

# ANALYSIS WITHOUT PARALYSIS

10 TOOLS TO MAKE BETTER  
STRATEGIC DECISIONS

REFLECTION ✓ KEY TASKS

- IMPROVEMENTS
- PLANNING

OUTCOMES ✓ ASSESS

CONFLICT RESOLUTION ✓

- MANAGING CONFLICT
- RESOLVING CONFLICT

BRAINSTORM ✓ POTENTIAL STRATEGIES FOR TEAM CO-OP MANAGEMENT



POWER GUARANTEE  
SUPPORT  
ENGAGE CHOICE  
AGREEMENT  
EMPOWER MOTIVATION  
ACHIEVE RES

BABETTE E. BENSOUSSAN • CRAIG S. FLEISHER

Vice President, Publisher: Tim Moore  
Associate Publisher and Director of Marketing: Amy Neidlinger  
Acquisitions Editor: Martha Cooley  
Editorial Assistant: Heather Luciano  
Development Editor: Russ Hall  
Operations Manager: Gina Kanouse  
Digital Marketing Manager: Julie Phifer  
Publicity Manager: Laura Czaja  
Assistant Marketing Manager: Megan Colvin  
Marketing Assistant: Brandon Smith  
Cover Designer: Alan Clements  
Managing Editor: Kristy Hart  
Project Editor: Betsy Harris  
Copy Editor: Language Logistics  
Proofreader: Williams Woods Publishing  
Senior Indexer: Cheryl Lenser  
Senior Compositor: Gloria Schurick  
Manufacturing Buyer: Dan Uhrig

© 2008 by Pearson Education, Inc.  
Publishing as FT Press  
Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458

FT Press offers excellent discounts on this book when ordered in quantity for bulk purchases or special sales. For more information, please contact U.S. Corporate and Government Sales, 1-800-382-3419, [corpsales@pearsontechgroup.com](mailto:corpsales@pearsontechgroup.com). For sales outside the U.S., please contact International Sales at [international@pearson.com](mailto:international@pearson.com).

Company and product names mentioned herein are the trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, in any form or by any means, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

First Printing June 2008

ISBN-10: 0-13-236180-9

ISBN-13: 978-0-13-236180-4

Pearson Education LTD.  
Pearson Education Australia PTY, Limited.  
Pearson Education Singapore, Pte. Ltd.  
Pearson Education North Asia, Ltd.  
Pearson Education Canada, Ltd.  
Pearson Educación de Mexico, S.A. de C.V.  
Pearson Education—Japan  
Pearson Education Malaysia, Pte. Ltd.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data.

Bensoussan, Babette E.

Analysis without paralysis : 10 tools to make better strategic decisions / Babette E. Bensoussan, Craig Fleisher.

p. cm.

ISBN 0-13-236180-9 (hbk. : alk. paper) 1. Business intelligence—Evaluation. 2. Competition—Evaluation. 3. Strategic planning. 4. Business planning. I. Fleisher, Craig S. II. Title.

HD38.7.B4555 2008

658.4'01—dc22

2008007898

# 1

---


## Business Management and the Role of Analysis

In today's information age, businessmen and businesswomen must increasingly be able to make sense of their competition, environments, organizations, and strategies to be successful. Business management is a way of conducting an organization that has as an ultimate objective the development of values, managerial capabilities, organizational responsibilities, and administrative systems that link strategic, tactical, and operational decision making at all hierarchical levels and across all lines of authority.

One of the key tasks of today's business executives is to participate in and contribute to their organizations' strategies. Sadly, strategy is an overused word that means different things to different people. Even distinguished management scholars and senior executives can be hard pressed to define it or to agree on what it entails.

Although we really do not want to muddy the waters and add further to the lengthy list of definitions out there, we do know with confidence that winning strategies are based on originality and uniqueness—being “different” than competitors in ways that customers value. The idea of these differences has been defined by economists to mean competencies, and in strategic management terms, this means trying to develop distinctive organizational resources and competencies. These competencies should then be leveraged through clearly thought-out strategies into a competitive advantage in light of the organization's market.

A competitive advantage is the distinct way an organization is positioned in the market to obtain an edge over its competitors. This status is most commonly evidenced by the organization's ability to generate and maintain sustained levels of profitability above the industry average. The process that is primarily associated with helping an organization to attain competitive advantage is strategic planning, which can be defined as a disciplined and systematic effort to fulfill specifications of an organization's strategy as well as the assignment of responsibilities for its execution. This process is shown as follows in Figure 1.1.



**Figure 1.1 A generic strategic planning process**

Management decisions in the strategy development process are concerned with the following:

- **The scope of the organization's activities.** Where are we going to operate? What customers will we target? Which competitors will we avoid? What parts of the value chain will we emphasize? What will we do ourselves and what will we outsource?
- **The matching of an organization's activities to its environment.** This is the idea of finding a strategy that creates a desirable level of "fit."

