 347 Whitaker, K., 21, 35, 40, 44, 347 Wiegers, K., xx-xxi, 347 Willis, R., 101, 187, 341 Wilson, J., 244, 253, 347 Wirth, N., 347 Wood, J., 69, 77, 347 Yeager, C., 16, 22, 348 Yourdon, E., 22, 52, 58, 117, 188, 253, 309, 348 Zubrow, D., 143, 343

Subject Index

Action plan, 113-14, 123, 124, 138-39, 311, 313ff. for software engineers, 319ff. for software managers, 313ff. template for, 114 Analysis, 97, 238, 242, 249 iteration during, 232 paralysis, 89ff., 232 structured, 238 Applied Computer Research (ACR), 52, 337 Appreciation, need for, 36, 41 (see also Recognition; Rewards) Assess-Plan-Do-Verify, 112-13, 115, 316 Assessment, process, 103, 125, 137-39, 140, 149, 151 CMM-based, 137-38, 139, 140 mini, 138-39 Software Productivity Research (SPR), 139, 143 Association for Computing Machinery (ACM), 50-51, 53, 334 Books, see Software literature, books Brainstorming, 112, 117-20, 122-24, 125, 137, 140 Bugs, 163, 165, 189, 256, 258 (see also Defects; Errors; Fault, software) categories of, 264-66 cost of correction, 190ff. inspections and, 192, 205 seeded, 175-76, 207-8

taxonomy of, 264–65, 270 trends in detection, 263–65

Capability Maturity Model (CMM), 63, 128ff., 137ff., 148, 149, 151, 169, 186, 305, 340 key process areas (KPAs), 129-34, 136, 138, 140, 149 levels of, 129-34, 151 objections to, 135-37 CASE tools, 93, 96-97, 231, 233ff., 246, 335 benefits from, 236, 237, 241, 244, 247, 322 communication and, 235-36, 238ff., 249 culture change and, 241, 244, 248-49 integrated, 234, 243, 251 iteration and, 237, 321 lessons, 236-44 lower-, 233, 235, 242, 246 success with, 244, 245-46 training and, 245, 249–51 types of, 233-35 upper-, 233, 237-38, 241, 244, 247 vendors, 235, 335 Certification, see Training, professional certification Change, 6, 12, 16, 107, 109ff., 120, 122ff., 313ff. (see also Change management; Software change control board) agent, 107, 122, 314

assessments, 258-59 control, 149, 262, 254ff., 313 cultural, 16, 107 goals and, 109-110, 112, 124, 314 incentives for, 109-110 measurements and, 111 mini-projects, 112, 115, 118, 120, 123 need for, 120 pain and, 110, 112, 130 process, 18, 313 reactions to, 6, 122, 314 request, 254, 256, 269-70 request process guidelines, 153 resistance to, 109, 110, 314 sustaining momentum, Change management, 71-72, 89-90, 134, 229, 254, 256ff. culture and, 267-68 system, 181, 268 Communication: software engineers and, 78, 108 tools for, 64, 78, 98, 235-36, 238, 240, 242, 321, 335 Conferences, 50-51, 55-56, 126, 313, 333 Congruent behavior, 7, 16 COnstructive COst MOdel (COCOMO), 297ff., 302 Context diagram, 69, 70, 239, 240 Control flow diagram, 239 Control flowgraph, 217, 226 Coverage, test, see Test coverage Culture, xix-xx, 1, 4, 6-8, 18, 46, 107, 109, 125, 131, 135, 137, 229, 313ff. (see also Software engineering culture) definition of, 3-4 inspections and, 190-93, 204-6 organizational paradigms and, 12-13, 122 of quality, 165-88 Customer: (see also Customer/supplier model for software) change requests, 269-70 failure reports, 265, 268 integrity with, 24-26 involvement in requirements, 9, 17, 59, 61ff., 64-66, 74, 75, 119, 180, 306

