Index

A

Access control requirements, 52–53 Access control services, 53 Access technology, 45–46 Agile SOA development, 131–134 risk management, 141–142 TAS (Total Architecture Synthesis), 134–140 Agility, 58–59, 113 Application design, 76–78 Architect, business process absence of, 108–109 feedback omissions, 165 multisilo projects, 80–81, 87 process design, 170–176 and project charter, 90-91, 191 responsibilities, xxv, 11, 71, 101-102 split team, 97 total architecture management, 125 virtual team, 109 Architect, project-level, 124 Architect, systems and business process design, 170 - 178multisilo projects, 80–82, 87 process design, 170–176 and project charter, 90–91, 191 responsibilities, xxv, 11, 71, 102-103, 177-178 split team, 97 total architecture management, 125 virtual team, 109 Architectural dependencies, 113 Architectural design patterns, 123

Asynchronous request-reply, 44–45, 162 Authentication, 39, 52–53, 55 Authorization, 39, 52–53, 55 Automatic breakdown detection, 69

B

Boehm, Barry, 140 Breakdowns, 124 automatic breakdown detection, 69 conversation for action, 149–151 detecting, 154-160 recovery, 22, 24 undetected, 20-21, 160, 162, 166, 176,206 Budgets, 65-67, 218 Business agility, 58 Business analyst, 101 Business executive sponsor, 82–85 enterprise architecture, 115 multisilo projects, 87–91 relationship with IT executive sponsor, 84 and risk, 178-179 total architecture management, 127 Business process architect absence of, 108-109 feedback omissions, 165 multisilo projects, 80-81, 87 process design, 170–176 and project charter, 90-91, 191 responsibilities, xxv, 11, 71, 101-102 split team, 97

Business process architect (*continued*) total architecture management, 125 virtual team, 109 Business process synthesis, TAS (Total Architecture Synthesis), 135, 138–139 Business processes, xxiii case studies, 20–25 and dependency, 113 enterprise architecture dependencies, 111–112 nonfunctional requirements, 172 risks, 147 Business services, 39–40, 44 and dependency, 112–113 Business systems, 29 nonfunctional requirements, 32–34 tangible benefits, 30–32

C

Case studies expediting orders, 25-27 fraud investigation, 33-34 purchasing process, 20–21 service-level agreements, 23–24 unified customer interface, 30–32 Charter (project), 10–11, 65–67, 91, 186 - 194Client-server development, silobased, 10–13 Code libraries, 56 Constraints, 188–189 Conversation for action, 149–151 activity diagram, 155 dialog shortcuts, 160–161 project as dialog, 184–186 Cosmic chicken, 196–197 Cost -benefit issues, 58–60, 67, 72, 93 constraints, 188–189 management, 8–9, 163 reduction, 58–59 CRUD cycle, 217

D

Data loss, 113, 204–205 Data replication, 113, 205 Death march projects, 72 Delays, 210–211 Delegation, 153–154 Deliver Orders, 173–177 Delivery Service Workgroup, 173–177 Departmental systems, 76–78 Dependency architectural, 113 business processes, 113 business services, 112–113 enterprise architecture, 111–114 location, 47–50 Deployment approval, 193 Design patterns, 123 Destination names, 48–50 Development methodology, 70–72 Dialog shortcuts, 160–170 Direct access to services, 48 Distributed ESB, routing, 49–52 Distributed system design, 78–79 Documentation, 118, 123 service design, 223–224 Domain model, 138 Dotted-line reporting, 77, 126–127 IT executive sponsor, 84–85 multisilo projects, 82 to project team, 106 total architecture management, 126 - 127virtual leadership, 109

E

Education (governance), 219 Emerging technologies, 116–118 Encryption, 52–53, 55 Enforcement (governance), 219 Enterprise agility, 59 Enterprise architecture, 111–115 data replication, 113

definition, 115–119 dependencies, 111–114 design patterns, 123 governance, 119–122 operational responsibility, 123–124 site disaster recovery, 113–114 standards and best practices, 115, 122 - 123total architecture management, 124–128 training and mentoring, 123 Enterprise architecture roadmap, 230 - 232Enterprise projects organization, 89-90 Enterprise resource planning (ERP), 8–9, 12–13, 82 Errors, and risk reduction, 208–210 ESB (enterprise service bus), 48–52 Event notifications, 44–45 Event support, services, 44–45 Evolutionary changes, services, 43 Exception handling, 39, 161, 166 Exceptions, process design, 171 Exit strategy, 194 Expediting orders, 25–27 External services, 46

F

Failover, 113–114 Failures data, 204–205 logic, 205–207 risk reduction, 200–208 systems, 202–204 Feasibility assessments, 66–67, 72, 120 negative reports, 193 oversight team, 90–91, 120 project, 105 total architecture synthesis, 135–142 Feedback, 71–72 breakdowns, 159 dialog shortcuts, 162–167 negative, 72, 92, 159, 163, 193 omissions, 162, 165 pattern, 165 task assignment, 175 task performance, 155, 159 Fire-and-forget communications, 168 Flores, Fernando, 148, 149 Fraud investigation (case study), 33–34 Functional requirements, 32 Functional stability, of services, 42–44 Future-state architecture, 116–119

