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Agile software development centers on four values, which are identified in the Agile Alliance’s Manifesto*

1. Individuals and interactions over processes and tools
2. Working software over comprehensive documentation
3. Customer collaboration over contract negotiation
4. Responding to change over following a plan

The development of Agile software requires innovation and responsiveness, based on generating and sharing knowledge within a development team and with the customer. Agile software developers draw on the strengths of customers, users, and developers to find just enough process to balance quality and agility.

The books in The Agile Software Development Series focus on sharing the experiences of such Agile developers. Individual books address individual techniques (such as Use Cases), group techniques (such as collaborative decision making), and proven solutions to different problems from a variety of organizational cultures. The result is a core of Agile best practices that will enrich your experiences and improve your work.

* © 2001, Authors of the Agile Manifesto
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FOREWORD
BY JIM HIGHSMITH

“Scrum is elegantly deceptive. It is one of the easiest frameworks to understand yet one of the hardest frameworks to implement well.” So begins Chapter 1 of this thought-provoking and valuable guide to Scrum. I’ve seen too many organizations get caught up in the assumed simplicity of Scrum—they never seem to make it past Scrum 101 to a mature view of Scrum. They practice “rule-based” agility and don’t appear to see the irony. They don’t understand that change, particularly in larger organizations, will be difficult—the path bumpy—no matter how devoted they are to implementation—and that a few simple rules just aren’t enough. This guide helps you move beyond Scrum 101 to a mature, realistic implementation. It isn’t about the basic Scrum framework (except for an appendix); it’s about all the harder, but practical, aspects of making the Scrum framework work for you and your team.

When it comes to agile transitions two hot buttons are often overlooked in attempts to get Scrum (or other frameworks) up and running—release planning and technical practices. Mitch is very clear from the beginning that technical practices are critical to effective Scrum implementations. As he points out, it’s impossible to achieve the goal of shippable software every sprint without implementing solid technical practices. His basic list—test-driven development, refactoring, continuous integration and frequent check-ins, pair programming, and integration and automated acceptance testing—defines a great starting place for technical practices.

I had to laugh at the story conversation in the Chapter 11, “Release Planning” (each chapter has a lead-in story that illustrates the issues to be addressed). “But Stephen, we’re using Scrum. I can’t tell you exactly when we’ll be done.” Stephen, of course, was the manager who needed project completion information for his management chain. One of the key mindsets required to be an effective agile leader is what I call “And” management, the ability to find common ground between two seemingly opposite forces. One of these common paradoxes in Scrum projects is that between “predictability” and “adaptability.” Traditionalists tend to come down on the side of predictability, while some agilists come down on the adaptability side. The secret, of course, is to balance the two—figuring out how to do appropriate levels of both. In his chapter on release planning, Mitch gives us some good guidelines on how to approach this paradox in a practical “And” management fashion.

In a recent conversation a colleague mentioned the two things he considered critical in a nascent Scrum implementation—learning and quick wins. Mitch addresses both of these in Chapter 2, “Getting People on Board” (indicating how important they are), when he delves into change management and developing the capability to
learn and adapt as the transition to Scrum continues. Getting quick wins is one of the points Mitch describes as part of John Kotter’s popular change management system.

Another plus of this book is the short chapters, each devoted to a topic that helps turn the basic Scrum framework into a workable framework by advocating key practices. These run the gamut from discussing Scrum values, to defining roles, to calculating velocity, to determining sprint lengths, to decomposing stories, to conducting customer reviews. There is also a fascinating chapter on defining what “done” means—Chapter 7, “How Do We Know When We Are Done?”—a necessity for effective Scrum projects.

For anyone who is implementing Scrum, or any other agile method for that matter, Mitch’s book will definitely help you make the transition from elegantly simple to effective, practical results. It may not make the hard stuff easy, but at least you will understand what the hard stuff is all about.

—Jim Highsmith
Executive Consultant, ThoughtWorks
Mitch and I have worked together for many years training developers in Scrum. Studying this book can help users overcome the biggest challenges that have occurred in the last ten years as agile practices (75 percent of which are Scrum) have become the primary mode of software development worldwide.

Ten years after the Agile Manifesto was published, some of the original signatories and a larger group of agile thought leaders met at Snowbird, Utah, this time to do a retrospective on ten years of agile software development. They celebrated the success of the agile approach to product development and reviewed the key impediments to building on that success. And they came to unanimous agreement on four key success factors for the next ten years.

