

Page numbers in italics refer to illustrations.

A

ABET (Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology), 176 Abran, Alain, 48 Accidental properties, 38-39 Accreditation. See also certification; licensing. ABET (Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology), 176 CEAB (Canadian Engineering Accreditation Board), 52 computer science programs, 176 computer science versus engineering, 181-182 CSAB (Computer Science Accreditation Board), 175-176 hospitals, 132 JCAHO (Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations), 132 and professional maturity, 52, 56 sample course requirements, 180

university programs, 181–182 Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET), 176 Adopter groups decision-making styles, 216 early adopters, 106, 216 early majority, 106, 216 innovators, 106, 215-216 laggards, 106, 216 late majority, 106, 216 Age of programmers an aging population, 74 industry distribution, 69 staff seniority, 140 Agricultural extension service, technology transfer, 221-223 Albert, B., 21 Alexander, Christopher, 174 "The American Scholar," 95-97 Anthes, Gary H., xxi Apple Computer, certification, 188 Ariane 5 rocket blows up, xvii

Art

228 ~ Index

influence of engineering, 167–169 Böhm, C., 40 versus science, software engineering, Boiler explosion, 166 Books for software developers, 95-99 Australian Computer Society Software Bostrom, R. P., 74-75 Challenge, 108-109 Box, George, 123 Awareness. See consciousness. Bridge disaster, 166 Briggs, Katherine, 62 Britcher, Robert N., xxi Bronson, Po, 6, 74 B-2 bomber problems, xvii Bach, James, 76 Brooks, Fred Bacon, Francis disseminating good practices, 95 on abilities and study, 175 essence versus accident, 38-39 on adversity, 103 job specialization, 89 on doubts and certainties, 77 The Mythical Man-Month, 5-6, 93, 225 on hope, 7 "No Silver Bullets," 21, 47, 99, 162 on innovation, 3 schedule pressures, 3-4 on knowledge, 123 on scientists and engineers, 29 Novum Organum, 49-50 silver bullets, 38-39 software systems as dinosaurs, 3 progress from errors, 47 on prudent questions, 49 Brykczynski, Bill, 21, 75 on reading, 95 Budgets. See also costs. scientific method, 49-50, 57-58 average overrun, 116 on success, v coding phase of projects, 13 on superstition, 103 diseconomies of scale, 118-119 on a true son of Science, 83 Bureaucracy on truth, 37 versus chaos, 24-27 Baggage handling system flaws, xvi and productivity, 24 Baines, Robin, 35 Burgess, Angela, 75 Baker, F. Terry, 93 Bush, Vannevar, 162 Beck, Kent, 81 Buzzwords, 17-18 Bersoff. Edward H., 225 Bylinsky, Gene, 4-6, 75 Block-moving analogy. See pyramid analogy. \mathbf{C} Boddie, John, 86 CAC (Computing Accreditation Com-Boehm, Barry W. mission), 181 "A Software Development Environment California Gold Rush, See Gold Rush, for Improving Productivity," 225 California. on improving productivity, 135 Canadian Engineering Accreditation Board (CEAB), 52 "Improving Software Productivity," Cancellation of projects Software Cost Estimation with Cocomo costs of, xvii II, 122, 141 factors affecting, 18 Software Engineering Economics, 27, 141 rates of cancellation, 13

