Security in Computing

FIFTH EDITION





Security in Computing

FIFTH EDITION

Charles P. Pfleeger Shari Lawrence Pfleeger Jonathan Margulies

Upper Saddle River, NJ • Boston • Indianapolis • San Francisco New York • Toronto • Montreal • London • Munich • Paris • Madrid Capetown • Sydney • Tokyo • Singapore • Mexico City Many of the designations used by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks. Where those designations appear in this book, and the publisher was aware of a trademark claim, the designations have been printed with initial capital letters or in all capitals.

The authors and publisher have taken care in the preparation of this book, but make no expressed or implied warranty of any kind and assume no responsibility for errors or omissions. No liability is assumed for incidental or consequential damages in connection with or arising out of the use of the information or programs contained herein.

For information about buying this title in bulk quantities, or for special sales opportunities (which may include electronic versions; custom cover designs; and content particular to your business, training goals, marketing focus, or branding interests), please contact our corporate sales department at corpsales@pearsoned.com or (800) 382-3419.

For government sales inquiries, please contact governmentsales@pearsoned.com.

For questions about sales outside the U.S., please contact international@pearsoned.com.

Visit us on the Web: informit.com

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Pfleeger, Charles P., 1948-

Security in computing / Charles P. Pfleeger, Shari Lawrence Pfleeger, Jonathan Margulies.— Fifth edition.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-13-408504-3 (hardcover : alk. paper)—ISBN 0-13-408504-3 (hardcover : alk. paper)

1. Computer security. 2. Data protection. 3. Privacy, Right of. I. Pfleeger, Shari Lawrence. II. Margulies, Jonathan. III. Title.

QA76.9.A25P45 2015 005.8—dc23

2014038579

Copyright © 2015 Pearson Education, Inc.

All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. This publication is protected by copyright, and permission must be obtained from the publisher prior to any prohibited reproduction, storage in a retrieval system, or transmission in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or likewise. To obtain permission to use material from this work, please submit a written request to Pearson Education, Inc., Permissions Department, One Lake Street, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458, or you may fax your request to (201) 236-3290.

ISBN-13: 978-0-13-408504-3 ISBN-10: 0-13-408504-3 Text printed in the United States on recycled paper at Courier in Westford, Massachusetts. First printing, January 2015 Executive Editor Bernard Goodwin

Editorial Assistant Michelle Housley

Managing Editor John Fuller

Project Editor Elizabeth Ryan

Copy Editor Mary Lou Nohr

Proofreader Linda Begley

Cover Designer Alan Clements

Compositor Shepherd, Inc. To Willis Ware, a hero of computer security and privacy.

Contents

Chapter

	Fore	xix	
	Prefa	ace	XXV
	Ackı	nowledgments	xxxi
	Abou	xxxiii	
1	Intro	1	
	1.1	What Is Computer Security?	2
		Values of Assets	4
		The Vulnerability–Threat–Control Paradigm	5
	1.2	Threats	6
		Confidentiality	8
		Integrity	10
		Availability	11
		Types of Threats	13
		Types of Attackers	16
	1.3	Harm	21
		Risk and Common Sense	22
		Method–Opportunity–Motive	26
	1.4	Vulnerabilities	28
	1.5	Controls	28
	1.6	Conclusion	31
	1.7	What's Next?	32
	1.8	Exercises	34

Chapter 2	Toolbox: Authentication, Access Control,			
•	and Cryptography			
	2.1	Authentication	38	
		Identification Versus Authentication	38	
		Authentication Based on Phrases and Facts: Something You Know	40	
		Authentication Based on Biometrics: Something You Are	53	
		Authentication Based on Tokens: Something You Have	65	
		Federated Identity Management	68	
		Multifactor Authentication	70	
		Secure Authentication	70	
	2.2	Access Control	72	
		Access Policies	72	
		Implementing Access Control	75	
		Procedure-Oriented Access Control	85	
		Role-Based Access Control	85	
	2.3	Cryptography	86	
		Problems Addressed by Encryption	87	
		Terminology	87	
		DES: The Data Encryption Standard	95	
		AES: Advanced Encryption System	98	
		Public Key Cryptography	100	
		Public Key Cryptography to Exchange Secret Keys	103	
		Error Detecting Codes	109	
		Trust	117	
		Certificates: Trustable Identities and Public Keys	121	
		Digital Signatures—All the Pieces	124	
	2.4	Exercises	127	
Chapter 3	Prog	rams and Programming	131	
	3.1	Unintentional (Nonmalicious) Programming Oversights	133	
		Buffer Overflow	134	
		Incomplete Mediation	152	
		Time-of-Check to Time-of-Use	155	
		Undocumented Access Point	157	
		Off-by-One Error	159	
		Integer Overflow	160	