- **The matching of an organization's activities to its resource capability.** This requires working within our means while winning customers and generating profits.
- **The implications for change throughout the organization.** These are likely to be complex in nature and will require excellence in execution or strategy implementation.
- **The allocation and reallocation of significant resources of an organization.** This requires us to seek resource optimization in using our assets where they can be maximized.
- **The values, expectations, and goals of those influencing strategy.** This means that decision makers understand what is happening and have a clear sense of where the organization needs to go both now and into the future.
- **The direction the organization will move in the long run.** This can be over five to ten years or more, depending on the nature of change and competition affecting an industry.

Within this process, management decisions may differ depending on the timing and the responsibility of the decision makers. These decisions would most commonly be identified as strategic, tactical, or operational. By this we mean

- **Strategic decisions** have significant resource allocation impact, set precedents or tone for decisions further down the organization, are infrequent in nature and may actually be irreversible, and have a potentially material effect on the organization's competitiveness within its marketplace. They are made by top managers and affect the business direction of an organization.
- **Tactical decisions** are less all-encompassing than strategic ones and involve formulating and implementing policies for the organization. They are usually made by mid-level managers and often materially affect functions such as marketing, accounting, production, or a business unit or product as opposed to the entire organization. Tactical decisions generally have lesser resource implications than strategic decisions.
- **Operational decisions** support the day-to-day decisions needed to operate the organization and take effect over a few days or weeks. Typically made by a lower-level manager,

operational decisions are distinct from tactical and strategic decisions in that they are made frequently and often “on the fly.” Operational decisions tend to be highly structured, often with well-defined procedure manuals or within readily understood parameters.

Finding the means for achieving this “fit” or congruence between an organization and its (business or competitive) environment is a critical task of any senior executive and requires sound analytical efforts and some thinking about the global environment in which the organization competes.

No senior executive can be expected to know the entire competitive terrain well enough to correctly call all the shots. Within today’s complex, chaotic, globally competitive environment, the pressing need for making sense, strategic thinking, and improved understanding of the competitive terrain is why organizations need to develop and enhance their analytical abilities.

Analysis needs to be done well to help organizations succeed.

But isn’t analysis something that everyone learns during schooling or on the job? Can’t we just get by like everybody else and rely solely on our intuition, gut, experience, and so on to succeed well into the future?

The answer to these questions is, “No”—and particularly not these days. See Table 1.1 for a brief explanation of what we do and do not mean by analysis.

At a minimum, good analysis of your competition, environment, organization, and strategy should help you deliver the following:

- Early warning of potentially developing opportunities or emerging threats in your competitive environment.
- An objective and arm’s-length assessment of your organization’s relative competitive position.
- The ability to help your organization to more quickly and easily adapt to changes in the environment.

**TABLE 1.1 Identifying Analysis**

	<b>What Analysis Is</b>	<b>What Analysis Is Not</b>
Methods	The practiced application of proven technologies.	Constant usage of industry conventions and one-off solutions.
Process	A method and set of steps designed to effectively break a situation into its component elements and recombine it in a way that addresses a challenge or question.	“We just kind of know what it is, how to do it, and fortunately, have managed to get by so far.” “We hire consultants to do it for us.”
Output	Actionable insight, intelligence/meaning, and implications derived from data and information.	Repackaged, reorganized, reclassified data and information. Often a summary of the information at hand. No meaningful conversion.
Data sources	Legal and ethical gathering of relevant data or information driven by the needs defined in the structuring of the analytical question.	Seeking and using data or information from illegal sources or by unethical means—often incomplete.
Support systems	Using application-relevant communication, information, and management systems to supplement your thinking.	A software application or solution you can acquire and apply “off the shelf.” Magic-bullet solutions.
Timing	Provided in advance of any decisions.	Rushed to provide support to an answer that has been decided.
Communication channel	Conducted in whatever means the decision maker can best accept and use it.	Done via “formal” reports with a specific format. Always in writing.
Questions answered	What? So What? Now What?	Just something nice to know—providing no insights.
Catalyst	Yours or your boss’ discussed need to know something. The need to better position your organization in its competitive marketplace.	What you think or hope is important to the executive. The need to demonstrate we are actually doing something.

- The means for basing your organization's strategic, marketing, sales, or product plans on relevant and timely insights.
- Confidence that decisions are based on systematically derived understanding that reduces ambiguity and complexity to low levels.

The driving purpose of performing analysis is to better understand your industry, context, and competitors in order to make better decisions. Improving the quality of decision making should hopefully improve the quality of strategies that provide a competitive advantage, which in turn delivers performance results that are superior to your competitors'.

The output of any analysis should be actionable—that is, future-oriented—and should help decision makers to develop better competitive strategies and tactics. Analysis results should also facilitate a better understanding than competitors have of the competitive environment and identify current and future competitors, specifically their plans and strategies. *The ultimate aim of analysis is to produce better business results!*

## **The Increasing Need for Effective Analysis**

As indicated earlier, getting business results from analysis has become a more important facet of competitiveness in recent years due to a number of important reasons.