Boeing Company, 175-177

definition, 11

Capability levels, Construx program, drawbacks, 11-15 146-147, 152-156 "fool's gold" appeal, 14-15 Capability Maturity Model for Software history of, 11-15 (SW-CMM). See SW-CMM. process management, 12 Cargo cult analogy, 23-27 productivity erosion, 12, 12-13 CEAB (Canadian Engineering Accreditathrashing (unproductive work), 12 tion Board), 52 Cole, Andy, 6, 141 Certification, individual. See also accredi-Commercial stage of engineering protation; licensing. fession, 170 Apple Computer technologies, 188 Commitment, programmer personality benefits of, 188 type, 66-68 Commitment-oriented development, 23-27 Microsoft technologies, 188 Novell technologies, 188 Communications factors, 136 overview, 187-188 Community building, 83-86 professions in general, 54 Compensation. See motivation; pay; software engineering, 56 voluntary nature of, 187 Competency-level capability, 147 Certification, organizations. See also Complexity of software, requirements accreditation; licensing. problems, 38-39 Computer programming. See programprofessions in general, 55 software engineering, 57 ming. Chand, Donald R., 226 Computer Science Accreditation Board Changeability of software, and require-(CSAB), 175–176 ments problems, 39 Computer science program accreditation, Chaos versus bureaucracy, 24-27 Computer science versus software engi-Cheyenne Mountain ATAMS project, 128 Churchill, Winston, v neering, 29-35, 175-177. See also CKAs (Construx Knowledge Areas), software engineering. 144-146, 145-146, 152-156 Computing Accreditation Commission Clarke, Arthur C., 173 (CAC), 181 Con I (pioneer mentality), 77, 78 Cocomo II estimation model, 136, 137 Code of ethics Con II (gray flannel suit mentality), 77, benefits of, 209-211 78-79 code-and-fix development, 210 Con III (enlightened independence death-march projects, 210 mentality), 77, 79 Consciousness. See also teamwork. implications of, 207-209 Con I (pioneer mentality), 77, 78 knowledge stagnation, 210-211 low-ball bidding, 210 Con II (gray flannel suit mentality), 77, professions in general, 54–55 78-79 Con III (enlightened independence programmers, 205-209 software engineering, 57 mentality), 77, 79 Code-and-fix development Constantine, Larry code of ethics, 210 Australian Computer Society Software

Challenge, 108-109

Constantine, Larry (cont.) Defect management Constantine on Peopleware, 226 costs, 12 "Re: Architecture," 110 failure factors, 13, 15-16 Structured Design, 226 IBM study, 16 on structured design, 40, 84, 224 percentage of project time, 67 "Under Pressure," 110 and productivity, 16 Construx Knowledge Areas (CKAs), and project success, 15–16 Defined level of SW-CMM, 124-125 144–146, 145–146, 152–156 Construx professional development pro-Degrees. See also accreditation; certifigram. See professional development, cation; education; licensing; pro-Construx program. fessional development; training. Construx Web site, xxi course requirements, 183 Continuing professional education (CPE), CPE (continuing professional edu-184-185 cation), 184-185 Conway, M.E., 134 versus demand, 70 Conway's Law, 125 distribution among developers, 68 Core competencies of software engineers, earning power, 88–89 graduate programs, 179-181 44-47 Costs. See also budgets. McMaster University, 182-184 cancelled projects, xvii modeled on traditional engineering defect correction, 12 programs, 185-186 influence of requirements analysts, 136 occupational development path, overruns, and requirements problems, 177-179, 178 18 rates among developers, 30 quality tradeoffs, 15, 15-16 R.I.T., 180, 182-184 of software flexibility, 20 software aspect versus engineering, Course requirements, 184 182-184 CPE (continuing professional education), software engineering versus computer 184-185 science, 30-35 Craft stage of engineering profession, trends in, 176, 177 169-170 undergraduate programs, 179-181 Creativity DeMarco, Tom process management versus individual "Certification or Decertification," 204 empowerment, 26-27 comparison of programmer skill levels, and SW-CMM, 129-130 CSAB (Computer Science Accreditation Peopleware: Productive Projects and Board), 175-176 Teams, 134, 212 Curtis, Bill, 21, 136, 141 "Programmer Performance and the Customers. See adopter groups. Effects of the Workplace," 141, 162, 225 software requirements analysis, 40 D Denver International Airport baggage Davis, Alan M., 162 handling system flaws, xvi de Saint Exupéry, Antoine, 65 Designers. See software designers. Death-march projects, code of ethics, 210 Designing software. See software design.

Developers. See software developers.