needs, 5, 24, 25, 33, 61, 66, 78, 79-80, 84, 232, 317 product errors and, 166, 168 projects without, 69 quality and, 166, 175, 329 representatives, 25, 37-38, 65, 66, 68, 10, 90,232 satisfaction metric, 277 software expertise, 25, 33 voice of, 24, 59, 63, 66, 75, 79 Customer/supplier model for software, 178-79, 214, 320 Cyclomatic complexity: basic, 218-20 extended, 218-20 testing and, 216, 218-20 tools for, 220 Data dictionary, 236, 246, 247 Data flow diagram (DFD), 66, 86, 90, 234, 236, 239, 246, 247, 248 Defects, 124, 254, 256 assault on, 178-81 classifying, 201-2, 264-65 correction of, 184, 270, 290, 296 culture and, 254-55 density of, 61, 170-72, 185, 186, 204, 277 found by peer, 168, 190, 207 per function point, 170 names for, 165 opportunity, 170-71 prevention of, 133-34, 167-68 removal efficiency, 193, 203, 224 six-sigma quality and, 171-72, 175 tracking of, 181, 254, 268 units of, 170 Design, 5, 97–98, 133, 231–32, 238, 242, 249 iteration and, 232, 319, 320 measured aspects of, 289 modeling, 232-33 object-oriented, 233, 234 structured, 244 tools, 234 Dialog map, 91–93 Documentation, 5, 10, 71, 78, 133, 255 CASE tools and, 236, 242-43, 320 errors in, 165 guidelines for, 152-53, 156

inspection of, 191 procedure definition and, 149 templates for, 152 work effort metric, 290 Dogma, 17, 111, 120, 135-36, 229, 240, 305ff. Education, professional, 9, 46-47, 49, 54, 55 (see also Training) National Technological University, 53, 55,337 on-line information, 53 resources for, 331-35, 337 Entity-relationship diagram (ERD), 86, 234, 239 Ergonomics, 11, 311ff. environment and, 311, 314, 317, 323ff. recommended configuration, 326 Errors: acceptable level of, 175 healthy engineering culture and, 166 by user, 259 Estimation: and change, 90 metrics for, 27, 297-300 of projects, 27-28, 33, 106, 124, 130, 132, 297-300, 323 tools, 233 Expectations gap, 63, 93–94 Fagan inspection, 189, 194-95, 197 Fault, software, 165, 255 objections to term, 165 Features, 28-32, 34 Flexibility diagram, 29–31, 33 Function point: backfiring estimates, 174 counting scheme, 172-74, 187 defects per, 170 International Function Point Users Group (IFPUG), 173, 337 Functional metrics, 173, 275, 297 vs. LOC counts, 173-74 Goal/question/metric (GQM) paradigm,

278–82, 283, 292, 316 definition of, 278–79 feedback model, 280–82, 292 Guidelines, software development, 147-49, 151-55, 158 areas omitted from, 151-52, 154 glossary section, 154 local development, 149-51 review of, 155, 158 as suggested approaches, 148, 151 team involvement in, 150, 158 for user interface, 154 for user manual, 154 IEEE Computer Society, 50, 51, 53, 64, 161, 334 IEEE standards for software development, 150, 154, 155-58, 159, 182 as guides, 149, 151, 154, 305 for productivity metrics, 173 for quality metrics, 285 for software anomalies, 270 for software quality assurance plans, 182 for software requirements specification, 84-86 for test documentation, 182, 214 Inspection, software, 180, 193, 194-96 (see also Fagan inspection) benefits of, 190-93, 207, 321 of code, formal, 193, 201 culture and, 190-93, 204-6 efficiency of, 175-76, 177 etiquette, 199 failure, reasons for, 205-6 formal, 189, 194-98 forms used in, 200, 201-4 guiding principles for, 153, 198-201 management involvement in, 195, 205 participants, 194-95, 205-7 rates, 199-200, 203 records kept, 201-4, 207 seeded errors for, 207-8 of software requirements specification, 190, 191, 193, 207 stages of, 195-98 summary report, 202-3 time for, 191, 193, 205, 206 training, 205, 206 unit testing and, 191, 193 Iteration, 229, 231ff., 249, 319

Joint Application Design (JAD), 69, 77, 79 Key process area (KPA), see Capability Maturity Model Kiviat diagram, 29-30 Kodak software groups, vii, xix-xx development guidelines, 151ff. Graphics Engine testing example, 220-22 IEEE standards and, 86, 155, 182 measurement programs and, 275, 276, 281, 287ff. metrics, 180, 292 Magazines, see Software literature, periodicals Maintainability, predicting, 300–301 Maintenance, 124, 133, 259 bug-fixing, 163 goal to reduce, 287-88, 300 of orphan software, 185 phases, 289, 290 post-release, 169 reduced by initial quality, 178, 180 Management: behavior, 9, 11 consensus, 109, 110 goals, 287-88, 299 leadership, 15-16, 39, 109-10, 241, 274 measurement programs and, 274, 275, 283 priorities, 4, 39-40 problem tracking, support for, 268, 270 role in leading change, 16, 314, 317 services to staff, 39-40 visibility, 38-39, 274 by walking around, 38 Manager: action planning and, 313ff. attitude toward quality, 166 education, 121, 245 expectations, vague, 147 inspections and, 195, 205, 207, 208 integrity with, 27-28 personal improvement and, 48 process improvement and, 314, 329-30 quality-related tasks vs., 168 tracking QA activities, 183