First, globalization has increased the absolute level of competition present in most marketplaces. In the past, a competitor could sustain marketplace advantages by being in the right place at the right time. Geographic, physical, and sociopolitical barriers kept competitors at bay and out of many marketplaces. Most of these barriers are falling or have fallen in light of vast progress made in communication, information systems, trade policy, and transportation. New competitors quickly appear when and where these marketplace barriers fade.



And new competitors may compete very differently than existing competitors. They may have learned their business in different contexts, often faced differing customer demands, utilized unique resources, and understood competition based on these unique contexts and experiences. No longer can organizations expect competitors to compete by age old “rules of the game” or “same old” industry means of competing. Sometimes, the form of competition may not even appear logical, insightful, or ethical, yet all the while being legal. Because of this new global competition, the need to thoroughly understand competitors and business contexts grows in importance.

Second, the global economy is increasingly being characterized as a *knowledge economy*. A paradigm shift, whereby a large proportion of individuals have changed their way of seeing the world and now see it from a new shared perspective, has occurred as we move further away from the industrial economy paradigm that dominated most of the last two centuries. As opposed to producing tangible “things” with plants, property, and equipment, services and related “intangibles” associated with people and what they know now constitute the largest part of GDP in most of the leading economies, and services are more knowledge-based than material-based.

Many companies are amassing data and information while at the same time not recognizing that *knowledge* is not the same thing as *information*. Because of improvements in communication channels, information is available in quantities previously unseen. Information has become increasingly infused with noise, redundancy, ambiguity, and is of lower value. It is a product in what economists call a “state of oversupply” in most developed economies, and this is also becoming true in lesser developed economies. Sustaining a competitive advantage requires companies to uniquely apply data and information, to create order out of chaos and complexity, and to leverage and transfer knowledge while striving toward acquiring expertise.

Knowledge is the capacity to act. The conversion of knowledge to business insights and action requires competence in analysis or sense

making. Competence embraces such things as experience, factual understanding about industry and organizational conditions, decision-making and managerial skills, and making insightful value judgments. Competence is developed through making mistakes, practice, reflection, repetition, and training. More than ever before, the knowledge economy means that organizations will need to develop further their resources, abilities, competence, and ultimately expertise if they intend to gain or sustain a competitive advantage.

Third, the new economy is characterized by increasing imitability, whereby competitors have a greater ability than ever before to quickly replicate and copy most facets of a new product or service offering. Fending off imitators is increasingly difficult because of market complexity and the subsequent need to involve other organizations in alliances, collaborations with competitors, spin-offs, and ever-changing outsourcing and staffing arrangements between organizations. As a result of the protection of a product/service through legally recognized vehicles such as copyrights, patents, and/or trademarks, it is now easy for a competitor to manufacture around a new offering because so much information about its inner workings is available publicly. Finding this information has also gotten easier in an age where governments and international agencies must share this information with one another to establish the legal viability of a new offering. More than a few companies succeed by being “quick seconds” or “fast followers” into the marketplace and stressing their competitive abilities at getting an improved product/service offering that customers appreciate quickly after the originator.

Fourth, there are the problems and opportunities caused by increasing complexity and speed. Underlying the changing marketplace is communication and information technology that allows for the transfer of data to take place at faster rates than ever before. This change in mechanical means occurs all the while the human ability to process data remains essentially stable.

A decade or two ago a company could establish a formidable lead for several years by offering new product/service introductions. Today a company's time at the top in a market-leading position has shrunk to a *much* shorter duration; in other words, the interval a company enjoys as "market leader" has fallen to previously unforeseen levels. The cycle time underlying new product/service introductions is also shorter, and companies have to continue shrinking it while at the same time increasing the number of introductions they make to stay ahead of competitors.

## Concluding Observations

As we stated earlier, all of these factors necessitate good competitive insights. And good competitive insights require effective analysis. Successful business analysis requires understanding environments, industries, and organizations. This comes from, among other things, experience, solid data and information, and the proper choice and utilization of analytical techniques.

Today's businesspeople need to do a better job of making sure that the analysis they perform is based on sound, proven methodologies. Hopefully in the future you will master a core set of methodologies that will make the way you evaluate data and information more effective and more decision-relevant. At a minimum, after reading this book, you will know at least ten methodologies to help you on your path.

This book contains ten of the more well-known and more heavily utilized analytical techniques for assessing the external and internal organizational environments (refer to Figure 1.1) and is designed to assist any businessperson who needs to develop insights and make sense of the business environment. It is based on our many decades of experience consulting, practicing, and researching how business and competitive analysis is used in all types of enterprises, whether public or private or large, medium, or small.