Engineering Accreditation Commission

Diaz, Michale, 122 (EAC), 180, 181 Diffusing innovation. See technology Enlightened independence (Con III), 77, 79 transfer. Essential properties, 38–39 Dijkstra, Edsger, 40 Essential system properties, 38-39 Dinosaur analogy, 3 Eveland, J.D., 226 Exams, licensing, 200, 201 Disasters, engineering, 166 Disasters, software, xvi-xvii Experts, required knowledge, 37 Diseconomies of scale, 118-119 Extroversion (E) personality type, 62 Documentation, and productivity, 24 F Doland, Jerry T., 226 Duncan, W.R., 48 F (Feeling) personality type, 62 FAA Advanced Automation System budget E overrun, xvi E (Extroversion) personality type, 62 Fagan, M.E., 225 EAC (Engineering Accreditation Com-Failure factors. See also code-and-fix mision), 180, 181 development; fools' gold; personnel Early adopters, 106, 216 factors; requirements problems; Early majority, 106, 216 success factors. Economics of gold rush software develcancellation factors, 18 opment, 107-108 cancellation rates, 13 Edison, Thomas, 65 defect management, 13, 15-16 experience level of requirements Education. See also accreditation; certification; degrees; licensing; professional analysts, 136 development; training. process management, 13 linking to licensing, xix schedule pressures, 3-4 professions, in general, 52 February 29 ignored by software, 166 professions, software engineering, 56 Feeling (F) personality type, 62 Ferry dock crashes, xvii typical patterns, 68–71 university computer science curricula, Fetzer, Daniel T., 122 Feynman, Richard, 23 Effectiveness of organizations, distribu-Fishman, Charles, 21, 75, 134, 162, xxii tion, 112, 112-113, 113 Flexible software, illusion of, 18-20 Emerson, Ralph Waldo, 95-97 Florman, Samuel C., 167, 173, 204, 212 Employment. See jobs. Flowe, Robert M., 122 Empowerment versus process manage-Fool's gold. See also failure factors. ment, 26-27. See also commitmentbuzzwords, 17-18 oriented development. code-and-fix development, 14-15 Engineering. See also software engineering. definition, 7 definition, 32 extravagant productivity claims, 16-18 disasters, 166 illusion of software flexibility, 18-20 as a profession. See professions, engishortening schedules, 16 neering. silver bullets, 16-18 versus science, 30-35 Ford, Gary, 52, 58, 186, 203

Fortran, 4-5 Ι Frailey, Dennis, 186 I (Introversion) personality type, 62 Frosch, Robert, 4, 5-6 OS/360, staff-years of development G effort, 4 Gender of programmers, 68 prima donnas versus team players, 73 Gibbs, Norman E., 52, 58, 186, 203 process management, 24 Gibbs, W. Wayt, 134, 162, xxi productivity, and defect rates, 16 IEEE (Institute of Electrical and Electron-Gilb, Tom, 40, 224-225 ics Engineers), software engineer Glass, Robert L., 17, 21, 75, 174, xxi Gold Rush, California, 104 certification, 188 Gold rush, software development Individual empowerment versus process characteristics of, 104 management, 26-27. See also comeconomics of, 107-108 mitment-oriented development. Initial level of SW-CMM, 124 post-rush customers, 106-107 post-rush development, 105-107 Innovators, 106, 215-216 prospects for success, 104-105, 109 Internet time, 5 scaling up/down, 108–109 Introductory-level capability, 147 Graduate programs, 179-181 Introversion (I) personality type, 62 Grant, E.E., 140 Intuition (N) personality type, 62 Gray flannel suit mentality (Con II), 77, Invisibility of software, requirements problems, 39 78-79 Iran Air Flight 655, 166 Η Iron ring ceremony, 202-203 Half-lives of products, 37, 40 IRS software modernization debacle, xvi Hayes, Will, 226 Hecker, Daniel E., 75 Herbsleb, James J (Judging) personality type, 62 Benefits of CMM Based Software Process Jacopini, G., 40 Improvement, xxi James Fenimore Cooper Syndrome, 97-99 "Benefits of CMM Based Software JCAHO (Joint Commission on Accredita-Process Improvement," 121–122 tion of Healthcare Organizations), ROI for process improvements, 111 132 "Software Quality and the Capability Job specialization Maturity Model," 110, 134 by company size, 91 SW-CMM implementation, 131 emerging categories, 89-90, 90 Heroes, programmer personality type, productivity, 89 surgical team approach, 89 Hero-oriented development. See commitin teams, 92 ment-oriented development. Hoben, S., 21 degrees versus demand, 70 Human factors. See personnel factors. desirability of, 62 Humphrey, Watts, 111, 121, 225 prospects, 71-72 Hutchings, Edward, 27 stratification, 87-89, 90