G

Geographic distribution, 233–234 Governance education and enforcement, 219 enterprise architecture, 119–122 and feasibility, 66–67, 72 minimum project, 120, 192 processes, 217 project charter, 191–194 project portfolio planning, 218–219 for service design, 219–224 for service operation, 225–226 for service utilization, 224–225 *See also* Risk management

Η

HTTP (Hypertext Transport Protocol), 45–46

I

Incentives, 85–86, 93 Independent access control, 52–53 Indexes (service documentation), 216, 224

Indirect access to services, 48 Indirection, 47–52 Industry standards, 53–55 Infeasibility, 67, 132, 192–193 Infrastructure services, 39–40, 44 ESB, 48–49 Interdependence, 63 business processes and systems, 71 enterprise architecture elements, 111 - 113total architecture, 5 Internal services, 46 Investment, risk mitigation, 202–205 IT executive sponsor, 12 and business executive sponsor, 84-85 dotted-line reporting, 81–85 incentives, 85–87 process monitoring, 178 and project charter, 91, 190 total architecture management, 126–127 IT-centric project, 96, 108–109 Iterative approach, 134, 139–142

J

JMS (Java Messaging Service), 45–46 Justification and specification, 220–221

L

Late delivery, 210–211 Legacy code, and service wrappers, 47 Location dependencies, 47–49 Location transparency, 47–52 Logic errors, 205–207

M

Mentorship, 123, 125 Minimum project governance, 120, 192 Mobile computing, 233 Monitor, 167–169 Multiple failure modes, 207–208 Multiple phases, 194–197 Multisilo projects, 80–88

Ν

Negative feedback absence of, 159, 163 on project feasibility, 72, 92, 193 Nonfunctional requirements, 32–34, 172 Notification services, 44–45

0

Order Entry System, 176–178 Order Entry Workgroup, 174–178 Order Management process, 169–172, 177 Organization, and project success, 75 application design, 76–78 distributed system design, 78–79 multisilo projects, 80–88 project oversight, 88–97 Outages, 22, 202–204, 226 Oversight, 88–90 fostering cooperation, 93 project charter, 91, 120, 190-191 project feasibility, 92–93 split team, 97 success measurement, 93–94

Р

Partitioned projects, 195–196 Peak order rate, 172, 201–203 Performance constraints, 172 Performance monitoring, 225–226 Performer (conversation for action), 150–159, 161–168, 170, 173 Phased projects, 194–195 Plan Order Shipment, 172 Power generator case study, 25–27 Present-state architecture, 117–119 Process breakdowns, 20–21 design, 69–70, 170–178 failures, 19–27 flow, 171–172 management, 68–70, 176–178 Process architect, business absence of, 108–109 feedback omissions, 165 multisilo projects, 80–81, 87 process design, 170–176 and project charter, 90–91, 191 responsibilities, xxv, 11, 71, 101 - 102split team, 97 total architecture management, 125 virtual team, 109 Progress reports, 72 Project as a dialog, 184–186 Project charter, 10, 91 budgets, 65 exit strategy, 194 key participants, 91, 190–191 project governance, 191–194 request, 186–190 Project exit strategy, 194 Project feasibility leadership team responsibilities, 105 - 106oversight team responsibilities, 92–93 Project governance, 191–194 enterprise architecture, 119–122 and feasibility, 66–67, 72 minimum steps for, 120–121 Project leadership team, 99 business process architect, 101–102 IT-centric project, 108–109 project manager, 87–91, 99–101 responsibilities, 103–107

systems architect, 102–103 virtual team, 109 Project manager, 87–91, 99–101 Project oversight, 88–95 Project portfolio planning, 218–219 Project prime objective, 66–67 Project risks and rewards, 189–190 Project scoping, TAS (Total Architecture Synthesis), 136–137 Project teams, 96, 108 Project-level architect, 124 Promise (conversation for action), 150, 156–158, 161–162

Q

Quality, 10–11 Quality measurements, 163–166 Quantifying benefits, 64 Quantifying costs, 65

