

# THE SOFTWARE IP DETECTIVE'S HANDBOOK

Measurement, Comparison, and Infringement Detection



BOB ZEIDMAN

# Praise for *The Software IP Detective's Handbook*

“As a frequent expert witness myself, I found Bob’s book to be important and well written. Intellectual property and software plagiarism are complicated subjects, as are patents and copyrights. This book explains the key elements better than anything else I have seen. The book is highly recommended to anyone who develops software and also to those who need to protect proprietary software algorithms. The book should also be useful to attorneys who are involved with intellectual property litigation.”

—*Capers Jones, president, Capers Jones & Associates, LLC*

“Intellectual property [IP] is an engine of growth for our high-tech world and a valuable commodity traded in its own right. Bob Zeidman is a leading authority on software IP, and in this book he shares with us his expertise. The book is comprehensive. It contains clear explanations of many difficult subjects. Businesspeople who study it will learn how to protect their IP. Lawyers will use it to understand the specifics of how software embodies IP. Judges will cite it in their decisions on IP litigation.”

—*Abraham Sofaer, George P. Shultz Senior Fellow in Foreign Policy and National Security Affairs, Hoover Institution, Stanford University*

“Bob has done a fantastic job in making computer science forensics understandable to mere mortals: attorneys, engineers, and managers. This is the ultimate handbook for expert witnesses, due diligence execution, and developing a baseline for software valuation. Buy it before your competitors do!”

—*Don Shafer, CSDP, chief technology officer, Athens Group, LLC*

“Bob has considerable experience in dealing with issues associated with unauthorized use of software code. His insights in this book are particularly helpful for those seeking to provide expert analysis with respect to software code.”

—*Neel I. Chatterjee, partner, Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLC*

“This readable book perfectly bridges the jargon divide between software engineers and IP attorneys. It helps each group finally understand exactly what the other is talking about. As a software developer and expert witness I will definitely keep a copy handy and recommend it to others on my team.”

—*Michael Barr, president, Neutrino, LLC*

“This book makes intellectual property law understandable and accessible to programmers by combining discussions of the law with discussions of computer science, and interweaving case studies to elucidate the intersection of these disciplines.”

—*Robert C. Seacord, Secure Coding Manager, Software Engineering Institute*

*This page intentionally left blank*

# **THE SOFTWARE IP DETECTIVE'S HANDBOOK**

*This page intentionally left blank*

---

# The Software IP Detective's Handbook

**MEASUREMENT, COMPARISON, AND  
INFRINGEMENT DETECTION**

---

Robert Zeidman

Software Analysis and Forensic Engineering Corporation



PRENTICE  
HALL

Upper Saddle River, NJ • Boston • Indianapolis • San Francisco  
New York • Toronto • Montreal • London • Munich • Paris • Madrid  
Capetown • Sydney • Tokyo • Singapore • Mexico City

Many of the designations used by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks. Where those designations appear in this book, and the publisher was aware of a trademark claim, the designations have been printed with initial capital letters or in all capitals.

The author and publisher have taken care in the preparation of this book, but make no expressed or implied warranty of any kind and assume no responsibility for errors or omissions. No liability is assumed for incidental or consequential damages in connection with or arising out of the use of the information or programs contained herein.

The publisher offers excellent discounts on this book when ordered in quantity for bulk purchases or special sales, which may include electronic versions and/or custom covers and content particular to your business, training goals, marketing focus, and branding interests. For more information, please contact:

U.S. Corporate and Government Sales  
(800) 382-3419  
corpsales@pearsontechgroup.com

For sales outside the United States please contact:

International Sales  
international@pearson.com

Visit us on the Web: [informit.com/ph](http://informit.com/ph)

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data is on file with the Library of Congress*

Copyright © 2011 Pearson Education, Inc.

All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. This publication is protected by copyright, and permission must be obtained from the publisher prior to any prohibited reproduction, storage in a retrieval system, or transmission in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or likewise. For information regarding permissions, write to:

Pearson Education, Inc.  
Rights and Contracts Department  
501 Boylston Street, Suite 900  
Boston, MA 02116  
Fax: (617) 671-3447

ISBN-13: 978-0-13-703533-5

ISBN-10: 0-13-703533-0

Text printed in the United States on recycled paper at Courier in Westford, Massachusetts.

First printing, April 2011

**Editor-in-Chief**

Mark L. Taub

**Acquisitions Editor**

Bernard Goodwin

**Managing Editor**

John Fuller

**Full-Service**

**Production Manager**

Julie B. Nahil

**Copy Editor**

Barbara Wood

**Indexer**

Lenity Mauhar

**Proofreader**

Linda Begley

**Cover Designer**

Anne Jones

**Compositor**

LaurelTech

*This book is dedicated to all those who attempt to do things that others say  
are wrong or impossible, and to all those who encourage them.*



*This page intentionally left blank*

---

# CONTENTS

---

Preface		xxi
Acknowledgments		xxiii
About the Author		xxv
<b>PART I</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	Objectives	2
	Intended Audience	2
Chapter 1	<b>About this Book</b>	<b>5</b>
	Part I: Introduction	6
	Part II: Software	6
	Part III: Intellectual Property	6
	Part IV: Source Code Differentiation	9
	Part V: Source Code Correlation	9
	Part VI: Object and Source/Object Code Correlation	10
	Part VII: Source Code Cross-Correlation	10
	Part VIII: Detecting Software IP Theft and Infringement	11
	Part IX: Miscellaneous Topics	11
	Part X: Past, Present, and Future	11
Chapter 2	<b>Intellectual Property Crime</b>	<b>13</b>
	2.1 The Extent of IP Theft	14
	2.1.1 Unintentional Loss	14
	2.1.2 Poor Economy	15

	2.1.3	Cheap Labor	16
	2.1.4	Criminal Operations	17
<b>PART II</b>	<b>SOFTWARE</b>		21
		Objectives	22
		Intended Audience	22
Chapter 3		Source Code	23
	3.1	Programming Languages	24
	3.2	Functions, Methods, Procedures, Routines, and Subroutines	26
	3.3	Files	32
	3.4	Programs	35
	3.5	Executing Source Code	36
	3.5.1	Compilers	36
	3.5.2	Interpreters	36
	3.5.3	Virtual Machines	36
	3.5.4	Synthesizers	37
Chapter 4		Object Code and Assembly Code	39
	4.1	Object Code	39
	4.2	Assembly Code	40
	4.3	Files	43
	4.4	Programs	44
Chapter 5		Scripts, Intermediate Code, Macros, and Synthesis Primitives	45
	5.1	Scripts	45
	5.2	Intermediate Code	47
	5.3	Macros	48
	5.4	Synthesis Primitives	49
<b>PART III</b>	<b>INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY</b>		53
		Objectives	55
		Intended Audience	55
Chapter 6		Copyrights	57
	6.1	The History of Copyrights	57
	6.2	Copyright Protections	60
	6.3	Software Copyrights	63
	6.3.1	Copyrighting Code	64
	6.3.2	Copyrighting Screen Displays	67

---

	6.3.3	Registering a Software Copyright	70
	6.3.4	Term of Copyright Protection	71
	6.4	Allowable and Nonallowable Uses of Copyrighted Code	72
	6.4.1	Fair Use	72
	6.4.2	Reimplementation	72
	6.4.3	Versions, Modifications, and Derivatives	73
	6.4.4	Compilations	73
	6.4.5	Reverse Engineering	74
<b>Chapter 7</b>		<b>Patents</b>	<b>79</b>
	7.1	The History of Patents	80
	7.2	Types of Patents	81
	7.3	Parts of a Patent	82
	7.4	Patenting an Invention	85
	7.5	Special Types of Patent Applications	86
	7.5.1	Provisional	86
	7.5.2	Divisional	87
	7.5.3	Continuation	88
	7.5.4	Continuation-in-Part (CIP)	88
	7.6	Software Patents	90
	7.7	Software Patent Controversy	91
	7.7.1	Arguments for Software Patents	91
	7.7.2	Arguments against Software Patents	92
	7.7.3	The Supreme Court Rules?	94
	7.8	Patent Infringement	95
	7.8.1	Direct Infringement	95
	7.8.2	Contributory Infringement	96
	7.8.3	Induced Infringement	96
	7.8.4	Divided Infringement	97
	7.9	NPEs and Trolls	99
<b>Chapter 8</b>		<b>Trade Secrets</b>	<b>103</b>
	8.1	The History of Trade Secrets	103
	8.2	Uniform Trade Secrets Act (UTSA)	104
	8.3	Economic Espionage Act	105
	8.4	Aspects of a Trade Secret	106
	8.4.1	Not Generally Known	108
	8.4.2	Economic Benefit	108
	8.4.3	Secrecy	110