Leadership-level capability, 147

Johnson, Jim, 21, 122 Lederer, Albert L., 22 Johnson Space Flight Center, 130 Level 12 recognition, 159 Joint Commission on Accreditation of Leveson, Nancy, 203-204 Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO), Licensing. See also accreditation; certification. 132 Jones, Capers arguments against, 191-197 Applied Software Measurement, 21, 75 benefits of, 198–199 Assessment and Control of Software bootstrapping, 197-198 Risks, 5, 21-22, 93, 122, 174, 224 controversy about, xix "Gaps in Programming Education," effects on developer pool, 195, 196 exams, 200, 201 in-house training for engineers, 175 iron ring ceremony, 202-203 job specialization, 90 linking to education, xix Patterns of Software Systems Failure and and malpractice, 198 Success, 21, 93, 122, 134, xxii overview, 188-190 Programming Productivity, 21, 75 paths to a license, 200-202 ROI for better software practices, 111 professions in general, 54 Software Assessments, Benchmarks, and qualifying, 200 Best Practices, 121 Life expectancy of products, 37, 40 Judging (J) personality type, 62 Lister, Timothy, 134–135, 141, 162, 212, 225 Liu, Y., 21 K Lord Kelvin, 110 Kaiser, K.M., 74-75 Low-ball bidding, code of ethics, 210 Kaner, Cem, 58, 203-204 Lowell, Bill, 75 Kennedy, Ken, 203-204 Lyons, Michael L., 74 King, Jeff, 122 Knight, John C., 203-204 M Knowledge areas, Construx program, Magic, distinguishing from technology, 144-146. See also SWEBOK. Knowledge stagnation, code of ethics, Maginnis, Terri, 87 210-211 Malec, H., 21 Knuth, Donald, 47 Malpractice, 198 Krantz, Les, 74-75 Man Thinking versus thinker, 96-97 Krasner, Herb, 122 Managed level of SW-CMM, 125 Kruchten, Philippe, 81 Management processes. See process man-Kuhn, Thomas, 172, 174 agement. Mariner I, loss of, 166 L Marshall, James, 103 Mastery-level capability, 147 Laggards, 106, 216 Lakhanpal, B., 76 Maturation of engineering disciplines, Landis, Linda C., 226 169-170 Maturity characteristics Late majority, 106, 216 Lawlis, Dr. Patricia K., 122 professions in general, 52-55

software engineering, 56-57

Maturity levels of professions, 55–56 MBTI (Meyers-Briggs Type Indicator), N (Intuition) personality type, 62 62-64 McCalla, Gord, 204 Johnson Space Flight Center, 130 McConnell, Steve Software Engineering Lab, 118-119 After the Gold Rush, xxii Neumann, Peter G., 173, xxii "How to Read a Technical Article," 162 Non-monetary rewards, 139 Rapid Development, 162 Novell, certification, 188 Software Project Survival Guide, 21, 81, Novum Organum, 49-50 Nuseibeh. Bashar, xxii McCue, Gerald M., 76 0 McFarlan, F.W., 225 McMaster University, 182-184 Occupational development path, 177–179, Meeson, Reginald, 21, 75 Meetings, and productivity, 24 Oldham, Leon G., 134 Mentoring program, 157 Olsen, Neil C., 121 Metzger, Philip, 84, 86 Optimizing level of SW-CMM, 125 Meyers, Isabel Briggs, 62 Organizational process improvement. Meyers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI), See process improvement; SEI; SW-CMM. 62 - 64Microsoft. See also Windows NT. Organizations acquisition of Vermeer Technology, development styles. See process management. certification, 188 effectiveness, distribution, 112, 112-113, importance of senior staff, 140 SW-CMM assessment, 131-132 morale, 139 motivation, 139 SW-CMM levels, 124-125 Organizations, certification. See also non-monetary rewards, 139 process management, 25 accreditation; licensing. The Velvet Sweatshop, 139 professions in general, 55 work environment, 139 software engineering, 57 Mills, Harlen D., 21, 75, 93, 122, 204, xxii OS/360, staff-years of development Money. See budgets; costs; pay. effort, 4 Moore, Geoffrey, 226 Overtime, 3 Morale P Microsoft, 139 P (Perceiving) personality type, 62 and SW-CMM, 129-130 Motivation. See also pay; rewards. Page-Jones, Meilir, 224, 226 effects on productivity, 24 Parking fee miscalculation, 166 Microsoft, 139 Parnas, David, 32-33, 41, 182 personnel factor, 138-139 "Designing Software for Ease of Extension and Contraction," 225 Moving-the-block analogy. See pyramid analogy. "Licensing Software Engineers in Myers, Glenford, 40, 225 Canada," 204