		Unterminated Null-Terminated String	161
		Parameter Length, Type, and Number	162
		Unsafe Utility Program	162
		Race Condition	163
	3.2	Malicious Code—Malware	166
		Malware—Viruses, Trojan Horses, and Worms	167
		Technical Details: Malicious Code	176
	3.3	Countermeasures	196
		Countermeasures for Users	197
		Countermeasures for Developers	203
		Countermeasure Specifically for Security	216
		Countermeasures that Don't Work	224
		Conclusion	229
		Exercises	229
Chapter 4	The Web—User Side		232
	4.1	Browser Attacks	234
		Browser Attack Types	234
		How Browser Attacks Succeed: Failed Identification	
		and Authentication	240
	4.2	Web Attacks Targeting Users	245
		False or Misleading Content	246
		Malicious Web Content	253
		Protecting Against Malicious Web Pages	259
	4.3	Obtaining User or Website Data	260
		Code Within Data	261
		Website Data: A User's Problem, Too	265
		Foiling Data Attacks	266
	4.4	Email Attacks	267
		Fake Email	267
		Fake Email Messages as Spam	267
		Fake (Inaccurate) Email Header Data	273
		Phishing	274
		Protecting Against Email Attacks	275
	4.5	Conclusion	277
	4.6	Exercises	278

x Contents

Chapter 5	Ope	rating Systems	280
	5.1	Security in Operating Systems	280
		Background: Operating System Structure	281
		Security Features of Ordinary Operating Systems	282
		A Bit of History	284
		Protected Objects	286
		Operating System Tools to Implement Security Functions	292
	5.2	Security in the Design of Operating Systems	308
		Simplicity of Design	309
		Layered Design	309
		Kernelized Design	312
		Reference Monitor	313
		Correctness and Completeness	314
		Secure Design Principles	315
		Trusted Systems	316
		Trusted System Functions	319
		The Results of Trusted Systems Research	325
	5.3	Rootkit	329
		Phone Rootkit	329
		Rootkit Evades Detection	330
		Rootkit Operates Unchecked	334
		Sony XCP Rootkit	335
		TDSS Rootkits	336
		Other Rootkits	338
	5.4	Conclusion	338
	5.5	Exercises	339
Chapter 6	Netv	vorks	341
	6.1	Network Concepts	342
		Background: Network Transmission Media	343
		Background: Protocol Layers	349
		Background: Addressing and Routing	350
	Part	I—War on Networks: Network Security Attacks	353
	6.2	Threats to Network Communications	354
		Interception: Eavesdropping and Wiretapping	354
		Modification, Fabrication: Data Corruption	361
		Interruption: Loss of Service	366
		Port Scanning	369
		Vulnerability Summary	374

xi

6.3	Wireless Network Security	374
	WiFi Background	374
	Vulnerabilities in Wireless Networks	381
	Failed Countermeasure: WEP (Wired Equivalent Privacy)	388
	Stronger Protocol Suite: WPA (WiFi Protected Access)	390
6.4	Denial of Service	396
	Example: Massive Estonian Web Failure	396
	How Service Is Denied	398
	Flooding Attacks in Detail	402
	Network Flooding Caused by Malicious Code	403
	Network Flooding by Resource Exhaustion	407
	Denial of Service by Addressing Failures	408
	Traffic Redirection	413
	DNS Attacks	414
	Exploiting Known Vulnerabilities	419
	Physical Disconnection	420
6.5	Distributed Denial-of-Service	421
	Scripted Denial-of-Service Attacks	423
	Bots	426
	Botnets	426
	Malicious Autonomous Mobile Agents	430
	Autonomous Mobile Protective Agents	430
Part II	—Strategic Defenses: Security Countermeasures	432
6.6	Cryptography in Network Security	432
	Network Encryption	433
	Browser Encryption	437
	Onion Routing	443
	IP Security Protocol Suite (IPsec)	444
	Virtual Private Networks	447
	System Architecture	450
6.7	Firewalls	451
	What Is a Firewall?	452
	Design of Firewalls	453
	Types of Firewalls	454
	Personal Firewalls	465
	Comparison of Firewall Types	467
	Example Firewall Configurations	467
	Network Address Translation (NAT)	472
	Data Loss Prevention	473