1. Demand technical excellence.
2. Promote individual change and lead organizational change.
3. Organize knowledge and improve education.
4. Maximize value creation across the entire process.

Let’s see how Mitch’s book can help you become an agile leader.

Demand Technical Excellence

The key factor driving the explosion of the Internet, and the applications on smartphones, has been deploying applications in short increments and getting rapid feedback from end users. This is formalized in agility by developing product in short sprints, always a month or less and most often two weeks in length. We framed this issue in the Agile Manifesto by saying that “we value working software over comprehensive documentation.”

The Ten Year Agile Retrospective of the Manifesto concluded that the majority of agile teams are still having difficulty developing product in short sprints (usually because the management, the business, the customers, and the development teams do not demand technical excellence).

Engineering practices are fundamental to software development and 17 percent of Scrum teams implement Scrum with XP engineering practices. The first Scrum team did this in 1993 before XP was born. It is only common sense to professional engineers.
Mitch says in the first chapter that he considers certain XP practices mandatory—sustainable pace, collective code ownership, pair programming, test-driven development, continuous integration, coding standards, and refactoring. These are fundamental to technical excellence, and the 61 percent of agile teams using Scrum without implementing these practices should study Mitch’s book carefully and follow his guidance. This is the reason they do not have shippable code at the end of their sprints!

There is much more guidance in Mitch’s book on technical excellence, and agile leaders, whether they be in management or engineering, need to demand the technical excellence that Mitch articulates so well.

**Promote Individual Change and Lead Organizational Change**

Agile adoption requires rapid response to changing requirements along with technical excellence. This was the fourth principle of the Agile Manifesto—“respond to change over following a plan.” However, individuals adapting to change is not enough. Organizations must be structured for agile response to change. If not, they prevent the formation of, or destroy, high-performing teams because of failure to remove impediments that block progress.

Mitch steps through the Harvard Business School key success factors for change. There needs to be a sense of urgency. Change is impossible without it. Agile leaders need to live it. A guiding coalition for institutional transformation is essential. Agile leaders need to make sure management is educated, trained, on board, and participating in the Scrum implementation.

Creating a vision and empowering others is fundamental. Arbitrary decisions and command and control mandates will kill agile performance. Agile leaders need to avoid these disasters by planning for short term wins, consolidating improvements, removing impediments, and institutionalizing new approaches. Agile leaders need to be part of management or train management as well as engineering, and Mitch’s book can help you see what you need to do and how to do it.

**Organize Knowledge and Improve Education**

A large body of knowledge on teams and productivity is relatively unknown to most managers and many developers. Mitch talks about these issues throughout the book.

**Software Development Is Inherently Unpredictable**

Few people are aware of Ziv’s Law, that software development is unpredictable. The large failure rate on projects worldwide is largely due to lack of understanding of this
problem and the proper approach to deal with it. Mitch describes the need to inspect and adapt to constant change. The strategies in this book help you avoid many pitfalls and remove many blocks to your Scrum implementation.

**Users Do Not Know What They Want Until They See Working Software**

Traditional project management erroneously assumes that users know what they want before software is built. This problem was formalized as “Humphrey’s Law,” yet this law is systematically ignored in university and industry training of managers and project leaders. This book can help you work with this issue and avoid being blindsided.

**The Structure of the Organization Will Be Embedded in the Code**

A third example of a major problem that is not generally understood is “Conway’s Law.” The structure of the organization will be reflected in the code. A traditional hierarchical organizational structure negatively impacts object-oriented design resulting in brittle code, bad architecture, poor maintainability and adaptability, along with excessive costs and high failure rates. Mitch spends a lot of time explaining how to get the Scrum organization right. Listen carefully.

**Maximize Value Creation Across the Entire Process**

Agile practices can easily double or triple the productivity of a software development team if the product backlog is ready and software is done at the end of a sprint. This heightened productivity creates problems in the rest of the organization. Their lack of agility will become obvious and cause pain.

**Lack of Agility in Operations and Infrastructure**

As soon as talent and resources are applied to improve product backlog the flow of software to production will at least double and in some cases be 5–10 times higher. This exposes the fact that development operations and infrastructure are crippling production and must be fixed.