Our underlying premise throughout this book is that businesspeople working in any environment must have a robust and healthy selection of tools and techniques to help them answer important questions about their enterprises' abilities to compete, not only in the present, but also the future.

Uniquely, this book focuses specifically on analysis. It is not designed to be another business management or strategic planning text; on the other hand, we must admit that the processes and techniques described herein can certainly benefit strategic planners and managers. There are plenty of good titles on these topics available in most bookstores, and we routinely refer to many of them ourselves. What surprises us, though, about competitive and strategic analysis is the limited number of tools and techniques used by most businesspeople and how little genuine insight results from them when they have *scores* of techniques at their disposal!

These adverse results occur not only because some tools are badly chosen, outdated, or incorrectly used but also because they are misunderstood and/or misapplied. Even those individuals who get a good business school education may not have had the appropriate contexts, instruction, experiences, or guidance in employing these techniques effectively to deal with "real-world" sense-making challenges.

This book provides instruction on a range of tools and techniques, evaluation of each technique's strengths and weaknesses, as well as an outline of the process used to actually employ the technique. It also includes sample applications, resulting overall in that vital ingredient—insight.

Being a businessperson in an enterprise facing a high degree of competitive rivalry is difficult, especially if an individual is inexperienced and/or lacks appreciation of the art of analysis. And the analytical challenge for businesspeople today is more daunting than ever for a number of reasons, including the following:

- **Pressure for a quick judgment.** Competitors are moving fast, investors and shareholders want the quarterly performance targets on time, customers want solutions yesterday—and nobody is willing to wait. Time is the most precious resource for businesspeople; consequently, time will always be in short supply. Decisions are often made on the basis of “what we know now” because the situation simply does not allow for delay. As such, you need to constantly seek established data collection and classification systems that can provide reliable outputs quickly. Businesspeople everywhere need to address the increasingly time-starved context within which they work and assess its ramifications.
- **Highly ambiguous situations.** Ambiguity comes in many forms. It can emanate from the nature of competition, the range of competitive tactics employed, key stakeholders’ responses in a competitive arena, product and/or process enhancements, consumer responses to competitive tactics, and so on. These types of interjections have been studied by researchers who have recognized that ambiguity can be a potent barrier to competitive imitation and allow for a competitor to sustain an advantage for a longer period.
- **Incrementally received/processed information.** Rarely will you get the information you need in time and in the format you require. The inability of traditional executive information systems to capture, classify, and rank rumors, gossip, grapevine data, and knowledge held by employees out in the field means that you may lack the kind of primary source information that has always been the “jewel in the crown” element that makes analysis so valuable.

Excellent analysis is the key to successful business insights, and good insights can provide high-value, anticipatory decision support capability in contemporary enterprises. Insight about customers, competitors, potential partners, suppliers, and other influential stakeholders is a company’s first—and often only—line of offense or defense. Maintaining this capability into the future requires business executives to exploit every opportunity to deliver analysis that is persuasive, relevant, timely, perceptive, and actionable.

Analytical outputs must provide the decision-making process with the essential insight needed to preserve an organization's competitiveness and highlight early warning signs of market changes. We expect that this book will provide you with some helpful guidance and assistance in delivering improved insights to support your organization's competitive endeavors and in achieving market sense-making objectives.

# INDEX

## A

- ABA (activity-based accounting), 204
- ABM (activity-based management), 205
- acceptance, lack of, for STEEP analysis, 174
- accounting equation in FRSA, 67-68
- accounting methods, effect on income statements, 72
- accounting periods, defined, 68
- accounts receivable ratios in FRSA, 75-76
- accounts receivable to sales ratio, 75
- accounts receivable turnover ratio, 76
- accuracy of collected data, 19
- acid test ratio, 79
- acquisitions, value chain analysis and, 203
- activity-based accounting (ABA), 204
- activity-based management (ABM), 205
- advocacy issues, 122
- airline industry case study (Five Forces Industry Analysis), 105-107
- alliances, value chain analysis and, 203
- Almanac of Business and Industrial Financial Ratios*, 86
- Alon and Martin framework (political risk analysis), 140-146
- ambiguity, 13
- analysis
  - challenges addressed by, 12-13
  - cognitive biases in, 22-23
  - competencies for conducting, 23
  - data collection, role in, 19-20
  - defined, 6, 18-19
  - as element of strategic thinking, 23
  - generic process to, 19
  - purpose of, 8
  - reasons for lack of, 15-17
  - reasons for need for, 8-11
  - results of, 8
  - scientific method comparison, 17
  - warnings concerning, 20-21
- analysis techniques. *See* BCG matrix; competitor analysis; Five Forces Industry Analysis; FRSA; issue analysis; political risk analysis; scenario analysis; STEEP analysis; SWOT analysis; value chain analysis
- analytical dynamics, including in BCG matrix, 43-44
- Andrews, Ken, 184
- Annual Statement Studies: Industry Default Probabilities and Cash Flow Measures, 2005-2006*, 87
- assessment in Five Forces Industry Analysis, 103-104
- asset turnover ratios in FRSA, 76
- assets, defined, 67
- assumptions of competitors, identifying, 57, 64
- attacking groups (issue response pattern), 126
- attention groups (issue expansion map), 120
- attentive public (issue expansion map), 120