	8.5	Trade Secret Theft	111
	8.6	Patent or Trade Secret?	112
Chapter 9		<b>Software Forensics</b>	113
	9.1	Forensic Science	115
	9.2	Forensic Engineering	116
	9.3	Digital Forensics	119
	9.4	Software Forensics	120
	9.5	Thoughts on Requirements for Testifying	121
	9.5.1	Certification	122
	9.5.2	Neutral Experts	123
	9.5.3	Testing of Tools and Techniques	124
<b>PART IV</b>		<b>SOURCE CODE DIFFERENTIATION</b>	125
		Objectives	126
		Intended Audience	126
Chapter 10		<b>Theory</b>	127
	10.1	<i>Diff</i>	128
	10.1.1	<i>Diff</i> Theory	128
	10.1.2	<i>Diff</i> Implementation	131
	10.1.3	False Positives	131
	10.1.3	False Negatives	132
	10.2	Differentiation	133
	10.2.1	Definitions	134
	10.2.2	Axioms	134
	10.3	Types of Similarity	135
	10.3.1	Mutual Similarity	135
	10.3.2	Directional Similarity	136
	10.4	Measuring Similar Lines	136
	10.4.1	Simple Matching	137
	10.4.2	Fractional Matching	137
	10.4.3	Weighted Fractional Matching	139
	10.4.4	Case Insensitivity	140
	10.4.5	Whitespace Reduction	140
	10.5	Measuring File Similarity	140
	10.6	Measuring Similar Programs	142
	10.6.1	Maximizing Based on Files	143
	10.6.2	Maximizing Based on Programs	144

---

Chapter 11	Implementation	147
	11.1 Creating and Comparing Arrays	147
	11.1.1 Sorting Lines	149
	11.1.2 Ordering Lines	149
	11.1.3 Hashing Lines	150
	11.1.4 Saving Arrays to Disk	151
	11.2 Number of Optimal Match Score Combinations	151
	11.3 Choosing Optimal Match Scores for Calculating File Similarity	153
	11.3.1 Simple Matching	153
	11.3.2 Fractional Matching	156
	11.4 Choosing File Similarity Scores for Reporting Program Similarity	161
	11.4.1 Choosing File Pairs for Optimally Determining Program Similarity	161
	11.4.2 Choosing File Pairs for Approximately Determining Program Similarity	162
Chapter 12	Applications	165
	12.1 Finding Similar Code	165
	12.1.1 Comparing Multiple Versions of Potentially Copied Code	165
	12.1.2 Use of Third-Party Code	167
	12.1.3 Use of Open Source Code	168
	12.2 Measuring Source Code Evolution	168
	12.2.1 Lines of Code	169
	12.2.2 Halstead Measures	170
	12.2.3 Cyclomatic Complexity	171
	12.2.4 Function Point Analysis	172
	12.2.5 How Source Code Evolves	173
	12.2.6 Changing Lines of Code Measure (CLOC)	175
	12.2.7 Non-Header Files	181
<b>PART V</b>	<b>SOURCE CODE CORRELATION</b>	<b>183</b>
	Objectives	185
	Intended Audience	185
Chapter 13	Software Plagiarism Detection	187
	13.1 The History of Plagiarism Detection	187
	13.2 Problems with Previous Algorithms	189
	13.3 Requirements for Good Algorithms	192

Chapter 14	Source Code Characterization	197
	14.1 Statements	199
	14.1.1 Special Statements	201
	14.1.2 Instructions	202
	14.1.3 Identifiers	204
	14.2 Comments	206
	14.3 Strings	207
Chapter 15	Theory	209
	15.1 Practical Definition	210
	15.1.1 Statement Correlation	211
	15.1.2 Comment/String Correlation	211
	15.1.3 Identifier Correlation	213
	15.1.4 Instruction Sequence Correlation	213
	15.1.5 Overall Source Code Correlation	213
	15.2 Comparing Different Programming Languages	213
	15.3 Mathematical Definitions	214
	15.4 Source Code Correlation Mathematics	215
	15.4.1 Commutativity Axiom	215
	15.4.2 Identity Axiom	216
	15.4.3 Location Axiom	216
	15.4.4 Correlation Definition	216
	15.4.5 Lemma	216
	15.5 Source Code Examples	216
	15.6 Unique Elements	218
	15.7 Statement Correlation	219
	15.7.1 Statement Correlation Equations	219
	15.7.2 Calculating the Statement Correlation	220
	15.8 Comment/String Correlation	223
	15.8.1 Comment/String Correlation Equations	223
	15.8.2 Calculating the Comment/String Correlation	224
	15.9 Identifier Correlation	225
	15.10 Instruction Sequence Correlation	227
	15.11 Overall Correlation	228
	15.11.1 S-Correlation	228
	15.11.2 A-Correlation	229
	15.11.3 M-Correlation	229
	15.11.4 Recommended Correlation Method	230

---

Chapter 16	Implementation	233
	16.1 Creating Arrays from Source Code	234
	16.2 Statement Correlation	239
	16.3 Comment/String Correlation	240
	16.4 Identifier Correlation	241
	16.5 Instruction Sequence Correlation	243
	16.6 Overall Correlation	245
	16.7 Comparing Programs in Different Programming Languages	246
	16.8 Comparing Sections of Code Other than Files	246
Chapter 17	Applications	247
	17.1 Functional Correlation	248
	17.2 Identifying Authorship	249
	17.3 Identifying Origin	251
	17.4 Detecting Copyright Infringement (Plagiarism)	252
	17.5 Detecting Trade Secret Theft	252
	17.6 Locating Third-Party Code (Open Source)	253
	17.7 Compiler Optimization	254
	17.8 Refactoring	254
	17.9 Detecting Patent Infringement	255
<b>PART VI</b>	<b>OBJECT AND SOURCE/OBJECT CODE CORRELATION</b>	<b>257</b>
	Objectives	258
	Intended Audience	259
Chapter 18	Theory	261
	18.1 Practical Definition	266
	18.2 Extracting Elements	268
	18.2.1 Comment/String Elements	269
	18.2.2 Identifier Elements	269
	18.3 Comparing Different Programming Languages	270
	18.4 Mathematical Definitions	270
	18.5 Object and Source/Object Code Correlation Mathematics	272
	18.5.1 Commutativity Axiom	272
	18.5.2 Identity Axiom	272
	18.5.3 Location Axiom	272
	18.5.4 Correlation Definition	272
	18.5.5 Lemma	273



	18.6	Comment/String Correlation	273
	18.6.1	Comment/String Correlation Equations	273
	18.7	Identifier Correlation	274
	18.8	Overall Correlation	275
	18.8.1	S-Correlation	276
	18.8.2	A-Correlation	276
	18.8.3	M-Correlation	276
	18.9	False Negatives	276
Chapter 19		<b>Implementation</b>	279
	19.1	Creating Text Substring Arrays from Object Code	279
	19.2	Creating Arrays from Source Code	283
	19.2.1	Extracting Identifiers	283
	19.2.2	Extracting Comments and Strings	284
	19.2.3	String Delimiters	284
	19.2.4	Escape Characters	284
	19.2.5	Substitution Characters	286
	19.3	Identifier Correlation	287
	19.4	Comment/String Correlation	287
	19.5	Overall Correlation	287
Chapter 20		<b>Applications</b>	289
	20.1	Pre-Litigation Detective Work	289
	20.1.1	The Code in Dispute Is Distributed as Object Code	290
	20.1.2	A Third Party Is Bringing the Suit	290
	20.1.3	The Original Source Code Cannot Be Located	292
	20.2	Tracking Malware	293
	20.3	Locating Third-Party Code	293
	20.4	Detecting Open Source Code License Violations	294
<b>PART VII</b>		<b>SOURCE CODE CROSS-CORRELATION</b>	295
		Objectives	296
		Intended Audience	296
Chapter 21		<b>Theory, Implementation, and Applications</b>	299
	21.1	Comparing Different Programming Languages	300
	21.2	Mathematical Definitions	300
	21.3	Source Code Cross-Correlation Mathematics	301
	21.3.1	Commutativity Axiom	301
	21.3.2	Identity Axiom	302

---

21.3.3	Location Axiom	302
21.3.4	Correlation Definition	302
21.3.5	Lemmas	303
21.4	Source Code Examples	303
21.5	Statement-to-Comment/String Correlation	307
21.6	Comment/String-to-Statement Correlation	308
21.7	Overall Correlation	308
21.7.1	S-Correlation	309
21.7.2	A-Correlation	309
21.7.3	M-Correlation	309
21.8	Implementation and Applications	310
<b>PART VIII</b>	<b>DETECTING SOFTWARE IP THEFT AND INFRINGEMENT</b>	<b>313</b>
	Objectives	315
	Intended Audience	315
<b>Chapter 22</b>	<b>Detecting Copyright Infringement</b>	<b>317</b>
22.1	Reasons for Correlation	318
22.1.1	Third-Party Source Code	318
22.1.2	Code Generation Tools	319
22.1.3	Commonly Used Elements	321
22.1.4	Commonly Used Algorithms	322
22.1.5	Common Author	325
22.1.6	Copying (Plagiarism, Copyright Infringement)	325
22.2	Steps to Find Correlation Due to Copying	326
22.2.1	Filtering Out Correlation Due to Third-Party Code	326
22.2.2	Filtering Out Correlation Due to Automatically Generated Code	327
22.2.3	Filtering Out Correlation Due to Common Elements	328
22.2.4	Filtering Out Correlation Due to Common Algorithms	329
22.2.5	Filtering Out Correlation Due to Common Author	329
22.2.6	Any Correlation Remaining Is Due to Copying	330
22.3	Abstraction Filtration Comparison Test	331
22.3.1	Background	331
22.3.2	How CodeSuite Implements the Test	333
22.3.3	Problems with the Test	334
22.4	Copyright Infringement Checklist	338