**Lack of Agility in Management, Sales, Marketing, and Product Management**

At the front end of the process, business goals, strategies, and objectives are often not clear. This results in a flat or decaying revenue stream even when production of software doubles.

For this reason, everyone in an organization needs to be educated and trained on how to optimize performance across the whole value stream. Agile individuals need
to lead this educational process by improving their ability to organize knowledge and train the whole organization.

The Bottom Line

Many Scrum implementations make only minor improvements and find it difficult to remove impediments that embroil them in constant struggle. Work can be better than this. All teams can be good, and many can be great! Work can be fun, business can be profitable, and customers can be really happy!

If you are starting out, Mitch’s book can help you. If you are struggling along the way, this book can help you even more. And if you are already great, Mitch can help you be greater. Improvement never ends, and Mitch’s insight is truly helpful.

—Jeff Sutherland
Scrum Inc.
When my daughter Emma was born in late 2004, I felt out of my depth. We seemed to be at the doctor’s office much more than we had been with our other children. I kept asking my wife, “Is this normal?” One night, I found my wife’s copy of *What to Expect the First Year* on my pillow with a note from her, “Read this. You’ll feel better.”

And I did. Knowing that everything we were experiencing was normal for my child, even if it wasn’t typical for me, or observed before, made me feel more confident and secure. This was right around the same time I was starting to experiment with Scrum and agile. As I started to encounter obstacles and run into unfamiliar situations, I began to realize that what I really needed was a *What to Expect* book for the first year of Scrum and XP.

The problem is, unlike a *What to Expect* book, I can’t tell you exactly what your team should be doing or worrying about during months 1–3 or 9–12. Teams, unlike children, don’t develop at a predictable rate. Instead, they often tumble, stumble, and bumble their way through their first year, taking two steps forward and one step back as they learn to function as a team, adopt agile engineering practices, build trust with their customers, and work in an incremental and iterative fashion.

With this in mind, I chose to structure this book with more of a “I’ve got a pain here, what should I do” approach. I’ve collected stories about teams I’ve been a part of or witnessed in their first year of agile life. As I continued down my agile path, I noticed the stories, the patterns in the companies, were usually similar. I would implement an idea in one company and tweak it for the next. In repeating this process, I ended up with a collection of real-world solutions that I now carry in my virtual tool belt. In this book, I share some of the most common pains and solutions with you. When your team is hurting or in trouble, you can turn to the chapter that most closely matches your symptoms and find, if not a cure, at least a way to relieve the pain.

*The Scrum Field Guide* is meant to help you fine-tune your own implementation, navigate some of the unfamiliar terrain, and more easily scale the hurdles we all encounter along the way.

**Who Should Read This Book**

If you are thinking about getting starting with Scrum or agile, are at the beginning of your journey, or if you have been at it a year or so but feel like you’ve gotten lost along the way, this book is for you. I’m officially targeting companies that are within
six months of starting a project to those that are a year into their implementation, an 18-month window.

This is a book for people who are pragmatic. If you want theory and esoteric discussions, grab another of the many excellent books on Scrum and agile. If, on the other hand, you want practical advice and real data based on my experience running projects both at Microsoft and while coaching teams and consulting at large Fortune 100 companies, this book fits the bill.

How to Read This Book

The book is designed for you to be able to read any chapter, in any order, at any time. Each chapter starts out with a story, pulled from a team, company, or project that I worked on or coached. As you might expect, I’ve changed the names to protect the innocent (and even the guilty). Once you read the story, which will likely sound familiar, I walk you through the model. The model is what I use in the field to help address the issues evident in the story. Some of the models might feel uncomfortable, or you might believe they won’t work for your company. I urge you to fight the instinct to ignore the advice or modify the model. Try it at least three times and see what happens. You might be surprised. At the end of each chapter, I summarize the keys to success, those factors that can either make or break your implementation.

This book is organized in four parts.

Part I, “Getting Prepared,” gives you advice on getting started with Scrum, helping you set up for success. If you are just thinking about Scrum or have just begun to use it, start there.

Part II, “Field Basics,” discusses items that, once you get started down the agile path, help you over some of the initial stumbling blocks that teams and organizations encounter. If you’ve gotten your feet wet with Scrum but are running into issues, you might start here.