average collection periods, 75  
 average inventory investment period, 74

## B

balance sheets, defined, 68  
 bargaining (issue response pattern), 126  
 bargaining power  
   of buyers, 98  
   of suppliers, 97  
 Baser Foods case study (political risk analysis), 146-149  
 BCG matrix  
   case study, 45-47  
   experience curve theory and, 30-31  
   product life cycle and, 31-32  
   purpose of, 29-30  
   quadrants in, 32-33, 35  
   steps in, 38-44  
   strengths of, 35-36  
   weaknesses of, 36-38  
 biases in analysis, 22-23  
 blurring (issue response pattern), 126  
 Boston Consulting Group. *See* BCG matrix  
 brands (STEEP analysis case study), 179-181  
 broadband cable TV industry case study, 45-47  
 business management  
   defined, 3  
   requirements of, 18  
 business units. *See* SBUs (strategic business units)  
 buyers, bargaining power of, 98

## C

Cannondale Bicycle Corporation case study (SWOT analysis), 196-197  
 capabilities of competitors, determining, 56, 64  
 capital market analysis ratios in FRSA, 82  
 capitulation (issue response pattern), 126  
 case studies  
   BCG matrix, 45-47  
   competitor analysis, 61-65  
   Five Forces Industry Analysis, 105-109  
   FRSA, 91-93  
   issue analysis, 128-129  
   political risk analysis, 146-147, 149  
   scenario analysis, 163-167  
   STEEP analysis, 179-181  
   SWOT analysis, 196-197  
   value chain analysis, 217-219  
 “cash cows” (in BCG matrix), 33  
 cash flow, 70  
 cessation (issue response pattern), 127  
 cognitive biases in analysis, 22-23  
 collecting data. *See* data collection  
 commitment, escalating, 22  
 comparison grids, 58  
 comparison of ratios in FRSA, 84  
   industrial comparisons, 84, 89  
   performance history comparisons, 89-91  
 competencies  
   for conducting analysis, 23  
   defined, 3, 10  
 competition, intensity of, 99  
 competitive advantage, defined, 4  
*Competitive Advantage* (Porter), 200  
 competitive position (in BCG matrix), 32  
 competitor analysis, 45, 203  
   with BCG matrix, 36  
   case study, 61-65  
   purpose of, 49-51  
   steps in, 52-60  
   strengths of, 51  
   weaknesses of, 51-52  
 competitor comparisons in ratio analysis, 69  
 competitor matrices, constructing for BCG matrix, 42  
 complexity, increasing, 10  
 computer-generated econometric model (scenario analysis), 152  
 consistency in scenario analysis, 160  
 consolidated financial statements, segmented financial statements versus, 89, 91  
 content analysis, 115  
 control, illusion of, 22  
 cross-impact method (scenario analysis), 153



current assets, defined, 67  
 current issues, 120-121  
 current liabilities, defined, 68  
 current ratio, 78  
 current strategy of competitors, 56, 63  
 customer value analysis, 203

## D

data collection  
   in Five Forces Industry Analysis, 102  
   in political risk analysis, 134-135  
   role in analysis, 19-20  
 debt to assets ratio, 77  
 debt to equity ratio, 77  
 decision scenarios in scenario analysis, 160  
 deductive reduction, 154  
 Dell case study (FRSA), 91-93  
 Delphi method (scenario analysis), 153  
 Delphi panels, 115  
 diffusion (issue response pattern), 126  
 diversification scenarios, 155  
 diversified businesses, effect on STEEP analysis, 175  
 “dogs” (in BCG matrix), 34

## E

earnings per share (EPS), 82  
 economic factors in political risk analysis, 143-144  
 emerging issues, 120-121  
 entry barriers, role of, 96-97  
 environment, levels of, 169-170  
 environmental analysis. *See* STEEP analysis  
 environmental boundaries,  
   establishing (STEEP analysis), 175  
 EPS (earnings per share), 82  
 escalating commitment, 22  
 evaluation in Five Forces Industry Analysis, 103-104  
 events in STEEP analysis,  
   defined, 176  
 experience curve theory, BCG matrix and, 30-31  
 expertise, seeking (political risk analysis), 139-140

external environment, SWOT analysis, 183, 187  
 external factors in political risk analysis, 143-144  
 external opportunities  
   internal weaknesses relative to, 194  
   matching with internal strengths, 193  
 external threats  
   internal weaknesses relative to, 194  
   matching with internal strengths, 194