"On ICSE's 'Most Influential' Papers,"

non-monetary rewards, 139 "On the Criteria to Be Used in Decompersonnel continuity, 136 posing Systems into Modules," 225 staff seniority, 140 on promising software engineering work environment, 138 Pillars of Hercules, 50 ideas, 213 "Software Engineering: An Unconsum-Pioneer mentality (Con I), 77, 78 mated Marriage," 35 Pitterman, Bill, xxi software engineering credentials, 32-33, Planning. See process management. Plaques, professional development, 158 "Software Engineering Programmes Pony Express analogy, 61 Are Not Computer Science Pro-Practices, improving. See process imgrammes," 35 provement. swebok (Software Engineering Body Prasad, Jayesh, 22 of Knowledge), 41 Pressman, Roger S., 162 Pay. See also motivation; rewards. Prima donna programmers, 73 earning power of degrees, 88-89 Principles, teamwork, 77, 79 salary structure, 158 Process improvement. See also SEI; PDL (Professional Development Ladder). SW-CMM; technology transfer. See also professional development, benefits of, 113-115, 114-115 Construx program. diseconomies of scale, 118-119 benefits of, 160-161 disseminating improvements, 95 NASA's Software Engineering Lab, career progression, 150-152, 151-152 for experienced engineers, 159 118-119 level requirements, 148 organizational effectiveness, distribuoutside of Construx, 161-162 tion, 112, 112-113, 113 overview, 148 project estimation, 116-119, 117 Transitional Level 12, 159 responsibility for, 119 PDPs (Professional Development Plans), ROI (return on investment), 111, 113–116, 116, 120 Perceiving (P) personality type, 62 self-evaluation questions, 120-121 Personality types in small organizations, 109 designers, 64-66 state of the practice, 112-113 MBTI (Meyers-Briggs Type Indicator), Process management. See also programming; projects; software develop-62 - 64programmers, 62-66 ment; software engineering. Personnel factors bureaucracy versus chaos, 24-27 Cocomo II estimation model, 136, 137 code-and-fix development, 12 versus empowerment, 26-27. See also communications factors, 136 commitment-oriented development. experience level of requirements analysts, 136 IBM, 24 individual productivity differences, versus individual empowerment, 26-27 Microsoft, 25 low-productivity programmers, 137-138 misuse of, 24-27