Part III, “First Aid,” is where we deal with some of the larger, deeper issues that companies face, like adding people to projects or fixing dysfunctional daily standup meetings. These are situations you’ll likely find yourself in at one point or another during your first year. These chapters help you triage and treat the situation, allowing your team to return to a healthy state.

The last part, Part IV, “Advanced Survival Techniques,” contains a series of items that people seem to struggle with regardless of where they are in their adoption, things such as costing projects, writing contacts, and addressing documentation in agile and Scrum projects.

If you are starting from scratch and have no idea what Scrum is, I’ve included a short description in the appendix at the back of the book to help familiarize you with the terms. You might also want to do some more reading on Scrum before diving into this book.
Why You Should Read This Book

Regardless of where we are on our agile journey, we all need a friendly reminder that what we are experiencing is normal, some suggestions on how to deal with it, and a few keys for success. This book gives you all that in a format that allows you to read only the chapter you need, an entire section, or the whole thing. Its real-life situations will resonate with you, and its solutions can be applied by any team. Turn the page and read the stories. This field guide will become a trusted companion as you experience the highs and lows of Scrum and Extreme Programming.

Supplemental Material for this Book

Throughout this book, you may find yourself thinking, “I wish I had a tool or downloadable template to help me implement that concept.” In many cases, you do. If you go to http://www.mitchlacey.com/supplements/ you will find a list of various files, images, spreadsheets, and tools that I use in my everyday Scrum projects. While some of the information is refined, most of the stuff is pretty raw. Why? For my projects, I don’t need it to be pretty; I need it functional. What you will get from my website will be raw, true, and from the trenches, but it works.
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We’ve all heard the common myth, *Agile means no documentation*. While other agile fallacies exist, this is a big one, and it could not be farther from the truth. Good agile teams are disciplined about their documentation but are also deliberate about how much they do and when. In this chapter’s story we find a duo struggling to explain that while they won’t be fully documenting everything up front, they will actually be more fully documenting the entire project from beginning to end.

**The Story**

”Hey, you two,” said Ashley, stopping Carter and Noel in the hallway as they passed by her office. “I’ve been sensing some resistance from you two over the initial project documentation. I need it by next Friday for project sign off, OK?” Ashley looked back at her computer and began typing again, clearly expecting a quick answer.

Carter and Noel looked at each other then back at their manager Ashley before replying. They had known this conversation was coming but didn’t realize they’d be accosted in the hallway by an obviously harried Ashley when it did.

“Listen, we can document everything up front like you ask” Noel began as she and Carter moved to stand close to Ashley’s doorway. “But we don’t think it’s the best approach. Things change and we cannot promise you that things will go as planned. Further…” Ashley stopped typing and looked up, interrupting Noel mid-stream.

“Look, I don’t want to argue about something as basic as documentation. I just need it on my desk by Friday.”

Carter spoke up.

“Ashley,” he began. “Can I have five minutes to try to communicate a different approach? I know you’ve got a full plate, but I think it’s important for you to understand this point before we table our discussion.”

Ashley glanced at her watch, then nodded. “Five minutes. Go.”

“When I was in college, I worked for our university newspaper,” Carter explained. I worked as a sports photographer so I always went with the sports writers to the local football games. I would be on the field, and they would be in the stands.

“It probably won’t surprise you to hear that not one of those sports writers came to the football game with the story already written. Now, they might have done some research on the players. They might have talked to the coaches about their game
plans. They might have asked me to be sure to get some shots of a particular player. But they didn’t write the article before the game even began.

“That’s kind of what you are asking us to do with the software. You want the complete story of how this will unfold, including the final game score, before we’ve even started playing,” said Carter.

“Well, that’s how we get things done around here. Without the documentation, I can’t get project approval, and I can’t be sure that you guys understand what we need you to build,” explained Ashley.

Carter continued. “Right. I get that. It’s not unreasonable for you to want some information before we get started. And you should expect to receive frequent updates from us on what’s going on with the project. After all, the reporters I worked with would take notes and write snippets of the article about the game as it was unfolding. They would come down at halftime to talk to me about the shots I had captured and the angle they were working on based on how things were going so far.

“But to ask us to tell you what the software will look like, exactly how much it will cost, and precisely when we’ll be done is like asking us to predict the final score of the football game. We can tell you how we think it’s going to go, but when things are changing and unfolding, it’s difficult to predict all the details.”