xii Contents	
--------------	--

	6.8	Intrusion Detection and Prevention Systems	474
		Types of IDSs	476
		Other Intrusion Detection Technology	481
		Intrusion Prevention Systems	482
		Intrusion Response	483
		Goals for Intrusion Detection Systems	486
		IDS Strengths and Limitations	488
	6.9	Network Management	489
		Management to Ensure Service	489
		Security Information and Event Management (SIEM)	492
	6.10	Conclusion	496
	6.11	Exercises	496
Chapter 7	Data	bases	501
	7.1	Introduction to Databases	502
		Concept of a Database	502
		Components of Databases	502
		Advantages of Using Databases	506
	7.2	Security Requirements of Databases	507
		Integrity of the Database	507
		Element Integrity	508
		Auditability	510
		Access Control	511
		User Authentication	512
		Availability	512
		Integrity/Confidentiality/Availability	512
	7.3	Reliability and Integrity	513
		Protection Features from the Operating System	513
		Two-Phase Update	514
		Redundancy/Internal Consistency	516
		Recovery	516
		Concurrency/Consistency	517
	7.4	Database Disclosure	518
		Sensitive Data	518
		Types of Disclosures	519
		Preventing Disclosure: Data Suppression and Modification	529
		Security Versus Precision	530

			Contents	xiii
	7.5	Data Mining and Big Data		535
		Data Mining		536
		Big Data		540
	7.6	Conclusion		549
		Exercises		549
Chapter 8	Clou	d Computing		551
	8.1	Cloud Computing Concepts		551
		Service Models		552
		Deployment Models		552
	8.2	Moving to the Cloud		553
		Risk Analysis		553
		Cloud Provider Assessment		554
		Switching Cloud Providers		556
		Cloud as a Security Control		557
	8.3	Cloud Security Tools and Techniques		560
		Data Protection in the Cloud		561
		Cloud Application Security		566
		Logging and Incident Response		567
	8.4	Cloud Identity Management		568
		Security Assertion Markup Language		570
		OAuth		573
		OAuth for Authentication		577
	8.5	Securing laaS		579
		Public IaaS Versus Private Network Security		580
	8.6	Conclusion		583
		Where the Field Is Headed		584
		To Learn More		584
	8.7	Exercises		584
Chapter 9	Priva	acy		586
	9.1	Privacy Concepts		587
		Aspects of Information Privacy		587
		Computer-Related Privacy Problems		590
	9.2	Privacy Principles and Policies		596
		Fair Information Practices		596
		U.S. Privacy Laws		597

	Controls on U.S. Government Websites	599
	Controls on Commercial Websites	600
	Non-U.S. Privacy Principles	603
	Individual Actions to Protect Privacy	605
	Governments and Privacy	607
	Identity Theft	609
9.3	Authentication and Privacy	610
	What Authentication Means	611
	Conclusions	615
9.4	Data Mining	616
	Government Data Mining	617
	Privacy-Preserving Data Mining	617
9.5	Privacy on the Web	619
	Understanding the Online Environment	620
	Payments on the Web	621
	Site and Portal Registrations	622
	Whose Page Is This?	622
	Precautions for Web Surfing	624
	Spyware	628
	Shopping on the Internet	630
9.6	Email Security	632
	Where Does Email Go, and Who Can Access It?	632
	Interception of Email	633
	Monitoring Email	633
	Anonymous, Pseudonymous, and Disappearing Email	634
	Spoofing and Spamming	635
	Summary	636
9.7	Privacy Impacts of Emerging Technologies	636
	Radio Frequency Identification	636
	Electronic Voting	640
	VoIP and Skype	642
	Privacy in the Cloud	642
	Conclusions on Emerging Technologies	643
9.8	Where the Field Is Headed	644
9.9	Conclusion	645
9.10	Exercises	645