Chapter 23	<b>Detecting Patent Infringement</b>	341
	23.1 Interpreting the Claims	341
	23.1.1 Markman Hearing	345
	23.1.2 The Role of Experts at a Markman Hearing	347
	23.2 Examining the Software	348
	23.2.1 Searching for Comments	348
	23.2.2 Searching for Identifier Names	349
	23.2.3 Reviewing from a High Level	349
	23.2.4 Instrumenting Running Software	349
	23.3 Tools	350
	23.3.1 Understand	350
	23.3.2 Klocwork Insight	351
	23.3.3 DMS Software Reengineering Toolkit	351
	23.3.4 Structure101	352
	23.4 Determining Patent Validity	352
	23.4.1 Invalidity Based on MPEP 35 U.S.C. § 102	353
	23.4.2 Invalidity Based on MPEP 35 U.S.C. § 103	354
	23.4.3 Invalidity Based on MPEP 35 U.S.C. § 112	356
Chapter 24	<b>Detecting Trade Secret Theft</b>	359
	24.1 Identifying Trade Secrets	360
	24.1.1 Top-Down versus Bottom-Up	360
	24.1.2 Input from Owner	361
	24.1.3 State with Specificity	361
	24.1.4 Reasonable Efforts to Maintain Secrecy	364
	24.1.5 Copied Code as Trade Secrets	365
	24.1.6 Public Sources	366
	24.2 Tools	367
<b>PART IX</b>	<b>MISCELLANEOUS TOPICS</b>	369
	Objectives	370
	Intended Audience	370
Chapter 25	<b>Implementing a Software Clean Room</b>	371
	25.1 Background	372
	25.2 The Setup	374
	25.2.1 The Dirty Room	374
	25.2.2 The Clean Room	375
	25.2.3 The Monitor	376

---

25.3	The Procedure	376
25.3.1	Write a Detailed Description	377
25.3.2	Sign Agreements	379
25.3.3	Examine the Original Code in the Dirty Room	380
25.3.4	Transfer Specification to the Monitor and Then to the Clean Room	380
25.3.5	Begin Development in the Clean Room	380
25.3.6	Transfer Questions to the Monitor and Then to the Dirty Room	380
25.3.7	Transfer Answers to the Monitor and Then to the Clean Room	381
25.3.8	Continue Process Until New Software Is Completed	381
25.3.9	Check Final Software for Inclusion of Protected IP	381
25.3.10	Violations of the Procedure	381
<b>Chapter 26</b>	<b>Open Source Software</b>	<b>383</b>
26.1	Definition	383
26.2	Free Software	386
26.2.1	Copyleft	387
26.2.2	Creative Commons	387
26.2.3	Patent Rights	387
26.3	Open Source Licenses	388
26.4	Open Source Lawsuits	390
26.4.1	<i>SCO v. Linux</i>	391
26.4.2	The BusyBox Lawsuits	393
26.4.3	<i>IP Innovation LLC v. Red Hat and Novell</i>	394
26.4.4	<i>Network Appliance, Inc. v. Sun Microsystems, Inc.</i>	395
26.5	The Pervasiveness of Open Source Software	396
<b>Chapter 27</b>	<b>Digital Millennium Copyright Act</b>	<b>399</b>
27.1	What Is the DMCA?	399
27.2	For and Against the DMCA	400
27.3	Noteworthy Lawsuits	403
27.3.1	<i>Adobe Systems Inc. v. Elcom Ltd. and Dmitry Sklyarov</i>	403
27.3.2	<i>MPAA v. RealNetworks Inc.</i>	404
27.3.3	<i>Viacom Inc. v. YouTube, Google Inc.</i>	404

<b>PART X</b>	<b>CONCLUSION: PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE</b>	407
Glossary		409
References		423
Index		435

---

# PREFACE

---

## **WHAT IS THIS BOOK ABOUT?**

This book is generally about software intellectual property and specifically about the field of software forensics. While you may never testify in a courtroom, attempt to deduce the true owner of a valuable program, or measure the intellectual property (IP) value of software source code, if you are at all involved with software you need to know how to protect your rights to that software. This book will give you an understanding of those rights, their limitations, how to protect those rights, and how to take action against someone or some organization that you believe has infringed on those rights.

Unlike digital forensics, which studies the bits and bytes on a digital storage medium, such as a hard disk or DVD-ROM, without a deep understanding of what those ones and zeros represent, software forensics studies the software code that instructs a computer to perform operations. Software forensics discovers information about the history and usage of that software for presentation in a court of law. It combines information and techniques from computer science, mathematics, and law in a way that is unique and, I believe, particularly interesting.

## **HOW IS THIS BOOK ORGANIZED?**

This book contains overviews as well as in-depth information about law, mathematics, and computer science. The book is organized into chapters that

can be categorized as follows: those primarily about intellectual property law, those about computer science, those about mathematics, and those about business and business procedures. There is, of course, overlap. Chapter 1 describes the organization of the book in detail and allows you to choose those chapters that are most relevant to your career and your interests.

## **WHO SHOULD READ THIS BOOK?**

This book is for anyone interested in software intellectual property. Specifically, I believe the book will appeal to computer scientists, computer programmers, business managers, lawyers, engineering consultants, expert witnesses, and software entrepreneurs. While the focus is on software IP measurement, comparison, and infringement detection, the book also has useful information about many issues related to software IP, and I believe that many people involved with software will find the book valuable and interesting.

## **SUPPORT AND COMMENTS**

Thank you for purchasing my book. Please send me feedback on corrections and improvements. Of course, I also like to hear about the things I did right, the things you like about the book, and how it has helped you in some way.

Bob Zeidman  
bob@SAFE-corp.biz  
www.SAFE-corp.biz  
Cupertino, California  
March 2011

---

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

---

When I finished my last book, back in 2002, I swore I'd never write another one. They say that pregnancy is the same way. Ask a woman right after delivering a child whether she'd do it again and, if she's coherent, she'll give you an emphatic, "Never!" Yet within months, if not days, she forgets the pain of birth and only remembers the pleasure of spending time with her baby, then her infant, then her toddler, then her kid. (By the time the child is a teenager, some of the pain of birth may have returned to her memory, though.)

So it took me seven years to forget the pain of giving birth to my last book. Actually, I remembered that pain (though the memory had softened over the years) but really felt I had something worthwhile to say once again. I'm proud of all of my books (a parent isn't supposed to favor one child), but this one is different. Those other books were intended to explain areas of engineering that many others had invented, developed, and explored before me. I explained some of my own discoveries here and there, but the bulk of the creativity is correctly credited to the pioneers who preceded me. For this book, most of the work is original. The techniques, the mathematics, the algorithms, and the procedures in this book are being adopted fairly well, and that's exciting. I hope that others can take what I've done and build upon it. I continue to do that myself and am finding lots of unexplored areas that are being revealed, offering plenty of opportunities, I believe, for mathematicians, lawyers, programmers, computer scientists, and entrepreneurs.

Many people helped me with this book, some explicitly and some implicitly. First, I'll mention the lawyers who, despite their unbelievably full schedules, still found time to



review my work and offer suggestions and corrections. This was particularly important because I'm not a lawyer, but I wanted this book to be accurate. Thanks to Joe Zito, Ed Kwok, Tait Graves, and Neel Chatterjee. Next are the mathematicians and computer scientists who gave me suggestions for representations for the formulas in the book. Thanks to John Wakerly, Kelvin Sung, and Ron Summers, and to the engineers who looked over my definitions and explanations and suggested numerous improvements. Thanks to Robert Seacord, Tom Quilty, Capers Jones, Jack Grimes, and Michael Barr. Special thanks go to Don Shafer and Chuck Pfleeger. Don did some scathing critiques of early versions of the manuscript that really pushed me to improve the book. Chuck did such detailed reviews and gave me such in-depth feedback that at times I was afraid that he understood the material better than I did. The employees at my companies have also provided a lot of great research and development, especially Nik Baer who, among other things, united the concepts of CLOC into one concise set of equations and nomenclature. Other employees who supplied a lot of great ideas and input include Jim Zamiska, Ilana Shay, Larry Melling, Tim Hoehn, and Michael Everest. I also want to thank Grace Seidman for setting up and taking the great photograph that became the cover art, and Jessica Yates for taking the flattering pictures of me.

I have a great, supportive editor at Prentice Hall: Bernard Goodwin. Sometimes I think that if I went to Bernard and said I want to do a book on the engineering principles of navel lint and dust bunnies, he'd give me the okay. As long as it was about engineering. I've heard horror stories about tough editors who put a lot of pressure on their writers, but that certainly wasn't the case with Bernard. And when I told him the schedule was slipping, but I felt I needed more time to make some important improvements, he responded, "Better trumps the schedule." I hope this is the "better" book we planned.