## F

false alarm scenario (scenario analysis case study), 164  
 File 101 DISCLOSURE DATABASE, 85  
 File 519 D&B—Duns Financial Records Plus® (DFR), 86  
 financial ratio and statement analysis. *See* FRSA  
 financial statement analysis, defined, 67. *See also* FRSA  
 financial statements  
   components of, 68-69  
   consolidated versus segmented financial statements, 89, 91  
 Five Forces Industry Analysis  
   buyers, bargaining power of, 98  
   case study, 105-109  
   competition, intensity of, 99  
   entry barriers, role of, 96-97  
   market displacement, threat of, 98-99  
   objective of, 95-96  
   steps in, 102-105  
   strengths of, 100  
   suppliers, bargaining power of, 97  
   weaknesses of, 101  
 fixed assets, defined, 68  
 fixed charge coverage, 77  
 forecasting  
   future direction of issues in STEEP analysis, 178-179  
   in issue analysis, 115-121  
 formation stage (issue life cycle), 116  
 formatting competitive analysis results, 58-60

fractionation of trends, 177  
**FRSA (financial ratio and statement analysis)**, 67  
 accounting equation in, 67-68  
 accounts receivable ratios in, 75-76  
 asset turnover ratios in, 76  
 capital market analysis ratios in, 82  
 case study, 91-93  
 financial ratios, list of, 83-84  
 financial statements, components of, 68-69  
 inventory ratios in, 74-75  
 liquidity analysis ratios in, 78-79  
 profitability analysis ratios in, 80-81  
 ratio comparison in, 84, 89-91  
 ratios, assessing appropriateness of, 69-70  
 shareholder returns analysis ratios in, 82  
 solvency analysis ratios in, 76-78  
 steps in, 73  
 strengths of, 70  
 weaknesses of, 70, 72-73  
 future goals of competitors, 56, 62  
 future uncertainty, dealing with, 162

## G–H

**GE (General Electric)**, 29  
 general environment in STEEP analysis, 170  
 general public (issue expansion map), 120  
 globalization, 8-9  
 government regulation scenario (scenario analysis case study), 165  
 governmental factors in political risk analysis, 141-143  
 governmental macrorisks, defined, 136  
 governmental microrisks, defined, 137  
 grand tours approach (political risk analysis), 139  
 gross profit margin, 81  
 “groupthink,” 22  
 growth rate  
 plotting on BCG matrix, 40  
 of SBUs/SBLs, measuring, 39  
**Growth/Share portfolio matrix. See BCG matrix**

## I

identification groups (issue expansion map), 120  
**IKEA case study (value chain analysis)**, 217-219  
 illusion of control, 22  
 imitators, abundance of, 10  
 impact/probability matrix in scenario analysis case study, 163-167  
 impacts of trends, 177  
 implications of STEEP analysis, 179  
 inaccuracy in STEEP analysis, 174  
 income statements, defined, 68  
 inductive reduction, 154  
 industrial comparisons in FRSA, 84, 89  
 industry analysis. *See* Five Forces Industry Analysis  
 industry norms, reliance on, 71-72  
 industry profit pool, mapping in value chain analysis, 213-214  
 industry scenarios, 155  
 industry-wide comparisons in ratio analysis, 70  
 information, knowledge versus, 9  
 information collection in Five Forces Industry Analysis, 102  
 information retrieval, 13  
 institutionalized issues, 121  
 intangible assets, 71  
 Integra Information, 88  
 integration, value chain analysis and, 203  
 interest coverage, 78  
 interest groups, 117  
 internal cost analysis in value chain analysis, 212  
 internal differentiation analysis in value chain analysis, 212-213  
 internal environment, SWOT analysis, 183, 187  
 internal factors in political risk analysis  
 economic factors, 143  
 governmental factors, 141-142  
 societal factors, 142  
 internal strengths, matching with external opportunities/threats, 193-194

internal weaknesses, relative to external opportunities/threats, 194  
 interpretation in STEEP analysis, 174  
 intuitive method (scenario analysis), 153  
 inventory ratios in FRSA, 74-75  
 inventory to sales ratio, 74  
 issue analysis  
   case study, 128-129  
   purpose of, 111-113  
   steps in, 115-128  
   strengths of, 113-114  
   weaknesses of, 114  
 issue assessment in issue analysis, 121-123  
 issue expansion map, 119-120  
 issue identification in issue analysis, 115-121  
 issue impact (assessing issues), 122  
 issue life cycle  
   four-stage progression, 116-117  
   seven-stage progression, 118-119  
 issue timing, 120-121  
 issues (STEER analysis)  
   forecasting future direction of, 178-179  
   relating trends to, 178  
 issues distance (assessing issues), 121

## J-K

joint ventures, value chain analysis and, 203

Key Business Ratios on the Web, 86  
 knowledge, information versus, 9  
 knowledge economy, 9-10