R

Real-time monitoring, 206 Recovery process, 22–24 Registries (service documentation), 224 Releases, phased, 194–197 Replication, data, 113, 205 Repositories (service documentation), 224 Request, project charter, 186–190 Request (conversation for action), 150 Request routing, 49–52 Request-only communications, 168-169 Requestor (conversation for action), 150–153, 155–156, 166–170, 173 Request-reply, 44–45, 57, 162 Responsibilities business process architect, xxv, 11, 71,101–102 oversight, 88, 92–93

process design, 170–178 of project leadership team, 103 - 107for risk assessment, 148 systems architect, xxv, 11, 71, 102-103, 177-178 Reusable services, 8, 13, 39 Revenue losses, 202–207 Risk, business process, 147–149 breakdowns, 154–160 business executive sponsor, 178 - 179conversation for action, 149–152 delegation and trust, 153–154 dialog shortcuts, 160–170 responsibility assignments, 170 - 178Risk management, 215 exit strategy, 194 governance, 218–226 partitioned projects, 195–196 phased projects, 194–195 processes and governance, 217-226 project as a dialog, 184–186 project charter, 186–194 project portfolio planning, 218-219 project risk, 183–184 risk reduction, 199–210 service design, 219–224 service operation, 225–226 service utilization, 224–225 service-related risks, 215–217 TAS (Total Architecture Synthesis), 132–140 Risk reduction, 199 delays, 210–211 errors, 208-210 failures, 200–208 investment, 202-204 ROI, 8, 215, 218 Routing, distributed ESB, 49–52 Runtime overhead, 55–58

S

Scalability, 222 Schedule, 100–101, 103, 105, 188–189 Scoping, TAS, 136–137 Security certificate, 52 Separate projects, 195–197 Server failures, 202–204 Service abstract, 223–224 Service advertising, 216, 224 Service communications, standardization, 54–55 Service design governance, 219 architecture, implementation, and deployment, 221–223 documentation, 223-224 justification and specification, 220–221 Service operation governance, 225-226 Service orchestration, 5, 11–12 Service utilization governance, 224-225 Service wrappers, 40–42, 47 Service-level agreements (SLAs), 21 - 24Service-level specifications, 22 Service-related risks, 215–217 Service(s), 37–41 access control, 52–53 business, 39–40 cost-benefit issues, 58-60 criteria for creating, 55–58 direct access, 48 ESB (enterprise service bus), 48–52 event support, 44-45 evolutionary changes, 43 external, 46 functional stability, 42-44 indirect access, 48 infrastructure, 39–40 internal, 46 location transparency, 47–52

service wrappers, 40–42, 47 specifying, 220–221 standardized message content, 53-55 universal accessibility, 45–46 Ship Order, 170, 172–177 Shipped Goods, 173 Silo-based client-server development, 10–13 Silo-based focus, 75–76 Silo-based projects, 9–13 Silos, 6–9 multisilo projects, 80–88 partitioned projects, 195 Site disaster, 113, 205 Six Sigma, 164 SOAP, 54–55, 224 Solid-line reporting, 77n Split oversight teams, 97 Stability of services, 41–44 Standardization, service communications, 54–55 Standardized message content, services, 53–55 Standards, 54–55, 115, 122–123 Steering committees, 88–90 Streaming audio/video, 46 Success, 63 development methodology, 70–72 measuring, 63–68 process management, 68–69 system design and process design, 69–70 Synchronous request-reply, 44–45, 162 System design, and process design, 69-70 Systems architect and business process design, 170 - 178multisilo projects, 80–82, 87 process design, 170–176 and project charter, 90–91, 191 responsibilities, xxv, 11, 71, 102–103, 177–178 split team, 97

total architecture management, 125 virtual team, 109 Systems architecture leadership, multisilo projects, 86–88 Systems architecture synthesis, 135, 139 Systems failures, 202–204

Т

TAS (Total Architecture Synthesis), 134 and agile development, 132 business process synthesis, 138–139 evaluation, 139 implementation caution, 140 overview, 135 project scoping, 136–137 requirements gathering, 137–138 systems architecture synthesis, 139 Task assignment, 175 Task execution assignments, 173–176 Task performance breakdowns, 154 - 160Task performance constraints, 172–173 Telecommunications service, SLAs, 23 - 24Testing, in production environment, 20 - 21Third party, delivering to, 166–168 Total architecture, 4–6, 13–16 Total architecture management group, 124–128 Training, enterprise architecture, 123 Transports, 45–46 Trust, 153–154

U

UDDI, 224 UML, 131–134 activity diagram notation, 154–156 TAS, 141 Undetected breakdowns, 20–21, 160, 162, 166, 174, 206 Unified customer interface, 30–32 Universal accessibility, 45–46 Upgrade planning, 225–228 Use cases, 135–139 User ID/password, 52

V

Validating results, process design, 178 Version upgrades, 226 Virtual hostnames, 47, 49 Virtual IP addresses, 47, 49 Virtual leadership team, 109

W

Warehouse Management process, 169–170, 172

Warehouse Workgroup, 173–177 Waterfall-style development, 131–134 W3C SOAP, 54–55 Williams, Monci J., 163–164 Winograd, Terry, 148, 149 WS-* standards, 54–55 WSDL, 224 WS-Policy, 54–55 WS-Security, 54–55 WS-SecurityPolicy, 54–55

X

XML, 54 XML (eXtensible Markup Language), 45–46

Y

Yourdon, Edward, 72