Contents	Со	nter	nts	
----------	----	------	-----	--

 10.1 Security Planning Organizations and Security Plans Contents of a Security Plan Security Planning Team Members Assuring Commitment to a Security Plan 10.2 Business Continuity Planning Assess Business Impact Develop Strategy Develop the Plan 10.3 Handling Incidents Incident Response Plans Incident Response Teams 10.4 Risk Analysis The Nature of Risk Steps of a Risk Analysis Arguments For and Against Risk Analysis 10.5 Dealing with Disaster Natural Disasters Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics 	647 648 649 656 658 660 660 661 662 662 662 665 668 669 670
Contents of a Security Plan Security Planning Team Members Assuring Commitment to a Security Plan 10.2 Business Continuity Planning Assess Business Impact Develop Strategy Develop the Plan 10.3 Handling Incidents Incident Response Plans Incident Response Teams 10.4 Risk Analysis The Nature of Risk Steps of a Risk Analysis Arguments For and Against Risk Analysis 10.5 Dealing with Disaster Natural Disasters Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises	649 656 658 660 660 661 662 662 665 668 669
Security Planning Team Members Assuring Commitment to a Security Plan 10.2 Business Continuity Planning Assess Business Impact Develop Strategy Develop the Plan 10.3 Handling Incidents Incident Response Plans Incident Response Teams 10.4 Risk Analysis The Nature of Risk Steps of a Risk Analysis Arguments For and Against Risk Analysis 10.5 Dealing with Disaster Natural Disasters Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises	656 658 660 660 661 662 662 665 668 669
Assuring Commitment to a Security Plan 10.2 Business Continuity Planning Assess Business Impact Develop Strategy Develop the Plan 10.3 Handling Incidents Incident Response Plans Incident Response Teams 10.4 Risk Analysis The Nature of Risk Steps of a Risk Analysis Arguments For and Against Risk Analysis 10.5 Dealing with Disaster Natural Disasters Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics	656 658 660 661 662 662 665 668 669
 10.2 Business Continuity Planning Assess Business Impact Develop Strategy Develop the Plan 10.3 Handling Incidents Incident Response Plans Incident Response Teams 10.4 Risk Analysis The Nature of Risk Steps of a Risk Analysis Arguments For and Against Risk Analysis 10.5 Dealing with Disaster Natural Disasters Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics 	658 660 661 662 662 665 668 669
Assess Business Impact Develop Strategy Develop the Plan 10.3 Handling Incidents Incident Response Plans Incident Response Teams 10.4 Risk Analysis The Nature of Risk Steps of a Risk Analysis Arguments For and Against Risk Analysis 10.5 Dealing with Disaster Natural Disasters Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics	660 661 662 662 665 668 668
Develop Strategy Develop the Plan 10.3 Handling Incidents Incident Response Plans Incident Response Teams 10.4 Risk Analysis The Nature of Risk Steps of a Risk Analysis Arguments For and Against Risk Analysis 10.5 Dealing with Disaster Natural Disasters Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises	660 661 662 662 665 668 669
Develop the Plan 10.3 Handling Incidents Incident Response Plans Incident Response Teams 10.4 Risk Analysis The Nature of Risk Steps of a Risk Analysis Arguments For and Against Risk Analysis 10.5 Dealing with Disaster Natural Disasters Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics	661 662 662 665 668 669
 Handling Incidents Incident Response Plans 	662 662 665 668 669
Incident Response Plans Incident Response Teams 10.4 Risk Analysis The Nature of Risk Steps of a Risk Analysis Arguments For and Against Risk Analysis 10.5 Dealing with Disaster Natural Disasters Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics	662 665 668 669
Incident Response Teams 10.4 Risk Analysis The Nature of Risk Steps of a Risk Analysis Arguments For and Against Risk Analysis 10.5 Dealing with Disaster Natural Disasters Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics	665 668 669
 10.4 Risk Analysis The Nature of Risk Steps of a Risk Analysis Arguments For and Against Risk Analysis 10.5 Dealing with Disaster Natural Disasters Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics 	668 669
The Nature of Risk Steps of a Risk Analysis Arguments For and Against Risk Analysis 10.5 Dealing with Disaster Natural Disasters Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics	669
Steps of a Risk Analysis Arguments For and Against Risk Analysis 10.5 Dealing with Disaster Natural Disasters Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics	
Arguments For and Against Risk Analysis 10.5 Dealing with Disaster Natural Disasters Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics	670
 10.5 Dealing with Disaster Natural Disasters Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics	0,0
Natural Disasters Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics	684
Power Loss Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics	686
Human Vandals Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics	686
Interception of Sensitive Information Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics	688
Contingency Planning Physical Security Recap 10.6 Conclusion 10.7 Exercises Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics	689
Physical Security Recap10.6Conclusion10.7ExercisesChapter 11Legal Issues and Ethics	692
10.6Conclusion10.7ExercisesChapter 11Legal Issues and Ethics	694
10.7ExercisesChapter 11Legal Issues and Ethics	698
Chapter 11 Legal Issues and Ethics	699
	700
11.1 Drotacting Drograms and Data	702
11.1 Protecting Programs and Data	704
Copyrights	704
Patents	711
Trade Secrets	714
Special Cases	716
11.2 Information and the Law	_
Information as an Object	717
Legal Issues Relating to Information	717 <i>717</i>