I want to thank Mike Flynn, Professor Emeritus of Electrical Engineering at Stanford. Mike has supported every one of my business and engineering endeavors, and this book is no exception. The concepts in this book took root in his office when he suggested I create a theory about source code correlation and spent a day working on it with me. Before that, I had a very useful program; after that, I had a new area of study including a set of axioms, theorems, and equations, and a vision about how to create something pretty big.

Finally, I want to thank my wife, Carrie. She has always been supportive of every crazy thing I decide to do, no matter how much time it takes me away from her. In this case, she gave up many weekends we wanted to spend together because I just had to get a few more pages written. I promise to spend all the weekends with her from now on, though she knows I'll find some other project that will keep me busy and that I'll keep on promising. I love her and thank her for her patience and also for the great artwork on the book cover and the figures inside the book, which she created.

---

# ABOUT THE AUTHOR

---



**Bob Zeidman** is the president and founder of Zeidman Consulting ([www.ZeidmanConsulting.com](http://www.ZeidmanConsulting.com)), a premiere contract research and development firm in Silicon Valley that focuses on engineering consulting to law firms handling intellectual property dispute cases. Since 1983, Bob has designed computer chips and circuit boards for RISC-based parallel processor systems, laser printers, network switches and routers, and other complex systems. His clients have included Apple Computer, Cisco Systems, Cadence Design

Systems, Facebook, Intel, Symantec, Texas Instruments, and Zynga. Bob has worked on and testified in cases involving billions of dollars in disputed intellectual property.

Bob is also the president and founder of Software Analysis and Forensic Engineering Corporation ([www.SAFE-corp.biz](http://www.SAFE-corp.biz)), the leading provider of software intellectual property analysis tools. Bob is considered a pioneer in the field of analyzing software source code, having created the CodeSuite program for detecting software intellectual property theft, which is sold by SAFE Corp, founded in 2007.

Previously, Bob was the president and founder of Zeidman Technologies ([www.zeidman.biz](http://www.zeidman.biz)) where he invented the patented SynthOS program for automatically generating real-time software. Before that, Bob was the president and

founder of The Chalkboard Network, an e-learning company that put high-end business and technology courses from well-known subject matter experts on the web. Prior to that, Bob invented the concept of remote backup and started Evault, the first remote backup company.

Bob is a prolific writer and instructor, giving seminars at conferences around the world. Among his publications are numerous articles on engineering and business as well as three textbooks—*Designing with FPGAs and CPLDs*, *Verilog Designer's Library*, and *Introduction to Verilog*. Bob holds numerous patents and earned two bachelor's degrees, in physics and electrical engineering, from Cornell University and a master's degree in electrical engineering from Stanford University.

Bob is also active in a number of nonprofits. He also enjoys writing novels and screenplays and has won a number of awards for this work.

---

# 1 ABOUT THIS BOOK

---

This book crosses a number of different fields of computer science, mathematics, and law. Not all readers will want to delve into every chapter. This is the place to start, but from this point onward each reader's experience will be different. In this chapter I describe each of the parts and chapters of the book to help you determine which chapters will be useful and appealing for your specific needs and interests.

I should make clear that I am not a lawyer, have never been one, and have never even played one on TV. All of the issues I discuss in this book are my understanding based on my technical consulting and expert witness work on nearly 100 intellectual property cases to date. My consulting company, Zeidman Consulting, has been growing over the years, and now the work is split between my employees and me. When I refer in the book to my experiences, in most cases that is firsthand information, but in other cases it may be information discovered and tested by an employee and related and explained to me.

In this book I also refer to forensic analysis tools that I have used to analyze software, in particular the CodeSuite tool that is produced and offered for sale by my software company, Software Analysis and Forensic Engineering Corporation (S.A.F.E. Corporation), and can be downloaded from the company website at [www.SAFE-corp.biz](http://www.SAFE-corp.biz). The CodeSuite set of tools currently consists of the following functions: BitMatch, CodeCLOC, CodeCross, CodeDiff, and SourceDetective. Functions are being continually added and updated. Each of these functions uses one or more of the algorithms described in later chapters.

Also, the CodeMeasure program uses the CLOC method to measure software evolution, which is explained in Chapter 12. It is also produced and sold by S.A.F.E. Corporation and can be downloaded from its own site at [www.CodeMeasure.com](http://www.CodeMeasure.com).

Table 1.1 should help you determine which chapters will be the most helpful and relevant to you. Find your occupation at the top of the table and read downward to see the chapters that will be most relevant to your background and your job.

### **PART I: INTRODUCTION**

The introduction to the book is just that—an introduction, intended to give you a broad overview of the book and help you determine why you want to read it and which chapters you will find most in line with your own interests and needs. This part includes a description of the other parts and chapters in the book. It also gives information and statistics about intellectual property crime, to give you an understanding of why this book is useful and important.

### **PART II: SOFTWARE**

In this part I describe source code, object code, interpreted code, macros, and synthesis code, which are the blueprints for software. This part describes these important concepts, which are well known to computer scientists and programmers but may not be understood, or may not be understood in sufficient depth, by attorneys involved in software IP litigation. This part will be valuable for lawyers to help them understand how different kinds of software code relate to each other, and how these different kinds of software code can affect a software copyright infringement, software trade secret, or software patent case.

### **PART III: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY**

In this part I describe intellectual property, in particular copyrights, patents, and trade secrets. I have found that many of these concepts are unclear or only partially understood by many computer scientists, programmers, and corporate managers. In this part I define these terms in ways that I believe will be comprehensible to those with little or no legal background.

**Table I.1** Finding Your Way through This Book

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Computer scientist</b>	<b>Computer programmer</b>	<b>Manager</b>	<b>Lawyer</b>	<b>Consultant/ expert witness</b>	<b>Software entrepreneur</b>
Part I	Introduction	X	X	X	X	X	X
Chapter 1	About This Book	X	X	X	X	X	X
Chapter 2	Intellectual Property Crime	X	X	X	X	X	X
Part II	Software	X	X	X	X	X	X
Chapter 3	Source Code			X	X		
Chapter 4	Object Code and Assembly Code			X	X		
Chapter 5	Scripts, Intermediate Code, Macros, and Synthesis Primitives			X	X		
Part III	Intellectual Property	X	X	X	X	X	X
Chapter 6	Copyrights	X	X	X		X	X
Chapter 7	Patents	X	X	X		X	X
Chapter 8	Trade Secrets	X	X	X		X	X
Chapter 9	Software Forensics	X	X	X	X	X	X
Part IV	Source Code Differentiation	X	X	X	X	X	X
Chapter 10	Theory	X				X	X
Chapter 11	Implementation	X	X			X	X
Chapter 12	Applications	X	X	X		X	X
Part V	Source Code Correlation	X	X	X	X	X	X
Chapter 13	Plagiarism Detection	X				X	X
Chapter 14	Source Code Characterization	X	X		X	X	X

*Continues*

**Table 1.1** Finding Your Way through This Book (*Continued*)

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Computer scientist</b>	<b>Computer programmer</b>	<b>Manager</b>	<b>Lawyer</b>	<b>Consultant/ expert witness</b>	<b>Software entrepreneur</b>
Chapter 15	Theory	X				X	X
Chapter 16	Implementation	X	X			X	X
Chapter 17	Applications	X	X	X		X	X
Part VI	Object and Source/Object Code Correlation	X	X	X	X	X	X
Chapter 18	Theory	X				X	X
Chapter 19	Implementation	X	X			X	X
Chapter 20	Applications	X	X	X		X	X
Part VII	Source Code Cross-Correlation	X	X	X	X	X	X
Chapter 21	Theory, Implementation, Application	X	X	X		X	X
Part VIII	Detecting Software IP Theft and Infringement	X	X	X	X	X	X
Chapter 22	Detecting Copyright Infringement			X	X	X	X
Chapter 23	Detecting Patent Infringement			X	X	X	X
Chapter 24	Detecting Trade Secret Theft			X	X	X	X
Part IX	Miscellaneous Topics	X	X	X	X	X	X
Chapter 25	Implementing a Software Clean Room		X	X	X	X	X
Chapter 26	Open Source Software		X	X	X	X	X
Chapter 27	Digital Millennium Copyright Act		X	X	X	X	X
Part X	Past, Present, and Future	X	X	X		X	X

I also define the field of software forensics in this part. When I am asked to work on a case, there is sometimes confusion about the fields of software forensics and digital forensics. In some cases, engineers practicing digital forensics claim to practice software forensics and sometimes use the tools of digital forensics to attempt to draw conclusions about software IP, yielding incorrect or inconclusive results. Software forensics requires the specialized tools of the field and expertise in the field to extract relevant information from the tools, reach appropriate conclusions, and opine on those conclusions. In this part I offer definitions of the two fields. In fact, the definition of software forensics has, to this point, been somewhat vague. My explanation in this part will clarify the practice of software forensics, show how it fits into the field of forensic science, and differentiate it from digital forensics.