## L

latent issues, 120  
 learning function (in experience curve), 30  
 learning scenarios in scenario analysis, 160  
 legislative formalization stage (issue life cycle), 117  
 leverage analysis ratios. *See* solvency analysis ratios in FRSA  
 liabilities, defined, 68

liquidity analysis ratios in FRSA, 78-79  
 long-term liabilities, defined, 68

## M

macroenvironmental analysis. *See* STEEP analysis  
 macrorisks, 136-138  
 magnitude of trends, 177  
 management choices, effect on financial statements, 72  
 mapping industry profit pool in value chain analysis, 213-214  
 market attractiveness (in BCG matrix), 32  
 market displacement, threat of, 98-99  
 market growth rate. *See* growth rate  
 mergers, value chain analysis and, 203  
 microrisks, 136-138  
 Minnegasco case study, 128-129  
 misperceptions of STEEP analysis, 175  
 mixed scenario method (scenario analysis), 154

## N-O

negative impacts of trends, 177  
 net profit margin, 81  
 neutral impacts of trends, 177  
 noncurrent assets, defined, 68  
 offshoring, defined, 132  
 old hands approach (political risk analysis), 139-140  
 operational decisions, defined, 5  
 opportunities  
   defined, 191  
   listing in SWOT analysis, 190-191  
   ranking in SWOT analysis, 191-192  
   strategy development in SWOT analysis, 192-195  
 organizational structure, value chain analysis and, 203  
 outsourcing  
   offshoring, defined, 132  
   strategic outsourcing, 203  
 overconfidence, 22  
 oversimplification, 22  
 owners' equity, defined, 68

**P**

P/E (price/earnings) ratio, 82  
 performance history  
   comparisons in FRSA, 89-91  
   in ratio analysis, 69  
   reliance on, 72  
 PEST analysis. *See* STEEP analysis  
 pharmaceutical industry case study  
   Five Forces Industry Analysis,  
   107-109  
   scenario analysis, 163-167  
 plausibility in scenario analysis, 160  
 PLC (product life cycle), BCG  
 matrix and, 31-32  
 plotting SBUs/SBLs on BCG matrix,  
 40-41  
 political risk, defined, 131  
 political risk analysis  
   Alon and Martin framework, 140-146  
   case study, 146-149  
   components of, 135-138  
   external factors, 143-144  
   internal factors, 141-143  
   purpose of, 131-132  
   qualitative techniques, 138-140  
   quantitative techniques, 140-141  
   strengths of, 132-134  
   weaknesses of, 134-135  
 politicization stage (issue life  
 cycle), 117  
 Porter, Michael, 49, 95, 200  
 portfolio matrix. *See* BCG matrix  
 position statements, defined, 69  
 positive impacts of trends, 177  
 PP (public policy). *See* issue analysis  
 PRA. *See* political risk analysis  
 price/earnings ratio, 82  
 primary activities in value chain  
   analysis, 200, 208-209  
 prior hypothesis bias, 22  
 prioritizing issues, 123  
 “problem children” (in BCG matrix),  
 34-35  
 product life cycle, BCG matrix and,  
 31-32  
 profitability analysis ratios in FRSA,  
 80-81  
 public environmental intelligence, 111  
 public issue scenarios, 155  
 public policy. *See* issue analysis

**Q**

*QFR: Quarterly Financial Report for  
 Manufacturing, Mining and Trade  
 Corporations*, 87  
 qualitative methods  
   for political risk analysis, 138-140  
   of scenario analysis, 153-154  
 quantitative methods  
   for political risk analysis, 140-141  
   of scenario analysis, 152  
 quantitative models in scenario  
 analysis, 160  
 quick ratio, 79

**R**

radar charts, 58  
 ranking SWOT analysis factors,  
 191-192  
 rate of change for trends, 176  
 ratio analysis, defined, 67. *See also*  
 FRSA  
 ratio comparison in FRSA, 84  
   industrial comparisons, 84, 89  
   performance history comparisons,  
   89-91  
 ratios, assessing appropriateness of,  
 69-70  
 regulation/litigation stage (issue life  
 cycle), 117  
 relative market share  
   plotting on BCG matrix, 40  
   of SBUs/SBLs, measuring, 39  
 reliability of collected data, 19  
 representativeness bias, 23  
 research needs, identifying in  
 scenario analysis, 160  
 resistance (issue response  
 pattern), 126  
 response patterns in issue analysis,  
 125-128  
 risk, 131. *See also* political risk  
 analysis  
 ROA (return on assets) ratio, 80  
 ROE (return on equity) ratio, 80

**S**

SBLS (strategic business lines)  
 assigning strategies to, 42  
 measuring growth rate of, 39