xv

		The Legal System	721
		Summary of Protection for Computer Artifacts	724
	11.3	Rights of Employees and Employers	725
		Ownership of Products	725
		Employment Contracts	727
	11.4	Redress for Software Failures	728
		Selling Correct Software	729
		Reporting Software Flaws	731
	11.5	Computer Crime	733
		Why a Separate Category for Computer Crime Is Needed	734
		Why Computer Crime Is Hard to Define	736
		Why Computer Crime Is Hard to Prosecute	736
		Examples of Statutes	737
		International Dimensions	741
		Why Computer Criminals Are Hard to Catch	742
		What Computer Crime Does Not Address	743
		Summary of Legal Issues in Computer Security	743
	11.6	Ethical Issues in Computer Security	744
		Differences Between the Law and Ethics	744
		Studying Ethics	746
		Ethical Reasoning	747
	11.7	Incident Analysis with Ethics	750
		Situation I: Use of Computer Services	750
		Situation II: Privacy Rights	752
		Situation III: Denial of Service	753
		Situation IV: Ownership of Programs	754
		Situation V: Proprietary Resources	756
		Situation VI: Fraud	757
		Situation VII: Accuracy of Information	758
		Situation VIII: Ethics of Hacking or Cracking	759
		Situation IX: True Representation	762
		Conclusion of Computer Ethics	764
		Conclusion	765
		Exercises	765
Chapter 12	Detai	ls of Cryptography	768
	12.1	Cryptology	769
		Cryptanalysis	769
		Cryptographic Primitives	773

	One-Time Pads	775
	Statistical Analysis	776
	What Makes a "Secure" Encryption Algorithm?	777
12.2	Symmetric Encryption Algorithms	779
	DES	779
	AES	789
	RC2, RC4, RC5, and RC6	792
12.3	Asymmetric Encryption with RSA	795
	The RSA Algorithm	795
	Strength of the RSA Algorithm	797
12.4	Message Digests	799
	Hash Functions	799
	One-Way Hash Functions	799
	Message Digests	800
12.5	Digital Signatures	802
	Elliptic Curve Cryptosystems	802
	El Gamal and Digital Signature Algorithms	803
	The NSA–Cryptography Controversy of 2012	804
12.6	Quantum Cryptography	807
	Quantum Physics	807
	Photon Reception	808
	Cryptography with Photons	808
	Implementation	811
12.7	Conclusion	811
Emerging Topics		813
13.1	The Internet of Things	814
	Medical Devices	815
	Mobile Phones	818
	Security in the Internet of Things	820
13.2	Economics	821
	Making a Business Case	821
	Quantifying Security	825
	Current Research and Future Directions	832
13.3	Electronic Voting	834
	What Is Electronic Voting?	835
	What Is a Fair Election?	836
	What Are the Critical Issues?	837