## **PART IV: SOURCE CODE DIFFERENTIATION**

This part describes source code differentiation, a very basic method of comparing and measuring software source code. Source code differentiation is especially useful for finding code that has been directly copied from one program to another and for determining a percentage of direct copying. While there are many metrics for measuring qualities of software, source code differentiation has some unique abilities to measure development effort, software changes, and software intellectual property changes that are particularly useful for determining software intellectual property value for such applications as transfer pricing calculations.

In this part I introduce the mathematics of the theory of source code differentiation and explain implementations of source code differentiation for programmers who want to understand how to implement it. I also describe the “changing lines of code” or “CLOC” method of measuring software growth that is based on source code differentiation, and I compare it to traditional methods like “source lines of code” or “SLOC.” I then discuss various applications of source code differentiation, though I believe that many more applications of this metric will be found in the future.

## **PART V: SOURCE CODE CORRELATION**

This part starts by exploring the various methods and algorithms for “software plagiarism detection” that have been developed over the last few decades.



I describe the origins of these methods and algorithms, and I explain their limitations. In particular, there have been no standard definitions and no supporting theory for this work, so I introduce the theory of source code correlation and definitions for characterizing source code. This characterization of software source code is practical for determining correlation and, ultimately, for determining whether copying occurred. While the theory and definitions are broad enough to be useful in various areas of computer science, they are particularly valuable in litigation.

In this part I also describe practical implementations of the theory for those programmers who want to understand how to implement the algorithms. Additionally, I describe applications of the theory in the real world. This part is highly mathematical, though the chapter on source code characterization will be useful for lawyers in understanding how elements of software source code can be categorized, how these various elements relate, and how the elements can affect a software copyright infringement, software trade secret, or software patent case.

## **PART VI: OBJECT AND SOURCE/OBJECT CODE CORRELATION**

In this part I introduce the theory and mathematics of object code correlation, which is used to compare object code to object code to find signs of copying. I also introduce the theory of source/object code correlation, which is used to compare source code to object code to find signs of copying. Both of these correlation measures are helpful before litigation when there is no access to source code from at least one party's software. I also describe practical implementations of the theory for those programmers who want to understand how to implement these correlation measures, and I describe applications of the methods and algorithms in the real world.

## **PART VII: SOURCE CODE CROSS-CORRELATION**

In this part I introduce the theory and mathematics of source code cross-correlation, which is specifically used to compare functional source code statements to nonfunctional source code comments to find signs of copying. This correlation measure is effective, in certain cases, for finding copied code that has been disguised enough to avoid detection with one of the other correlation measures. I describe some ways of effectively implementing code to

measure source code cross-correlation for those programmers who want to understand how to implement this measure, and I describe applications of source code cross-correlation in the real world.

## **PART VIII: DETECTING SOFTWARE IP THEFT AND INFRINGEMENT**

All of the correlation measures described in previous parts are useful for detecting software intellectual property theft; however, expert review is still required. Previously developed algorithms often produced a measure that claimed to show whether code was copied or not. In reality, a mathematical measure in and of itself is not enough to make this determination, and that is one of the problems with previous work in this area. In this part I describe detailed, precise steps to be taken once correlation has been calculated. These steps are as important to the standardization and objectivity required for determining intellectual property theft and infringement as are the various correlation measurements described in the previous parts.

## **PART IX: MISCELLANEOUS TOPICS**

This part covers areas that have come up in my involvement with intellectual property litigation. These subjects were also suggested by some of the experienced reviewers of this book who felt they deserved discussion. The issues described in this part often arise in software intellectual property cases and are also important for code developers and managers to understand. In particular, I discuss procedures for implementing a software clean room, I explain open source code, and I describe the Digital Millennium Copyright Act.

## **PART X: PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE**

The topics discussed in this book are cutting-edge, and I find them to be very interesting and exciting. A lot of work remains to be done, including extending the theories, advancing the mathematics, standardizing the definitions, and promoting the methodologies. In this part I discuss what has been done to date, speculate on areas of future research that build on the concepts in this book, and look toward new applications in various aspects of law and computer science.

*This page intentionally left blank*

---

# INDEX

---

## A

Abelson, Hal, 387

Abstract ideas, 95

Abstraction, CodeSuite, 333–337

Abstraction filtration comparison  
test, 331–338

Accolade, 74–76

A-correlation, 229–230, 275–276, 309

*Adobe Systems Inc. v. Dmitry Sklyarov*,  
403–404

Aiken, Alex, 191–192

Albrecht, Allan, 172

Alert, 285

Algorithms, 189–195, 322–325, 329

Altai, Inc., 331–336

Alto, 70

Apache HTTP Server, 179–180

Apple Computer, 66–70

*Apple Computer Inc. v. Franklin  
Computer Corp.*, 66

Arney, Claude, 332

## Arrays

brute-force, 148

creating from source code, 283–287

hashing lines, 150–151

ordering lines, 149–150

saving to disk, 151

sorting lines, 149

from source code, 234–238, 245

ASCII, 279–283

Assembly code, 40–44, 64

Assignment operator, 24

Associative property, 137

Asterisk (\*) symbol, 24

Atanasoff-Berry Computer (ABC), 89–90

Atari court ruling, 76–77

*Atari Games Corp. v. Nintendo of  
America Inc.*, 74–77

AT&T, 391

Author (programmer) in common, 325,  
329–330

Author rights, 57–58, 60

Authorship, identifying, 249–251

Automatic code generation (ACG), 49,  
319–321, 327–328

AutoZone, 392

## B

Backslash, 48, 285

Backspace, 279, 285

Baer, Nik, 182

BASIC (programming language), 25

Baxter, Ira, 254–255

Bell, Alexander Graham, 83–84

Binary code, 39

Bit, 23

BitMatch, 258, 279

Black Duck Software, 392

Blank lines, 30, 169, 236

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences  
(BPAI), 95

Book publishers, 58–59

Boolean variable, 30

Bottom-up approach, 360–361

Brackets, 27–31, 149, 329

Built-in functions, 31

Business method patent, 94–95

BusyBox, 393–394

Byte, 23

Bytecode, 47

## C

C (programming language), 23*n*, 25

C++ (programming language), 25

CA Scheduler, 331–338

*Cadence Design Systems Inc. v. Avant!*  
*Corporation*, 212

Carriage return, 279, 285

Case-insensitive comparison, 239–241, 287

Case-insensitive matching, 140

Case-sensitivity, 237, 241

*Catcher in the Rye, The* (Salinger), 65

Categories of IP, 1

Certification of experts, 122–123

*Challenger* space shuttle, 116–118

Changing lines of code measure (CLOC)

CLOC growth, 177, 179, 180

file continuity, 177–178

LOC continuity, 178

measured results, 178–180

SLOC growth, 177

terms, 175–177

Character-weighting function, 134, 139

Checklist, copyright infringement, 338–340

Churchett, Dale, 254–255

Circular 1, *Copyright Basics* (U.S. Copyright  
Office), 60

CL (continuing LOC), 176, 178, 179

Claim construction, 341–347

Clean room development

dirty room, 374–375, 380

guidelines for implementation, 376–380

history, 372–373

the monitor, 376, 380–381

room separation, 378

security, 378

setup, 374–376

Clinton, William Jefferson, 105

CLOC. *See* Changing lines of code measure  
(CLOC)

Clone detection, 254–255

COBOL (programming language), 25

Code comments, 170–171

Code generation tools. *See* Automatic code  
generation (ACG)

- Code loop, 31
- CodeMeasure, 6, 175
- CodeSuite
  - abstraction, 333–337
  - BitMatch, 258, 279
  - CodeCLOC, 126
  - CodeCross, 296
  - CodeDiff, 126, 147, 182, 408
  - CodeMatch, 115, 130, 193–195, 212, 233, 335
  - filtration, 334
  - SourceDetective, 327–328, 334
  - tools, 5
- Coincidence, 330*n*
- Collected Cases of Injustice Rectified* (Ci), 115
- Colting, Fredrik, 65
- Columbia* space shuttle, 116–118
- Comment comparison, 212
- Comment delimiters, 207, 235–236
- Commented-out statements, 310–311
- Comments
  - in code, 27–29, 31, 170–171, 198, 206–207
  - extracting, 284
  - and patent infringement, 348
- Comment/string correlation, 211, 214, 224–225, 240–241, 273–274, 287
- Comment/string element identity match score, 223, 273, 308
- Comment/string elements, 268–269
- Comment/string-matching algorithm, 240, 245
- Comment/string-to-statement correlation, 299, 308
- Commodities trading patent, 94–95
- Commutativity axiom, 215–216, 272, 301–302
- Commutativity property, 129–130, 134–136
- Compilations, code, 73–74
- Compilers, 36, 39–40, 254
- Compiling process, 39
- Computer Associates (CA), 331–338
  - Computer Associates International Inc. v. Altai Inc.*, 331–337
- Computer patents and history, 88–89
- Computer program definition, 60
- Computer Software Copyright Act of 1980, 60
- Computer visual display, 67–70
- “Conditions for Patentability; Non-Obvious Subject Matter” (MPEP, 35 U.S.C. § 103) 354–355
- “Conditions for Patentability; Novelty and Loss of Right to Patent” (MPEP, 35 U.S.C. § 102), 353–354
- Confidentiality agreements, 107, 110, 364–365
- Constant, Benjamin, 54
- Constants, 198
- Context-dependent macros, 51
- Continuation character, 48
- Continuation patents, 88
- Continuation-in-part (CIP) patents, 88
- Continuing file, 176–177, 179
- Continuing LOC, 176, 178, 179
- Contributory infringement, 96
- Control words, 198, 202–203
- Copyleft, 387
- Copyright(s), 1
  - Atari court ruling, 76–77
  - code, 64–66
  - compilations, 73–74
  - fair use, 72, 74–76
  - Great Britain, 58