motivation, 138-139

Process management (cont.) salary structure, 158 and project failure, 13 SEDGs (Software Engineering Discusand project success, 13-14, 14 sion Groups), 159 structural and cultural reinforcements, Productivity bureaucratic organizations, 24 156-159 code-and-fix development, 12-13 training program, 158 and defect rates, IBM study, 16 Professional Development Ladder (PDL). erosion, code-and-fix development, 12, See PDL. Professional Development Plans (PDPs), extravagant claims, 16-18 157 individual motivation and, 24 Professional development plaques, 158 individual programmer differences, Professional engineering stage of engineering profession, 170 135-137 job specialization, 89 Professional societies. See also entries for largest single contributor to, 24, 73 specific societies. low-productivity programmers, professions, in general, 54 137-138 professions, software engineering, 57 meetings and, 24 value of, 85-86 project documentation and, 24 Professions, engineering team cohesiveness and, 73 commercial stage, 170 Professional development. See also skills craft stage, 169-170 development. distinguishing from magic, 173 basic steps, 53 influence on art, 167–169 occupational development path, maturation of disciplines, 169-170 need for, 165-167 177-179, 178 professions, in general, 54 professional engineering stage, 170 professions, software engineering, 56 Reims Cathedral versus Sydney Opera Professional development, Construx House, 167-169, 168 program. See also PDL. science of software development, capability levels, 146-147, 152-156 171-172 career progressions, 143 Professions, in general CKAs (Construx Knowledge Areas), accreditation, 52 144-146, 145-146, 152-156 certification, individual, 54 certification, organizational, 55 competency-level capability, 147 introductory-level capability, 147 characteristics of, 51-52 codes of ethics, 54-55 knowledge areas, 144-146 definition, 51-52 leadership-level capability, 147 initial education, 52 level 12 recognition, 159 mastery-level capability, 147 licensing, 54 mentoring program, 157 maturity characteristics, 52-55 objectives, 144 maturity levels, 55-56 PDPs (Professional Development professional development, 54 Plans), 157 professional societies, 54 professional development plaques, 158 skills development, 53-54

Professions, software engineering Reims Cathedral versus Sydney Opera accreditation, 56 House, 167-169, 168 areas needing improvement, 57-58 Repeatable level of SW-CMM, 124 Requirements analysts, influence on certification, individual, 56 certification, organizational, 57 project cost, 136 codes of ethics, 57 Requirements problems initial education, 56 changeability of software, 39 licensing, 56 complexity of software, 38-39 maturity characteristics, 56-57 cost and schedule overruns, 18 maturity levels, 56-57 essential system properties, 38–39 professional development, 56 illusion of software flexibility, 18-20 professional societies, 57 invisibility of software, 39 skills development, 56 and project failure, 4 Programmers. See software developers. Return on investment (ROI), process Programming. See also computer science; improvement, 111, 113-116, 116, 120 process management; projects; software development; software enginon-monetary, Microsoft, 139 neering. professional development plaques, 158 automatic, 4-5 Rich, Charles, 6 Fortran, 4-5 Risk management languages, 4-5 SW-CMM, 128 versus software engineering, 32-35 technology transfer, 219-221 Project managers, control of project R.I.T. (Rochester Institute of Technology), factors, 119 180, 182-184 Projects. See also failure factors; process Rochester Institute of Technology (R.I.T.). See R.I.T. (Rochester Institute of management; productivity; programming; software development; soft-Technology). Rogers, Everett M., 106–107, 110, 215–216, ware engineering; success factors. documentation, and productivity, 24 222, 226 estimation, 116-119, 117 ROI (return on investment), process improvement, 111, 113-116, 116, 120 failure rate, xvii reusable artifacts, 172 Rules, teamwork, 77, 78–79 software versus physical engineering, 34 Rush, Gary, 225 Pyramid analogy, 7-11, 16-18 Q S (Sensing) personality type, 62 Quebec City bridge disaster, 166 Sackman, H., 140 Salaries. See motivation; pay; rewards. R Schedules Raghavan, Sridhar A., 226 overruns, and requirements prob-Randall, Richard L., 134 lems, 18 Raymond, E.S., 75 and project failure, 3-4 Reich, Charles, 77, 81 quality tradeoffs, 15, 15-16 shortening, "fool's gold" appeal, 16 Reifer, Donald J., 122