Maturity, software process, 127-45 (see also Capability Maturity Model; Process, software) culture and, 6, 139-40 Measurement, software development, 119, 123, 140, 229, 272-86, 287-303 culture and, 272, 273, 290 dimensions of, 274, 278, 279 failure of, 273-75 vs. of performance, 274, 283 programs, 273 reasons for, 272, 282 stakeholders and, 274 Methodology, software development, 7, 11, 69, 75, 147, 159, 239, 304ff. (see also Dogma) CASE tools and, 234, 236-37, 245 Metrics, software, 131 database, 276, 294, 299, 300, 301, 302 functional, 173-74 guidelines for, 153, 155 Halstead, 220 indicators, 278 individual evaluation of, 229 maintainability and, 300-301 of maintenance efforts, 184 privacy of, 274, 294 of productivity, 288 starter set, 277-78 trends in, 263-65, 275, 288, 295-97, 301, 302 work effort, 184-85, 287-95, 296, 297, 300, 301, 303 Metrics programs, 203, 229, 273ff., 276ff., 287-303, 335 accounting system vs., 293, 302 designing, 276-77, 278-82 failure, reasons for, 273-75 to monitor progress, 314, 316, 335 for project estimation, 297-300 reporting options, 294-95, 300 as self-controlling process, 294 standards for definitions, 275 Modeling, 232ff., 236ff., 249 CASE tools and, 231-53

Object-orientation, 79, 233, 234, 239, 250, 284

PC-Metric, 220 Peer deskcheck, 197-98, 203, 206, 320-21 Peer reviews, 133, 136, 189, 190, 206, 207, 208, 231, 301, 314, 316, 319, 320 (see also Inspection, software; Fagan inspection; Reviews, by group) People Capability Maturity Model (P-CMM), 136, 142 Performance: self-assessment by manager, 314, 315 of software developers, 3, 124, 208 of software groups, 3, 313ff. Personal Software Process (PSP), 134-35, 143, 166-67, 187 Problem tracking system (PTS), 255ff. commercial, 256-57 as communication tool, 259, 268 culture and, 259, 260, 264, 269 databases used in, 257, 261 philosophy of using, 260-61, 268 reports from, 256, 258-59, 261, 269 repository, 255-56 response time, 260, 269 rules for, 260-61 submission example, 258-59 testing, uses with, 263ff. Procedures, software development, 103, 146 - 62(see also Guidelines) documentation of, 149 engineers, new, and, 159 project schedule and, 160 realistic, 155 resistance to, 150 as step-by-step formula, 148 Process, software, 103ff. (see also Assessment, process; Maturity, software process; Process improvement, software) customer/supplier relationships, 178-79 defect prevention and, 168 evolutionary scales for, 127-28 maturity, 127-45 Process improvement, software, 4, 7, 8, 16, 17, 103ff., 106, 114, 117ff., 120, 125, 134, 137-38, 141, 149, 254 culture and, 103, 106, 114 errors as indicators for, 166

evolutionary, 108-109, 114, 130 goals for, 108, 139, 278, 313ff., 319ff. objectives of, 136 principles of, 106-112 problem tracking system and, 259-60 time and, 106, 120 Productivity: CASE tools and, 321 quality and, 163, 185 Programmers, 160, 168, 287 reviews and, 190, 208 standards and, 150, 307 testing and, 181, 214, 225 Programming, egoless, 8, 190, 210 Project, software development: change request tracking, 268 customer/supplier relationships, 178-79, 214, 320 dimensions of, 28-33, 34 estimation metrics, 297-300 improvement goals, 313ff. internal rework and, 168-69, 292 measurement of, 272-73 negotiation, 27, 29, 33 peer-review activity and, 189, 314, 316 pilot, 150 planning, 5, 28, 69, 124, 131, 132, 149, 205 schedule, 28-32, 34, 186 work effort distribution, 291 Project champion, 59, 64, 66ff., 73-74, 75, 79, 81, 90, 91, 246 change management, 258-59, 260, 262 change requests and, 256-57 characteristics of ideal, 67 expectations of, 69–73 resource team, 68-69 responsibilities, 66, 152, 153, 194, 258-59 Prototypes, 64, 69, 89, 93ff., 95ff., 231 expectation gap and, 93-94 Publications, 51-52, 334 (see also Software literature)