Copyright(s) (*Cont.*)

- history and origins, 57–60
  - inception, 61
  - ineligible works and material, 61
  - infringement lawsuit, 62
  - redacting code, 64, 71
  - registration, 61–63, 70–71
  - reimplementation, 72–73
  - reverse engineering, 74–76
  - revisions and updates, 73
  - rule of doubt, 71
  - screen displays, 67–70
  - term, 71
  - U.S. Copyright Office circular, 60–61
  - WIPO definition, 60
- Copyright Acts, 58–60, 63, 71
- Copyright infringement
- abstraction-filtration-comparison test, 331–338
  - author in common, 325, 329–330
  - checklist, 338–340
  - code generation tools, 319–321, 327–328
  - common algorithms, 322–325, 329
  - open source code, 318–319, 326–327
  - plagiarism, 325–326, 330–331
  - standard elements, 321, 328–329
- Correlation
- definition, 216, 272–273, 302–303
  - due to copying, 326–331
  - functional, 248–249
  - six reasons for, 318–326
- Country of origin, identifying, 251
- Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC), 95
- Creative Commons (CC) license, 387
- Creative works, 331

- Crime. *See also* Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA); Software forensics
- Economic Espionage Act, 105–106
  - intellectual property (IP), 13–19
- Cross compiler, 40
- Cross-language comparisons, 213–214, 246
- Cybertheft, 17
- Cyclomatic complexity (a.k.a. McCabe measure), 171–172

**D**

- DaimlerChrysler, 392
- Data recovery, 119–120
- Date, priority, 87–88
- Date of copyright registration, 62
- Date of patent grant, 81
- Date of publication, 58, 62–63
- Debug option, 266, 269
- Decompiled source code file, 263–265
- Defender, 64–65
- Defense Command, 64–65
- Delimiter, 227, 235, 275, 284
- Derivative works, 60, 70, 73
- Salinger v. Colting*, 65
- Design patent, 81
- Diamond v. R. Diehr*, 91
- Diff* (comparison utility)
- false negatives/false positives, 131–132
  - implementation, 131
  - theory, 128–131
- Digital forensics, 119–120
- Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA), 58
- Adobe Systems Inc. v. Dmitry Sklyarov*, 403–404
  - industry support of, 400–403
  - MPAA v. RealNetworks Inc.*, 404

opposition, 401–402  
 origins, 399  
 Titles I through V, 399–400  
*Viacom Inc. v. YouTube, Google Inc.*,  
 404–405

Direct infringement, 95–96  
 Directional similarity, 136, 156  
 Dirty room, 374–375, 380  
 Divided infringement, 97  
 Divisional patents, 84, 87–88  
 DMS Software Reengineering Toolkit  
 (SRT), 351–352  
 Double equal (==) sign, 31  
 Double quotes, 200, 285  
 Double slash (//), 207  
 Duration of copyright, 71–72  
 Duration of patents, 87

## E

Eckert-Mauchly Computer Corporation, 89  
 Economic benefit, trade secrets, 108–109  
 Economic Espionage Act (18 U.S.C. § 1831  
 and § 1832), 105–106  
 Eldred, Eric, 387  
 Element identity match score, 214, 271, 300  
 Element match score, 214, 271, 300  
 Elements, 198–199, 214, 218–219, 321,  
 328–329  
 else statement, 201–202  
 Employee policies, 14–15, 110–112, 364  
 Employee theft, 14–16  
 Enabling (patent criterion), 85  
 Energy Risk Management patent  
 application, 94–95  
 ENIAC, 88–89  
 Equality test operator (==), 203–204  
 Equals (=) symbol, 24, 202

Escape character, 284–285  
 Escape sequences, 208, 285–286  
 eVault Remote Backup Service, 98–99  
 Executable code, 39, 44  
 Executing source code, 36–37  
 Expert witnesses, 121–124, 347  
 Extended ASCII, 281–283  
 Extrinsic evidence, 346–347

## F

Faidhi, J. A. W., 187–188  
 Fair use, 72, 74–76  
 False negatives/false positives, 132–133,  
 230–231, 276–277  
 Feynman, Richard, 116–118  
 File continuity, 177–178, 179  
 File decay, 177–178  
 File element identity match score, 215,  
 272, 301  
 File element match score, 215, 271, 301  
 File similarity scores, 161–164  
 Filtration, 326–338  
 Firmware, 66  
*First Electronic Computer, The* (Burks and  
 Burks), 90  
 First-to-file patent law, 86  
 First-to-invent patent law, 86  
 Flynn, Mike, 194  
 for statement, 201–202  
 Foreign laws, and IP theft, 16–17  
 Forensics  
   digital forensics, 119–120  
   expert witnessing, 121–124  
   forensic engineering, 116–118  
   forensic examiner, 119–121  
   forensic science, 114–116  
 Form feed, 285



Fortran (programming language), 25  
Four essential freedoms (FSF), 386  
Fowler, Martin, 254  
Fractional matching, 137–141, 156–160  
Franklin Computer Corporation, 66  
Free, Libre and Open Source Software (FLOSS), 294  
Free software, 386–388  
Free Software Foundation (FSF), 294, 386–388  
French Revolution, 54  
Function declarations, 35  
Function point analysis, 172  
Functional correlation, 248–249  
Functions, 26–32, 198

## G

Galler, Bernard, 376  
Gates, Bill, 70, 173  
GNU Operating System open source project, 386  
GNU Public License (GPL), 294, 386, 393–394  
Google, Inc., 404–405  
Graves, Charles Tait, 362  
Great Britain, 58  
Guidelines, clean room development, 376–380  
GUIs, 69–70

## H

Halstead, Maurice H., 170, 189  
Halstead measures, 170–171, 173, 189  
Hamblen, James, 189  
Hard disk data recovery, 119–120  
Hashing algorithm, 131, 151  
Hashing lines, 150–151

Head patents (Texas Instruments), 341–345  
Header comments, 27–29  
Header files, 33–34  
Headway Software, 352  
Hex number, 285  
History  
    clean rooms, 372–373  
    copyrights, 57–60  
    Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA), 399  
    forensic science, 115  
    patents, 79–80  
    plagiarism detection, 187–189  
    trade secrets, 103–104  
Hitz, Dave, 395–396  
Honeywell, 89

## I

“Identification of Trade Secret Claims in Litigation: Solutions for a Ubiquitous Dispute,” (Graves et al.), 362  
Identifier(s), 198, 204–205  
    delimiter, 284  
    elements, 269  
    extracting, 284  
    match score, 225–227  
    names, 188, 213, 349  
Identifier correlation, 211, 213, 214, 225–227, 241–243, 268, 274–275, 287  
Identifier-matching algorithm, 241–243, 245  
Identity axiom, 216, 272, 302  
Identity property, 129, 134–135  
if statement, 201–202  
Include files, 33  
Induced infringement, 96  
Industrial property, 1  
Ineligible material, 61

Infringement. *See also* Copyright infringement; Patent infringement  
 contributory, 96  
 direct, 95–96  
 divided, 97  
 induced, 96  
 lawsuit, 62  
 patents, 95–97

Instruction sequence correlation, 213, 214, 227–228, 243–245

Instruction sequence-matching algorithm, 243–244

Instructions (code), 198, 202–203

Instrumenting the software, 349–350

Intellectual property (IP). *See also* Copyrights; Patent(s); Software forensics; Trade secret(s)  
 categories, 1  
 crime, 13–19  
 definition, 1  
 early legal rulings, 53–55  
 opponent, 54  
 tax issues, 181–182

Intermediate code, 47

Internal Revenue Service, 181–182

International Copyright Act of 1891, 58

Internet Archive, 366

Internet code searches, 18, 327–328

Interpreters (source code), 36, 45–46

Intrinsic evidence, 346–347

Invalidity sections of MPEP, 353–357

*IP Innovation v. Red Hat and Novell*, 394–395

**J**

Jankowitz, Hugo, 189–190

Java (programming language), 25

JavaScript (programming language), 25, 46

Jefferson, Thomas, 80

Jobs, Steve, 70

JPlag program, 192

## K

Kentucky Fried Chicken, 106–107

Khodorkovsky, Mikhail, 16

King Henry VI, 80

Klocwork Insight, 351

## L

Labels, 198, 204–205

LCCS (longest common contiguous subsequence), 134, 137–138, 140, 210*n*, 242–243

LCS (longest common subsequence), 134, 137–138, 140, 210*n*

Lebedev, Platon, 16

Lemma, 137, 216, 273, 303

Lessig, Larry, 387

Licenses, open source, 388–390

Line continuity, 177–178

Line decay, 177–178

Lines of code (LOC), 169–170, 173–175, 177–180

Line-weighting function, 134, 139–140

Linux (operating system), 391–393

LISP (programming language), 25

Literary work, 67

Litigation preparation, 289–293

LLOC, 169–170

LOC, 169–170, 173–175, 177–180

LOC continuity, 178, 179

Local variables, 30

Location axiom, 216, 272, 302

Location property, 130–131, 135

Logical SLOC, 169–170

Longest common contiguous subsequence.  
See LCCS

Longest common subsequence. See LCS

Longest common substring. See LCCS

Loop, 31

## M

Machine language, 39

Macros, 48–49

Malpohl, Guido, 192

Malware, 293

*Manual of Patent Examining Procedure*  
(MPEP) (U.S. Patent and Trademark  
Office), 83, 353–357