Ashley nodded. “But things aren’t always that volatile with our projects. We know basically what we want this to look like. It’s only some things that we aren’t sure of.”

“Right,” said Noel. “And if you’ve got a project where we can nail down most of the variables and have a clear picture of the final product, we can give you more documentation.

Carter nodded, “To go back to my sports writer analogy, there were times when one team was clearly dominating—the game was a blowout. In those cases, the reporters had their stories mostly written by halftime. They’d already come up with the headline, filled in a lot of the details, and were just waiting until the end of the game to add the final stats and score.

“Most times, though, the games were close and the outcome uncertain. In those cases, the reporters would keep filling in the skeleton of the story with the events as they happened in real time. They would come down to the field at halftime, and we would talk about the unfolding story and how they were writing it. We’d strategize and say ‘if the game goes this way, we’ll take this approach. But if it goes that way, will take this other approach.’

“Likewise, the level of detail in our documentation should depend on how certain we are that things aren’t going to change.”

Ashley leaned back in her chair with her hand on her chin, deep in thought. Noel decided to go in for the kill.

“Ashley, remember when the Deepwater Horizon platform exploded and the oil started spilling in the Gulf of Mexico? Or the 9/11 attacks in the US? The London train bombings or the Moscow airport attacks? Or the quake and tsunami in Japan? Or when Reagan or Kennedy were shot?”
Ashley nodded.

“Well, you would notice a trend in all these events. In the initial accounts, the media headlines conveyed the big idea, but not many details. All they could tell us at first was generally what had happened (oil spill/terrorist attack/quake/tsunami/assassination attempts), when, and where. Why? Because the events were still unfolding and that was all anyone knew for sure. As the reporters on the scene learned more, they added the new facts to the story and changed the headlines, and the stories, to reflect the new information.

“All those little updates and facts and details, though, were important to capture in real time, even if they later had to be updated to reflect changes and new information. Without them, much of the information about the events would have been forgotten in the chaos surrounding it. The reporters didn’t try to write more up front than they knew. Instead, they recorded what they did know as they went along. Later, after the details had solidified, they went back through the various articles and wrote a larger, encompassing synopsis that outlined the specific event from the initial failures to the current state,” Noel said.

“That’s what we’re suggesting we do: make our documentation a story in progress. Is this making sense?” asked Carter.

Ashley sat forward.

“I think I get it now. What I originally heard you say was ‘I can’t give you documentation.’ But what you’re actually saying is that you will document certain things up front, most things in real time (updating them as necessary to reflect reality), and some things after the fact. But what does that mean in terms of software exactly?”

Noel spoke up, “One of the things we need to write for this project is the end-user manual and the customer support reference manual for the call centers. I think you’ll agree we should not write those before we write the code, correct?”

Ashley nodded.

Noel continued, “Right, so when should we write them? In the past, we have written them at the very end of the project. When this happens, we scramble to find the little details because we forget to write them down, or we say ‘we’ll remember that’ and we never do. The details are essentially lost, and a significant amount of time is needed to find them and document them, if they can be found at all. In the meantime, we’re holding up a release of a functioning system all because we’ve forgotten exactly what every feature does in the system, and we are re-creating everything so we can create these manuals.

“What we need to do is document as we go, as soon as we can without doing too much. That way when we get to the point where the UI stabilizes, let’s say, we can create even more detailed user guides, but we will not have lost our details. And if things change along the way, we will update what we have written to reflect it. It’s a balance between stability and volatility. The more volatile something is, the more careful we need to be in what level we document. If it’s stable, we can do something like a large database diagram model in a tool. If it’s volatile, we might just draw a picture on the
whiteboard—again, both are documents, database models to be exact, but they are very different in terms of formality,” finished Noel.

“So, are we on the same page?” asked Carter.

“Yes,” said Ashley. “I get it now. I think this is a good approach and something that I will advocate, provided you give me regular feedback so I can update senior executive management. But I still need the big headlines by Friday. Agreed?”

“Agreed,” said Carter and Noel together.

And that was that.

The Model

Many people can quote the part of the Agile Manifesto that states, “working software over comprehensive documentation,” but they fail to mention the very important explanatory component that follows: “While there is value in the items on the right, we value the items on the left more” [BECK]. Scrum teams still value documentation; they just change the timing of that documentation to be more consistent with their level of knowledge.