Science of software development, 171–172 Software development. See also process "Science of the artificial," 171 management; programming; projects; software engineering. Science versus engineering, 30-35 Scientific method, 49-50, 57-58 commitment oriented, 23-27 Seattle ferry dock crashes, xvii historical perspective, 3-5 SEDGs (Software Engineering Discussion Internet time, 5 Groups), 159 process oriented, 23-27 SEI (Software Engineering Institute), 124, stable core, 39-47 127, 222. See also process improve-Software engineering. See also computer ment; SW-CMM. science; engineering; process man-Self-reliance, teamwork, 77, 78 agement; programming; projects; Senior staff, importance of, 140 software developers; software devel-Sensing (S) personality type, 62 opment. Shaw, Mary, 47, 174, 226 art or science, 29-35 Shooting down of civilian airliner, 166 basic elements of, 44 Silver Bullet Syndrome, 17 versus computer programming, 32-35 Silver bullets, 16-18 versus computer science, 29-35 Simon, Herbert, 171, 174 as a profession. See professions, software Simons, Barbara, 203-204 engineering. Skills development. See also professional science versus engineering, 30-35 development. sources of knowledge, 45 professions, in general, 53-54 Software Engineering Discussion Groups professions, software engineering, 56 (SEDGs), 159 Societies. See professional societies. Software Engineering Institute (SEI). Software Configuration Management See SEI. competency, 45 Software Engineering Management Software Construction competency, 44 competency, 46 Software Design competency, 44 Software Engineering Process competency, 46 Software designers, personality types, 64–66 Software developers. See also software Software Engineering Tools and Methods development; software engineering. competency, 46 age, 69, 74 Software Engineering's Body of Knowlcode of ethics, 205-209 edge (SWEBOK). See SWEBOK. Software flexibility, illusion of, 18–20 commitment, 66-68 core competencies, 44-47 Software Maintenance competency, 45 education, 68-71 Software practices, improving. See process gender, 68 improvement. Software projects. See projects. heroes, 72–73 individual productivity differences, Software Quality competency, 45-46 Software Requirements competency, 44 MBTI (Meyers-Briggs Type Indicator), Software systems as dinosaurs, 3 Software Testing competency, 45 prima donnas versus team players, 73 Sommerville, Ian, 162 stereotypes of, 61-68 Specialization. See job specialization.

Stable core of software development essential difficulties, 43-44 knowledge, 39-47 history of, 42-43 Staff seniority, 140 Sydney Opera House versus Reims Cathe-Stallman, R.M., 75 dral, 167–169 Stereotypes of programmer personality T type, 61-68 Stevens, Wayne, 40 T (Thinking) personality type, 62 Stratification of jobs, 87-89, 90. See also Tackett, Buford D., III, 134, xxi job specialization. Tar pit analogy, 3 Success factors. See also failure factors; Teamwork. See also consciousness. personnel factors. building community, 83-86 defect management, 15-16 job specialization, 92 early defect correction, 15-16 prima donnas versus team players, 73 principles, 77, 79 early process management, 13-14, 14 experience level of requirements anaand productivity, 73 lysts, 136 rules, 77, 78-79 SW-CMM, 131 self-reliance, 77, 78 Surgical team approach to specialization, surgical team approach, 89 Technical reviews, effects on quality, 15-16 SW-CMM (Capability Maturity Model Technology transfer for Software). See also process adoption sequence, 217, 219 improvement; SEI. agricultural extension service, 221-223 Cheyenne Mountain ATAMS project, reasons for caution, 217-218 128 risk management, 219-221 defined level, 124-125 Technology transfer, adopter groups effects on creativity and morale, 129-130 decision-making styles, 216 initial level, 124 early adopters, 106, 216 managed level, 125 early majority, 106, 216 NASA's Johnson Space Flight Center, innovators, 106, 215-216 laggards, 106, 216 late majority, 106, 216 optimizing level, 125 as organizational assessment tool, Testing, effects on quality, 15–16 131-132 Texas boiler explosion, 166 organizational levels, 124-125 Thinker versus Man Thinking, 96-97 organizations using, 128-129 Thinking (T) personality type, 62 Thomsett, Rob, 74 overview, 124-125 Thordahl, James B., 122 progress made under, 125-127, 126 repeatable level, 124 Thrashing (unproductive work), 12 Training. See also accreditation; certificarisk management, 128 substance *versus* form, 133 tion; degrees; education; licensing; success factors, 131 professional development; skills sweвок (Software Engineering's Body of development. Knowledge) Construx program, 158 categories of knowledge, 43 in-house, 175