Markman hearing, 343–347

*Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.*,  
345–347

Match score, 134, 137–138, 140–145,  
151–160, 214–216, 275, 307

Match types, 210

Matching lines, 133, 135–136

Mathematical definitions, 214–215,  
270–272, 300–301

Mathematics, 215–216, 272–273, 301

MatrixCalc(), 156–160

MatrixCalcOptimized(), 159–160

McAfee, Inc. research report, 14–17

McCabe, Thomas, 171

McCabe measure (a.k.a. cyclomatic  
complexity), 171–172

MCF (modified continuing files), 174, 176, 179

M-correlation, 229–230, 275–276, 309–310

Measuring similar files, 140–142

Measuring similar lines, 136–140

Measuring similar programs, 142–145

Measuring source code evolution. See  
Source code changes and evolution

Metadata preservation, 119–120

Methods, 26, 204

Michels, Doug, 391

Michels, Larry, 391

Microsoft Visual Studio code, 319–321

Microsoft Windows, 67–70

Misappropriation, 111–112

Modified continuing files (MCF), 174, 176, 179

Moglen, Eben, 386

Molasses, 100–101

Monitor, 376, 380–381

Monsoon Multimedia, Inc., 393

Morton Thiokol, Inc., 117

MOSS program, 192

Mozilla Firefox, 178–180

*MPAA v. RealNetworks Inc.*, 404

MPEP. See *Manual of Patent Examining  
Procedure*

Mutual similarity, 135–136, 156

## N

National Conference of Commissioners on  
Uniform State Laws (NCCUSL), 104

Native code, 40

NDAs, 110–112, 364

Netscape Communications  
Corporation, 384

Neutral experts, 123–124

Newline, 208, 240, 285

NF (new files), 176, 178

Nintendo, 74–77

NLCF (new LOC in continuing files),  
176, 179

Nondisclosure agreements (NDAs),  
110–112, 364

Non-enabling (patent criterion), 85

Non-header files, 181

Noninfringement, 352–357

Nonobviousness, 85, 91, 354–355  
 Non-practicing entities (NPEs), 99–100  
 Nonprintable characters, 285  
 Novell Corporation, 391–392, 394  
 Novelty, 85  
 Null, 236, 285

## O

### Object code

- A-correlation, 275
- arrays, 283–287
- and assembly code, 40–43
- comment/string correlation, 273–274, 287
- copyrights, 64–66
- decompiled source code file, 263–265
- definition, 266–268
- elements, 268–270
- false negatives, 276–277
- files, 43–44
- identifier correlation, 274–275, 287
- libraries, 290
- litigation preparation, 289–293
- malware, 293
- mathematical definitions, 270–272
- mathematics, 272–273
- M-correlation, 275
- open source code, 294
- original source code file, 261–263
- overall correlation, 287
- S-correlation, 275
- text strings, 279–283
- third-party code, 293

Octal number, 285

*On Demand Machine Corporation v. Ingram Industries, Inc. et al.*, 97

OOSITA (one of ordinary skill in the art), 86

Open source code, 108, 168, 253–254, 294, 318–319, 326–327

Open source database search engine, 327

Open Source Initiative (OSI), 384–385

Open source software

- free software, 386–388
- lawsuits, 390–396
- open source licenses, 388–390
- OSI certification, 385

Operators, 198, 203–204, 233

Opposition to DMCA, 401–402

Ordering lines, 149–150

Origin identity, 251

Outsourcing, 16–17

Overall correlation, 245–246, 287, 299, 308–309

Overall source code correlation, 213, 214, 228–230, 268

## P

Parker, Alan, 189

Partial matching, 210, 242, 274, 287, 307

Patent(s)

- anticipated, 353–354
- arguments for/against, 91–94
- compared with trade secrets, 112
- continuation, 88
- continuation-in-part (CIP), 88
- criteria, 85
- divisional, 87–88
- duration, 87
- free software and, 388
- history, 80–81
- NPEs and trolls, 99–100
- process, 85–86
- provisional, 86–87
- public disclosure, 86

Patent(s) (*Cont.*)

- software, 90–95
- structure of, 82–84
- types, 81–82
- validity/invalidity, 352–357

## Patent infringement

- claim construction, 341–347
- claims interpretation guidelines, 346–347
- DMS Software Reengineering Toolkit (SRT), 351–352
- invalidity sections of MPEP, 353–357
- Klocwork Insight, 351
- types, 95–97

## Patent trolls, 99–100

*Peabody v. Norfolk*, 104

Perens, Bruce, 384

Perl, 46

*Phillips v. AWH Corporation*, 346

Phlippsen, Michael, 192

PHP (programming language), 25

Physical SLOC, 169

Plagiarism. *See also* Copyright infringement

- algorithms, 192–195
- code correlation, 252, 325–326
- defined, 191
- detection history, 187–192
- Jankowitz algorithm, 189–190
- JPlag program, 192
- levels of, 188

Plague program, 191–192

Plant patents, 81

Plus (+) symbol, 24

Ponemon Institute, 15

Prechelt, Lutz, 192

Primitives, 37, 49–51

Printable characters, 279–283

Priority date, 87–88

Procedures (functions), 26

## Programming languages

- cross language comparison, 213–214
- description table, 25

Programming style, 325

Prototypes, 35

Provisional patent application, 86–87

PTO. *See* U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (PTO)

Public disclosure of invention, 86, 87*n*

Public knowledge of trade secrets, 366–367

Public performance, 61

Publication date, 61–63

Published works and copyright, 60–62

Python, 46

## Q

Qualifications of expert witnesses, 121–124

Queen Anne, 58–59

Quotation marks, 200, 285

## R

Rabbinic law, 57

Rabin-Karp algorithm, 138

Range, Brian D., 362

Raymond, Eric S., 384

Reagan, Ronald, 116

Recursive function, 156–160

Red Hat, 394–395

Redacting code, 64, 71

Refactoring, 254–255

Registration of copyright, 61–62

Reimplementing computer programs, 72–73

Remote backup, 97–98

Restatement of Torts (§ 757 and § 758), 104

Reverse engineering, 74–76, 350–352

Revisions to software, 73  
 Rewriting source code, 72–73  
 Robinson, S. K., 187–188  
 ROM, 66  
 Routines (functions), 26  
 Rule of doubt, 71

## S

S.A.F.E. Corporation (Software Analysis and Forensic Engineering Corporation), 5, 279, 333, 408  
*Salinger v. Colting*, 65, 81  
 Schleimer, Saul, 191  
 Scientific Toolworks, 350  
 SCO Group, 391  
*SCO v. IBM*, 391  
*SCO v. Linux*, 391–392  
 S-correlation, 228–230, 275–276, 309  
 Screen displays, 67–70  
 Scripting languages, 46  
 Scripts, 45–47  
 Secrecy, 364–365  
 Secrecy policy, 110–111  
 Security
 

- clean room, 378
- measures, 365
- policies, 14–15
- source code, 14

*Sega Enterprises Ltd. v. Accolade Inc.*, 74–76  
 Semicolon, 200  
 Separators, 233–235  
 Shell Oil, 16  
 Similarity measurements
 

- case insensitivity, 140, 156
- directional similarity, 136, 156
- fractional matching, 137–140, 156–160
- mutual similarity, 135–136, 156
- programs, 142–145
- simple matching, 137, 140–142, 153–156
- weighted fractional matching, 139–140
- whitespace, 140

 Simple matching, 137, 140–142, 153–156  
 Single quote, 285  
 Slashes, 48, 207, 235, 285  
 SLOC (source lines of code), 169–170, 173–175, 177–180  
 SLOC growth, 177, 179, 180  
 Software, instrumenting, 349–350  
*Software and Intellectual Property Protection* (Galler), 376  
 Software architecture, 337–338  
 Software copyrights
 

- Apple Computer Inc v. Franklin Computer Corp.*, 66
- Apple GUIs, 69–70
- first submissions, 63
- length of protection, 71
- redaction, 64, 71
- registration, 70–71
- screen displays, 67–69
- trade secrets, 63–64
- Williams Electronics, Inc. v. Arctic International, Inc.*, 64–65