For example, imagine you are in your university world history class. You get to the point in the class when it’s time to discuss Western European history. Your professor says to you, “Now I want each of you to buy my new book Western European History: The 30th Century. Come prepared for an exam on the first five chapters in two weeks.”

You would probably look around the room, wondering if what you just heard was correct and ask a fellow student, “Did he just say 30th century history?”

Common sense tells you that without time machines, it is impossible to read a factual account of future events—they haven’t happened yet! Sure there are predictors and indicators that suggest what might happen, but nothing is certain. This then begs the question. If this approach is wrong for a university class, why is the exact same approach accepted when developing software?

Before we’ve begun any work on a project, we are often asked for exact details as to what will be delivered, by when, and at what cost. To determine these things, teams often write volumes of documents detailing how the system will work, the interfaces, the database table structures, everything. They are, in essence, writing a history of things that have yet to occur. And it’s just as ludicrous for a software team to do it as it would be for your history professor.

That doesn’t mean we should abandon documents, and it doesn’t mean that we should leave everything until the end either. A certain amount of documentation is essential at each stage of a project. Up front, we use specifications or user stories to capture ideas and concepts on paper so that we can communicate project goals and strategies. When we sign off on these plans, we agree that what we have documented is the right thing to do.
The question, then, is not, should we document, but what should we document and when. The answer has everything to do with necessity, volatility, and cost.

**Why Do We Document?**

Every project needs a certain amount of documentation. In a 1998 article on Salon.com titled “The Dumbing-Down of Programming,” author Ellen Ullman notes how large computer systems “represented the summed-up knowledge of human beings” [ULLMAN]. When it comes to system documentation, we need to realize that we’re not building or writing for us; we are writing for the future. I think Ullman summarizes it best with this snippet from the same article:

> I used to pass by a large computer system with the feeling that it represented the summed-up knowledge of human beings. It reassured me to think of all those programs as a kind of library in which our understanding of the world was recorded in intricate and exquisite detail. I managed to hold onto this comforting belief even in the face of 20 years in the programming business, where I learned from the beginning what a hard time we programmers have in maintaining our own code, let alone understanding programs written and modified over years by untold numbers of other programmers. Programmers come and go; the core group that once understood the issues has written its code and moved on; new programmers have come, left their bit of understanding in the code and moved on in turn. Eventually, no one individual or group knows the full range of the problem behind the program, the solutions we chose, the ones we rejected and why.

> Over time, the only representation of the original knowledge becomes the code itself, which by now is something we can run but not exactly understand. It has become a process, something we can operate but no longer rethink deeply. Even if you have the source code in front of you, there are limits to what a human reader can absorb from thousands of lines of text designed primarily to function, not to convey meaning. When knowledge passes into code, it changes state; like water turned to ice, it becomes a new thing, with new properties. We use it; but in a human sense we no longer know it.

Why is this important? Because we need to realize that, in a human sense, we use the system and we know the system. That is why we document.

So, what is essential to document and what is needless work? Much of that depends on the type of system you are building and the way in which you work. Teams that are co-located need to document less than teams distributed across continents and time zones. Teams that are building banking systems need to satisfy more regulatory requirements than teams building marketing websites. The key is to document as much as you need and nothing more.
What Do We Document?

The list of essential documents is different for every project. Going through my list of recent projects, some frequent documentation items include the following:

- End user manual
- Operations user guide
- Troubleshooting guide
- Release and update manual
- Rollback/failover manual
- User stories and details
- Unit tests
- Network architecture diagram
- DB architecture diagram
- System architecture diagram
- Acceptance test cases
- Development API manual
- Threat models
- UML diagrams
- Sequence diagrams

We didn’t write all these before the project began. And we didn’t wait until the final sprint to start them either. We did them as the information became available. Many of the user stories, for instance, were written up front. But some of them were changed, and others were added as the project progressed and requirements became clearer. Our unit tests were written as we coded. And at the end of every sprint, we updated the end user manual to reflect new functionality. We included in our definition of done what we would document and when we would write it (see Chapter 7, “How Do We Know When We Are Done?”).

When and How Do We Document?

So if we don’t do it all up front and we don’t save it all for the end, how does documentation happen in an agile project? Documentation, any documentation, costs money. The more time it takes to write and update, the more it costs. What agile projects strive to do, then, is minimize write time, maintenance time, rework costs, and corrections.