- measuring relative market share of, 39
- plotting on BCG matrix, 40-41
- segmentation of, 38-39
- SBU**s (strategic business units)
  - assigning strategies to, 42
  - defining in value chain analysis, 207
  - measuring growth rate of, 39
  - measuring relative market share of, 39
  - plotting on BCG matrix, 40-41
  - segmentation of, 38-39
- scale function (in experience curve), 30
- scenario analysis
  - case study, 163-167
  - purpose of, 151-152
  - qualitative methods, 153-154
  - quantitative methods, 152
  - scenario types in, 154-155
  - steps in, 158-160, 162-163
  - strengths of, 156-157
  - success factors in, 155
  - weaknesses of, 157-158
- scenario development, 115
- scenarios
  - defined, 151
  - types of, 154-155
- scientific method, analysis comparison, 17
- SCIP** (Society of Competitive Intelligence Professionals), 23
- scope of scenario analysis, 159
- segmented financial statements, consolidated financial statements versus, 89, 91
- selective issues, 122
- self-regulation scenario (scenario analysis case study), 165
- semi-log graphs, 40
- sensitivity scenarios, 154
- share momentum graph, 43
- shareholder returns analysis ratios in **FRSA**, 82
- short-term orientation, **STEEP** analysis versus, 174
- simplification of problems, 22
- situation analysis. *See* **SWOT** analysis
- societal factors in political risk analysis, 142-143
- societal issues, 121
- societal macrorisks, defined, 136
- societal microrisks, defined, 137
- Society of Competitive Intelligence Professionals (SCIP)**, 23
- solvency analysis ratios in **FRSA**, 76-78
- specialization function (in experience curve), 30
- speed of change, 10
- stakeholders, identifying for scenario analysis, 159
- Standard & Poor's Industry Surveys**, 88
- "stars" (in BCG matrix), 33
- statement of changes in owner's equity, defined, 69
- statistical techniques for political risk analysis, 140
- STEEP** analysis
  - case study, 179-181
  - environmental levels in, 169-170
  - purpose of, 169-171
  - STEEP** scenarios versus, 154
  - steps in, 175-179
  - strengths of, 172-173
  - variables in, 171
  - weaknesses of, 174-175
- STEEP** scenarios, **STEEP** analysis versus, 154
- strategic business lines. *See* **SBLs**
- strategic business units. *See* **SBU**s
- strategic cost management, 203
- strategic decisions, defined, 5
- strategic evaluation, 45
- strategic issues, defined, 187
- strategic management, defined, 3
- strategic outsourcing, 203
- strategic planning, defined, 4
- strategic thinking, analysis in, 23
- strategy development
  - in **Five Forces Industry Analysis**, 104-105
  - management decisions in, 4-5
  - in **SWOT** analysis, 192, 194-195
- strengths
  - defined, 190
  - listing in **SWOT** analysis, 190-191
  - ranking in **SWOT** analysis, 191-192
  - strategy development in **SWOT** analysis, 192, 194-195

suppliers, bargaining power of, 97  
 supply chain management, 203  
 support activities in value chain analysis, 200, 210-211  
 survey techniques, 115  
 sustainable growth rate analysis, 44  
**SWOT analysis**  
   case study, 196-197  
   purpose of, 183-184, 187-188  
   steps in, 190-195  
   strengths of, 188-189  
   weaknesses of, 189-190

## T

tactical decisions, defined, 5  
 technical issues, 122  
 termination (issue response pattern), 127  
 threats  
   defined, 191  
   listing in SWOT analysis, 190-191  
   ranking in SWOT analysis, 191-192  
   strategy development in SWOT analysis, 192-195  
 time, lack of, 13  
**Times Interest Earned Ratio**, 78  
 timing (of issues), 120-121  
 total disclosure scenario (scenario analysis case study), 165  
 trend analysis with BCG matrix, 36  
 trends  
   identifying for scenario analysis, 159  
   in STEEP analysis, 176-178  
 turnover analysis, 75

## U

uncertainties  
   identifying for scenario analysis, 159  
   in STEEP analysis, 174  
 undermining groups (issue response pattern), 126  
 universal issues, 122

## V

value chain analysis  
   case study, 217-219  
   primary activities in, 200, 208-209  
   purpose of, 199-204  
   steps in, 206-216  
   strengths of, 204-205  
   subsets of, 203  
   support activities in, 200, 210-211  
   value chain links, 202  
   weaknesses of, 205-206  
 value-creating activities, identifying in value chain analysis, 207  
**Value Line Investment Survey**, 87  
 variables in STEEP analysis, 171  
**VCA**. *See* value chain analysis  
 vertical linkage analysis in value chain analysis, 215-216  
 visual competitor strength grids, 59

## W-Z

*Wall Street Journal*, 85  
 weaknesses  
   defined, 191  
   listing in SWOT analysis, 190-191  
   ranking in SWOT analysis, 191-192  
   strategy development in SWOT analysis, 192, 194-195  
 working capital, 79  
**Worldscope Fundamentals**, 88  
**Xerox case study**, 129  
**Yahoo! Finance**, 85