 Software forensics
 

- digital forensics, 119–120
- expert witnessing, 121–124
- forensic engineering, 116–118
- forensic science, 114–116
- need for, 114–115

 Software Freedom Law Center (SFLC), 294, 393  
 Software patents
 

- arguments for/against, 91–94
- infringement, 95–97
- worldwide variances, 91

Software plagiarism. *See* Plagiarism

Software synthesis, 37, 49–51

Software valuations, 181–182

Sorting lines, 149

Source code

copyrights, 64

detailed sample, 27–29

example language, 23*n*

executing, 36–37

files, 32–35, 43–44

functions, 26–32

header files, 33–35

programming languages, 24–25

programs, 35–36

rewriting, 72–73

submission requirements, 70–71

transfer security, 14

Source code changes and evolution,  
measuring

CLOC metric, 175–180

cyclomatic complexity, 171–172

function point analysis, 172

Halstead measures, 170–171

lines of code, 169–170

non-header files, 181

project evolution, 173–175

transfer pricing, 181–182

Source code characterization

comments, 206–207

source code elements, 197–199

statements, 199–205

strings, 207–208

Source code correlation

arrays, 234–238

authorship, 247, 249–251

*Cadence Design Systems Inc. v. Avant!*  
*Corporation*, 212

comment comparison, 212

comment/string correlation, 211,  
223–225, 240–241

compiler optimization, 248, 254

copyright infringement, 247, 252

cross-language comparisons, 213–214,  
246

false negatives/false positives, 230–231

functional correlation, 248–249

identifier correlation, 213, 225–227,  
241–243

instruction sequence correlation, 213,  
227–228, 243–245

match types, 210

mathematical definitions, 214–215

mathematics, 215–216

open source code, 248, 253–254

origin identity, 247, 251

overall correlation, 228–230, 245–246

overall source code correlation, 213

patent infringement, 248, 255–256

refactoring, 248, 254–255

source code examples, 217–218

statement correlation, 211, 220–222

statement-matching algorithm, 239–240

trade secret theft, 247, 252–253

transformational matches, 246

unique elements, 218–219

Source code cross-correlation

commented-out statements, 310–311

comment/string-to-statement  
correlation, 308

commutativity axiom, 301–302

correlation definition, 302–303

cross-language comparison, 300

identity axiom, 302

lemmas, 303

location axiom, 302

- mathematical definitions, 300–301
- overall correlation, 308–309
- sample code, 303–307
- statement-to-comment/string correlation, 307
- Source code differentiation
  - arrays, 147–151
  - axioms, 134–135
  - changing lines of code measure (CLOC), 175–180
  - cyclomatic complexity (aka McCabe measure), 171–172
  - definitions, 134
  - diff* (comparison utility), 128–133
  - directional similarity, 135–136, 156
  - file similarity scores, 161–164
  - finding similar code, 165–168
  - function point analysis, 172
  - halstead measures, 170–171, 173
  - lines of code, 169–170
  - measuring file similarity, 140–142
  - measuring similar lines, 136–140
  - measuring similar programs, 142–145
  - mutual similarity, 135–136, 156
  - non-header files, 181
  - optimal match score, 151–160
  - similarity, 135–136
  - similarity score, 133
  - source code differentiation, 133–135
  - source code evolution, 173–175
  - tax issues, 181–182
- Source lines of code (SLOC), 169–170, 173–175, 177–180
- SourceDetective, 327–328, 334
- Source/object code correlation
  - applications
    - malware, 293
    - open source code, 294
    - pre-litigation work, 289–293
    - third-party code, 293
- Source/object code correlation implementation
  - arrays, 283–287
  - comment/string correlation, 287
  - identifier correlation, 287
  - overall correlation, 287
  - text strings, 279–283
- Source/object code correlation theory
  - A-correlation, 275
  - comment/string correlation, 273–274
  - decompiled source code file, 263–265
  - definition, 266–268
  - elements, 268–270
  - false negatives, 276–277
  - identifier correlation, 274–275
  - mathematical definitions, 270–272
  - mathematics, 272–273
  - M-correlation, 275
  - original source code file, 261–263
  - S-correlation, 275
- Space shuttles, 116–118
- “Specification” (MPEP, 35 U.S.C. § 112), 356–357
- Specificity, 361–364
- Specifiers, 198, 203
- Spelling errors, 211, 328–330
- Sperry Rand, 89–90
- SQL (programming language), 25
- Stallman, Richard, 386, 392
- Standard elements, 321, 328–329
- State Street Bank & Trust Co. v. Signature Financial Group*, 91
- Statement correlation, 211, 239–240, 248
  - calculation, 214, 220–223



Statement correlation (*Cont.*)

case-sensitivity, 239

equations, 219–220

Statement element identity match score, 307

Statement element match score, 223

Statement-matching algorithm, 239

## Statements

control words, 202–203

identifiers, 204–205

if and else statements, 201

operators, 203–204

quotation marks, 200

semicolon, 200

specifiers, 203

for statement, 201

Statement-to-comment/string correlation,  
299–300, 307

Static analysis tools, 350–352

Statue of Liberty, 81–82

Statute of Anne, 58–59

Statutory damages, 62

String correlation, 211, 214, 223–225

String delimiters, 235, 284

Strings, 198, 200, 207–208

Stroud, John, 171

Stroud number, 171

Structure101, 352

Subroutines (functions), 26, 48

Substantial changes, 73

Substantial code, 331

Substantial similarity, 332–333

Substitution characters, 286–287

Substring, 283

*Symantec v. Commissioner of Internal  
Revenue*, 181–182

Synthesis primitives, 49–51

Synthesizers, 37

**T**

Tab, 208, 285

Tarantella, 391

Tax issues, 181–182

TCF (total continuing files), 176–178

Telephone patent, 83–84

Term of copyright protection, 71, 92

Texas Instruments, 341–345

Text characters, 279

Text length threshold, 283

Text strings, 279–283

Text substring, 281, 283

TF (total files), 176, 179

Third-party code, 248, 253–254, 293–294.  
*See also* Open source code

Title 17, U.S. Code, 72

TL (total LOC), 176–180

TLCF (total LOC in continuing files),  
176, 179

TNL (total new LOC), 176, 179

Top-down approach, 360

Torvalds, Linus, 392

## Trade secrets

characteristics, 106–111, 365–366

compared with patents, 112

copied code, 365–366

Economic Espionage Act (18 U.S.C.  
§ 1831 and § 1832), 105–106

history, 103–104

identifying, 360–367

*Peabody v. Norfolk*, 104

public knowledge of, 366–367

secrecy of, 364–366

software copyrights, 63–64, 71

specificity, 361–364

theft, 106, 111–112, 252–253

*Vickery v. Welch*, 104  
*Yield Dynamics, Inc. v. TEA Systems Corporation*, 109  
 Transfer pricing, 181–182  
 Transformational matches, 210, 246  
 Translations, 73

## U

UCF (unchanged continuing files), 174, 176, 177–178, 179  
 Unchanged file continuity, 177, 179  
 Unclean hands, 76–77  
 Underscore, 234, 270  
 Understand (reverse-engineering tool), 350–351  
 Unicode, 279, 285  
 Unicode Consortium, 281  
 Unified Modeling Language (UML), 21  
 Uniform Trade Secrets Act (UTSA), 104–105  
 Unique elements, 218–219  
 UNIX (operating system), 128, 391–392  
 Unpublished works and copyright, 60  
 U.S. Code (Title 17), 72  
 U.S. Constitution (Art. I, sec. 8), 54  
 U.S. Copyright Office circular, 60–61  
 U.S. Patent Act, 80  
 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (PTO), 81, 90–91  
 U.S. Supreme Court, 95  
 Utility (patentability criteria), 85  
 Utility patent, 81

## V

Variables, 24, 30, 198  
 Verilog (programming language), 25, 49, 322–325

Veritas, 181–182  
 Vertical tab, 285  
 VHDL, 49  
*Viacom Inc. v. YouTube, Google Inc.*, 404–405  
*Vickery v. Welch*, 104  
 Video game lawsuits, 64–65, 74–77  
 Virtual machines, 36–37  
 VisiCalc, 66  
 Visual arts work, 67  
 Visual Basic (programming language), 25

## W

Washington, George, 80  
 WayBack Machine, 366  
 Weighted fractional matching, 139–140  
 Whale, Geoff, 191  
 Whitespace, 283  
 Whitespace patterns, 317*n*  
 Whitespace reduction, 134, 140, 234–235, 269, 273  
 Wilkerson, Daniel, 191  
 Williams, James, 332  
*Williams Electronics, Inc. v. Arctic International, Inc.*, 64–65  
 WIPO (World Intellectual Property Organization) definitions  
   copyright, 60  
   intellectual property (IP), 1  
   patent, 79  
   trade secrets, 103  
 WIPO treaties, 399  
 Word (bytes), 23

## X

Xenix, 391  
 Xerox, 67–70

## Y

YAP programs, 191

*Yield Dynamics, Inc. v. TEA Systems Corporation*, 109

YouTube, 404–405

## Z

Zeidman Consulting, 5, 338–340

Zeke, 332

Zettabyte File System (ZFS), 395