Let’s look at three approaches we can take when documenting our projects.

- Document heavily in the beginning.
- Document heavily in the end.
- Document as we go along.
Document Heavily in the Beginning

Traditional projects rely on early documentation. As you can see from the diagram in Figure 27-1, a typical waterfall team must capture requirements, build a project plan, do the system architecture, write test plans, and do other such documentation at the beginning of the project. If we were to overlay a line that represented working software, it would not begin to move up until the blue line started to flatten.

The benefit of this approach is that people feel more secure about the system being built. The major drawback is that this sense of security is misleading. In point of fact, though a great deal of time, effort, and money has gone into writing the documents, no working software has been created. The chances of getting everything right up front are marginal on stable projects and next to zero on volatile projects. That means factoring in costly rework and extra time. Chances are good that these high-priced, feel-good documents will turn into dusty artifacts on the project bookcase.

Document Heavily at the End

When we document heavily at the end, we document as little as possible as the software is developed and save all the material needed to release, sustain, and maintain the system over time until the end of the project. Figure 27-2 illustrates this approach.

The benefits of this approach are that working software is created quickly and that what is eventually written should reflect what the system does.

The problems with this approach, however, are many. People often forget what was done and when and what decisions were made and why. Team members on the project at the end are not necessarily the people on the project in the beginning; departing team members take much of their knowledge with them when they go. After the code for a project is complete, there is almost always another high priority
A project that needs attention. What usually happens is that most of the team members go on to the new project, leaving the remaining team member(s) to create the documentation for the system by themselves. Countless hours are spent hunting for data and trying to track down and access old team members, who are busy with new work and no longer have time for something “as insignificant as documentation.”

Though saving documentation until the end is cheaper in the beginning because more time is spent on actual software development, it is usually expensive in the end because it can hold up a release or cause support and maintenance issues, as it will likely contain gaps and faulty information.

Document as We Go

Agile projects do things differently. We acknowledge that while we can’t know everything up front, we do want to know some things. We also maintain that documentation should be part of each story’s definition of done, so that it is created, maintained, and updated in real time, as part of the cost of creating working software. Figure 27-3 illustrates the document-as-we-go approach.

The product owner works with the stakeholders and customers to build the requirements while the team works with the product owner to achieve emergent design and architecture. The team also keeps the code clean, creating automated tests, and using code comments and other tools to slowly build other required documentation for the system, such as the user manuals, operations guide, and more.

The one drawback is that it does take a little longer to code when you document as you go than it would to fly through the code without having to write a comment or update an architectural diagram. This is more than offset, though, by the benefits. There is less waste, less risk of eleventh-hour holdups, and more emphasis on working software. Much of the documentation is updated automatically as changes are made.
to the code, reducing maintenance and rework costs. Just as news reports capture the
details of a story for posterity, real-time documentation of decisions and behavior in
real time minimizes gaps in knowledge and creates a living history of the software for
future teams and projects.

**Documenting in an Agile Project**

So we agree that in most cases, agile teams will want to document as they go. So what
exactly does that look like on a typical software project? To illustrate, let’s use a docu-
ment that is familiar to almost everyone: the user manual. A waterfall approach would
be to write the entire manual at the end. We’ve discussed why this is a plausible but
risky solution. The more agile way to approach a user manual is to include “update
the user manual” as one of the acceptance criteria for a story that has to do with user-
facing functionality. By doing that, the manual is updated each time working software
is produced.

Let’s say, for example, that I’m writing the user manual for an update to Adobe
Lightroom (my current favorite piece of software). I’m in sprint planning and the
product owner explains that the story with the highest priority is “As an Adobe Light-
room user, I can export a series of photographs to Adobe Photoshop so I can stitch
them together to make a panorama.” As we’re talking through that story, I recom-
mand that we add “update user manual to reflect new functionality” as one of the
acceptance criteria for that story.

As I write the code or as I’m finishing the feature, I would also edit a document
that provides the user instructions on how to use the feature. Depending on how
stable the feature is, I might even include screen shots that walk the user through how
to do this for both Lightroom and Photoshop. If the feature is less stable, meaning
the core components are built but the user interface team is still hashing out the user interface through focus groups, I would document the behavior but probably only include placeholders for the screen shots. The key here is that the story would not be done until the user manual is updated.