Transitional Level 12, 159 Tripp, Leonard, 48 Twain, Mark, 97–99

U

Undergraduate programs, 179–181 USS Vincennes, 166

V

Van Doren, Buddy, 134, xxi van Solingen, Rini, 111, 121–122 van Vliet, Hans, xxi Vardi, Moshe Y., 203–204 The Velvet Sweatshop, 139 Vermeer Technology, acquisition by Microsoft, 108 Vosburgh, J., 21

\mathbf{W}

Waligora, Sharon R., 226 Waters, Richard C., 6 Wheeler, David, 21, 75 White, John, 203–204 Wiener, Lauren Ruth, xxii
Windows NT
programmer commitment, 67
staff-years of development effort, 4
working environment, 67
Wirth, Niklaus, 84
Wolverton, R., 21
Work environment
effects of, 138
Microsoft, 139
overtime, 3
Writing for software developers, 95–99

\mathbf{X}

Xerox, and computing gold rush, 107

Y

Y2K problem, xix Yourdon, Ed, 84, 224, 226, xxii

\mathbf{Z}

Zachary, Pascal, 6, 67, 75 Zubrow, Dave, 226

About the Author

Steve McConnell is CEO and Chief Software Engineer at Construx Software, where he writes books and articles, teaches classes, and oversees Construx's software engineering practices. He is the author of *Code Complete* (1993), *Rapid Development* (1996), and *Software Project Survival Guide* (1998). His books have twice won *Software Development* magazine's Jolt Excellence award for outstanding software development book of the year. In 1998, readers of *Software Development* magazine named Steve one of the three most influential people in the software industry along with Bill Gates and Linus Torvalds. Steve was Editor in Chief of *IEEE Software* magazine from 1998–2002. He is on the Panel of Experts that advises the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge (Swebok) project and is Vice Chair of the IEEE Computer Society's Professional Practices Committee.

Steve earned a bachelor's degree from Whitman College and a master's degree in software engineering from Seattle University. He lives in Bellevue, Washington.

If you have any comments or questions about this book, please contact Steve at stevemcc@construx.com or via his Web site, www.stevemcconnell.com.

Software Engineering Profession Web Site

This book has a companion Web site, www.construx.com/profession. The Web site contains materials related to the contents of this book including professional reading lists, self-study plans, descriptions of current certification and licensing initiatives, links to university software engineering programs, and pointers to many other related Web sites.





www.informit.com

YOUR GUIDE TO IT REFERENCE



Articles

Keep your edge with thousands of free articles, indepth features, interviews, and IT reference recommendations – all written by experts you know and trust.



Online Books

Answers in an instant from **InformIT Online Book's** 600+ fully searchable on line books. For a limited time, you can get your first 14 days **free**.





Catalog

Review online sample chapters, author biographies and customer rankings and choose exactly the right book from a selection of over 5,000 titles.

Wouldn't it be great

if the world's leading technical publishers joined forces to deliver their best tech books in a common digital reference platform?

They have. Introducing
InformIT Online Books
powered by Safari.

■ Specific answers to specific questions.

InformIT Online Books' powerful search engine gives you relevance-ranked results in a matter of seconds.

■ Immediate results.

With InformIT Online Books, you can select the book you want and view the chapter or section you need immediately.

■ Cut, paste and annotate.

Paste code to save time and eliminate typographical errors. Make notes on the material you find useful and choose whether or not to share them with your work group.

■ Customized for your enterprise.

Customize a library for you, your department or your entire organization. You only pay for what you need.

Get your first 14 days FREE!

For a limited time, InformIT Online Books is offering its members a 10 book subscription risk-free for 14 days. Visit http://www.informit.com/online-books for details.

Inform on the Books

Register Your Book

at www.awprofessional.com/register

You may be eligible to receive:

- Advance notice of forthcoming editions of the book
- Related book recommendations
- Chapter excerpts and supplements of forthcoming titles
- Information about special contests and promotions throughout the year
- Notices and reminders about author appearances, tradeshows, and online chats with special guests

Contact us

If you are interested in writing a book or reviewing manuscripts prior to publication, please write to us at:

Editorial Department Addison-Wesley Professional 75 Arlington Street, Suite 300 Boston, MA 02116 USA Email: AWPro@aw.com Addison-Wesley

Visit us on the Web: http://www.awprofessional.com