Quality, 23, 28–32, 33, 59, 175, 186, 193 (see also Conferences; Quality control; Six-sigma quality; Software literature; Software quality; Software quality assurance; Software quality engineering)

as conformance to requirements, 61, 76 CASE tools and, 235, 241, 249 cost of, 46, 167-68, 180, 187, 191, 303, 329 culture and, 4–5, 163, 165–88, 301, 315 filters, 90, 224 improvement, first initiative, 186 individual concern for, 163, 166, 178, 319ff. inspections and, 189-200 Management Maturity Grid, 127 methods, 193 productivity and, 163, 168, 184-85, 186, 313ff. schedules and, 186 work effort metrics and, 184-85 Quality Assurance Institute (QAI), 332, 333 Quality control, 170, 175, 181, 268 defined, 169-70 efficiency, 175-76 Recognition, 35ff., 112, 313ff., 317 (see also Rewards) culture and, 35-36, 40, 41, 123 forms of, 36-37 importance of interim, 38, 40 M&Ms, 35-37 of outside contributors, 37-38 timeliness of, 36 Repetitive strain injuries (RSI), 11, 311, 324 Requirements, 26, 59ff., 62-64, 102, 105, 131 (see also Requirements specification, software) analysis, 63, 66, 76, 79, 91, 97, 133, 233 vs. business rules, 81, 82 changing, 26, 65, 89, 97, 98, 132, 254 correlation with effort, 297-99 creeping, 63, 76, 97 culture and, 5, 59, 63, 75, 98 cursory understanding of, 231 customer involvement with, 9, 17, 59, 61ff., 64-66, 74, 75, 119, 180, 306 documenting, 83-89, 256, 306 engineering, 61, 64 essential vs. chrome, 70 external functional, 215 gathering, 69-70, 256 inspection of, 190, 191, 192, 207

marketing department and, 65-66 new, 32-33, 66, 90 quality attributes negotiation, 175 tagging, 86 techniques for, 64 traceability matrix, 64, 96-97, 99, 183 Requirements specification, software, 5, 62, 64, 71, 73, 76, 82, 83-90, 91-92, 96-100, 105, 119, 124, 125, 149, 150, 180, 243, 289, 296, 297-98 IEEE, 84-86, 99, 101 inspection, 83, 90 iteration, 232 pitfalls, 89-90 quality attributes in, 86-89 Reuse, 84, 87, 98, 115 benefits of, 322-23 modeling and, 247 testing and, 214 Reviews, 10 (see also Inspection) abuses of, 190 of development guidelines, 155, 158 development guidelines used in, 159 of documentation, 159 formal technical, 189 guiding principles for, 198-201 by group, 197–98, 203 impact on quality, 180, 321-22 of requirements specification, 90 by team, 192 Rewards, 40-41, 46-47, 107 (see also Recognition) examples of, 41 instead of incentives, 40-41, 42 Rework, 167-69, 292 Risk, 188, 193, 221, 223, 232, 285 analysis and quality control, 175 -based decision after testing, 264 of failure, 175, 245 laboratory process control example, 175 Six-sigma quality, 171–72, 174, 175, 177, 315 vs. more realistic goal, 186 ways to achieve, 177 Skills, professional, 48, 119-20, 140, 244

assessment, 47, 55, 319ff.

Software change control board (SCCB), 256, 261-62 Software configuration management (SCM), 149, 154 Software development: (see also Measurement, software development; Methodology, software development; Procedures, software development; Process, software; Standards, software development) incremental, 89, 93, 231 lifecycle (SDLC), 152, 290-91 phases, 289--90 Software engineering, 139, 146 (see also Software engineering culture) practices vs. goals, 306 process group (SEPG), 132 vs. quality control, 181 standards and, 146ff. Software engineering culture, *xix*–*xx*, 1, 4–5, 6, 8, 55, 112, 125, 229, 231, 264, 304 (see also Culture; Quality, culture and) CASE tools and, 241, 244-46, 249, 321, 335 change management and, 229 changing, 117, 307 through consensus, 151 defined, 1, 4-5 ergonomics and, 311ff. errors and, 190 Greek versus Roman, 14-15, 251 healthy, 4, 8-10, 46, 106, 108, 307, 311 measurement and, 273, 283, 288, 307 outsourcing and, 5 peer deskchecks and, 198 principles, 16-17 problem tracking system and, 259, 260, 264, 269 procedures, written, and, 158 process maturity and, 137, 139-40 quality and, 163, 329 standards and, 147, 306-7, 308 testing and, 183, 212-15, 307 tools, adoption of, 304 unhealthy, 10-12 Software Engineering Institute (SEI), 127ff., 134, 136, 137, 140-42, 144-45, 332, 337, 340 (see also Capability Maturity Model)