Updating the user manual would be appropriate to do at the story level, as I described, but could also be accomplished at the sprint level. For instance, if we have several stories that revolve around user-facing functionality, we might add a story during sprint planning that says, “As a user, I want to be able to learn about all the new functionality added during this sprint in my user manual.”

What I am doing is balancing stability versus volatility of the feature to determine how deep I go and when. It would not, for example, be prudent to make updating the user manual part of the definition of done for a task. Too much might change before the story is complete. Nor would it be acceptable to wait to update the user manual until right before a release. That’s far too late to start capturing the details of the new behaviors.

When determining when to document your own systems, you must balance cost, volatility, and risk. For more on determining your definition of done, refer to Chapter 7.

**Starting Projects without Extensive Documentation**

One challenge you will have is to help stakeholders and customers understand why you are not documenting everything up front. Tell them a story like Carter did at the beginning of this chapter (or share that story with them). Remind them that while documenting heavily up front drives down the perceived risk, you never know what you don’t know until a working solution is in place.

Eschewing extensive documentation up front does not mean you are off the hook for a project signoff piece. But it does mean that the piece will look different to your stakeholders than it has on other projects. Rather than give them the specific artifacts they request, answer the questions they are asking in regards to schedules and requirements in the most lightweight way possible for your project and situation. A PMO might, for instance, ask for a Microsoft Project plan, but what the PMO really wants to know is what will be done by about when. By the same token, a stakeholder might ask you for a detailed specification, when what she really wants to know is, “Are you and I on the same page with regards to what I’m asking you to do?”

Signoff and approval will occur early and often. The product owner will hold many story workshops to build the product backlog, will work with the team to build the release plan, and will then communicate that information to all interested parties, soliciting enough feedback to ensure that the team will deliver what the stakeholders had in mind (which is rarely exactly what they asked for). The documents the product owner uses to do this are only a mode of transportation for ideas and concepts, and a document is not the only way to transfer those ideas. Up-front documentation can just as easily take the form of pictures of whiteboard drawings, sketches, mockups, and the like—it does not need to a large formal document.
The beginning of the project is when you know the least about what you are building and when you have the most volatility. What your stakeholders need is the piece of mind that comes from knowing you understand what they need and can give them some idea of how long it will take to deliver. Expend the least amount of effort possible while still giving them accurate information and reassurance. At this point in the project, everything can and will change.

Keys to Success

The keys to success are simple:

- **Decide**—Determine what you need to document for your project and when it makes the most sense to produce that documentation. Some things, such as code comments, are easy to time. Other items, such as threat models, are more difficult. Work as a team with your product owner to determine the must-have documents at each stage of your project.

- **Commit**—Once you have a documentation plan, stick to it. Put it in your definition of done. Hold yourselves accountable. Documentation is never fun, even when it’s broken into small chunks. Remind your team that a little bit of pain will eliminate a great deal of risk come release time.

- **Communicate**—If this is the first project to move forward without extensive up-front documentation, people will be nervous. Help them out, especially at the beginning of the project, by sending frequent updates, pictures of whiteboards, and any other documents that are produced. Do like your math teacher always told you and show your work. Seeing working software and physical artifacts goes a long way toward calming the fears of even the most anxious executives.

- **Invest in automation**—Documentation is easier and ultimately cheaper if you invest a little time in automating either the system or the documentation itself. For example, if you can create an automated script to compile all the code comments and parse them into documentation, you’ve saved a manual step and instantly made your documentation more in sync with the actual code. It’s also much easier to document acceptance test results and API documents automatically than it would be to do manually. On the flip side, you might find that automating the features themselves can save you a lot of documentation work. For example, a manual installation process might require a 40-page installation guide; an automated installation process, on the other hand, probably only needs a one-page guide and is better for the end user as well. Whenever possible, automate either your documentation or the features it supports. The results are well worth the investment.
Being agile does not equate to *no* documentation; it means doing timely, accurate, responsible documentation. Make sure that documentation is equally represented in your team’s definition of done alongside things like code and automation. Remember that when change happens, it’s not just the code that changes—the entire software package that you are delivering changes, documentation included. Lastly remember that as much as you might wish otherwise, documentation is a part of every software project. When you do a little at a time and automate as much as possible, you’ll find that while it’s still an obligation, it’s not nearly as much of a chore.
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