Software failure, 165–66 proactive reporting of, 265-67 Software literature, *xx*, 8, 45, 51–52, 126 books, 48, 51-52, 55, 56, 119, 304, 314, 319 periodicals, 52, 55-56, 119, 314, 319, 334 Software quality, 59, 61-62, 75, 119, 123, 181 acceptable level, 174 attributes, 175 code quality and, 174 customer and, 166, 175, 329 engineering, 182-83 good enough, 174-78 initiatives, five major, 180-81 low, causes of, 180 responsibility for, 183 Software quality assurance (SQA), 132, 168 - 74as auditing function, 186 coordinator role, 182 defined, 169 function, 159, 168-69 goals for, 160, 313ff. guidelines for, 153 plans, 182-83 responsibility for, 180, 181-83 Software reliability, 263, 269, 271 Standards, software development, 7, 11, 146ff., 150-51, 306-7 (see also IEEE standards for software development) Department of Defense, U.S., 148, 158 ISO, 147, 156 ISO 9000-3 guidelines, 156, 158 ISO 9001 registration, 111, 149, 156, 158, 160 sources of, 155, 156-58 State-transition diagram (STD), 86, 93, 234, 239 Team, 125 behavior, 8-9, 10

guidelines, involvement with, 150 interactions, 319, 329–30 leadership, 13–14 reviews by, 192 structured open, 13–14 Technologies, new, xix, 8, 9, 41, 244, 249, 250 Test cases, 71, 80, 83, 84, 96, 214, 219, 220-22 benefits of, 82-83 review of, 232 software quality engineer, written by, 183 SQA coordinator, written by, 182 Test coverage, 216 branch, 215, 217 condition, 215, 217, 225 path, 216, 218 statement, 215ff., 225 Test plans, 182, 214 process, guidelines for, 153 Testing, 106, 123, 125, 133 (see also Test cases; Test coverage; Test plans) alpha, 263, 268 automated, 220-22 baseline method, 218ff. beta, 123–24, 263, 268 black box, 215, 216, 217, 224 cyclomatic complexity and, 218-20, 224, 226 defined, 211 documentation, written, 211-13, 223 efficiency of, 175-76, 177 Graphics Engine example, 220-22 gray box, 217, 224 guidelines, 153, 222-24 vs. inspections, 193 integration, 186, 191, 213, 225 management of, 220-22 measured aspects of, 289-90 need for, 181 quality, impact on, 180 vs. quality assurance, 170 quality culture and, 212-15 regression, 217, 225 responsibilities for, 181-83 schedule delays and, 186 software quality engineer and, 183 structured, 180, 211-28, 319, 320, 322 system, 10, 180, 191, 213, 216 target quality level, 264 testers and, 186, 224 tools, 216, 217, 335

unit, 212–13, 215–18, 222, 224 white box, 215, 220, 223, 224 Tools, 229ff. CASE, 233-36 problem tracking, 256-61 testing, 216, 217, 335 Training, 45–58, 119, 133, 332 (see also Conferences; Education) as investment, 49, 51, 55 CASE, 245, 249-51 culture and, 46 vs. education, 50 for inspections, 205 for metrics, 276, 282, 283 professional certification, 46, 53-54, 55 professional societies, 45, 46, 53-54, 55 seminars, 9, 48, 49-50, 55-56, 126, 332 for testing, 211-12 value of, assessing, 317 U.S. Government, as standards body, 156 Use cases, 64, 79, 83, 90, 95, 99 definition, 79 requirements, 79, 81-82, 83 test cases from, 80, 82-83 workshops, 79-81, 90 Usenet newsgroups, 53, 235, 256 User interface, 64, 79, 83, 91 graphical, 91, 154 guidelines for, 154 modeling, 91-93 prototyping, 93, 125, 256 User manual, guidelines for, 154 User needs, 64, 66, 231, 329 (see also Customer, needs) UX-Metric, 220 Videotape instruction, 53, 55 Vision of the product, 59, 63, 73-74, 78ff., 82, 83, 91, 98 Walkthrough, 98, 189, 194, 197–98 Work environment, health and, 311, 323, 324-25 (see also Ergonomics)

World Wide Web, 50, 53, 235