Chapter 13

xviii Contents

13.4	Cyber Warfare	841
	What Is Cyber Warfare?	842
	Possible Examples of Cyber Warfare	843
	Critical Issues	846
13.5	Conclusion	850
Bibliography		851
Index	x	877

Foreword

From the authors: Willis Ware kindly wrote the foreword that we published in both the third and fourth editions of *Security in Computing*. In his foreword he covers some of the early days of computer security, describing concerns that are as valid today as they were in those earlier days.

Willis chose to sublimate his name and efforts to the greater good of the projects he worked on. In fact, his thoughtful analysis and persuasive leadership contributed much to the final outcome of these activities. Few people recognize Willis's name today; more people are familiar with the European Union Data Protection Directive that is a direct descendant of the report [WAR73a] from his committee for the U.S. Department of Human Services. Willis would have wanted it that way: the emphasis on the ideas and not on his name.

Unfortunately, Willis died in November 2013 at age 93. We think the lessons he wrote about in his Foreword are still important to our readers. Thus, with both respect and gratitude, we republish his words here.

In the 1950s and 1960s, the prominent conference gathering places for practitioners and users of computer technology were the twice yearly Joint Computer Conferences (JCCs)—initially called the Eastern and Western JCCs, but later renamed the Spring and Fall JCCs and even later, the annual National (AFIPS) Computer Conference. From this milieu, the topic of computer security—later to be called information system security and currently also referred to as "protection of the national information infrastructure"—moved from the world of classified defense interests into public view.

A few people—Robert L. Patrick, John P. Haverty, and myself among others—all then at The RAND Corporation (as its name was then known) had been talking about the growing dependence of the country and its institutions on computer technology. It concerned us that the installed systems might not be able to protect themselves and their data against intrusive and destructive attacks. We decided that it was time to bring the security aspect of computer systems to the attention of the technology and user communities.

The enabling event was the development within the National Security Agency (NSA) of a remote-access time-sharing system with a full set of security access controls, running on a Univac 494 machine, and serving terminals and users not only within the headquarters building at Fort George G. Meade, Maryland, but also worldwide. Fortuitously, I knew details of the system.

Persuading two others from RAND to help—Dr. Harold Peterson and Dr. Rein Turn—plus Bernard Peters of NSA, I organized a group of papers and presented it to the SJCC conference management as a ready-made additional paper session to be chaired by me. [1] The conference accepted the offer, and the session was presented at the Atlantic City (NJ) Convention Hall in 1967.

Soon thereafter and driven by a request from a defense contractor to include both defense classified and business applications concurrently in a single mainframe machine functioning in a remote-access mode, the Department of Defense, acting through the Advanced Research Projects Agency (ARPA) and later the Defense Science Board (DSB), organized a committee, which I chaired, to study the issue of security controls for computer systems. The intent was to produce a document that could be the basis for formulating a DoD policy position on the matter.

The report of the committee was initially published as a classified document and was formally presented to the sponsor (the DSB) in January 1970. It was later declassified and republished (by The RAND Corporation) in October 1979. [2] It was widely circulated and became nicknamed "the Ware report." The report and a historical introduction are available on the RAND website. [3]

Subsequently, the United States Air Force (USAF) sponsored another committee chaired by James P. Anderson. [4] Its report, published in 1972, recommended a 6-year R&D security program totaling some \$8M. [5] The USAF responded and funded several projects, three of which were to design and implement an operating system with security controls for a specific computer.

Eventually these activities led to the "Criteria and Evaluation" program sponsored by the NSA. It culminated in the "Orange Book" [6] in 1983 and subsequently its supporting array of documents, which were nicknamed "the rainbow series." [7] Later, in the 1980s and on into the 1990s, the subject became an international one leading to the ISO standard known as the "Common Criteria." [8]

It is important to understand the context in which system security was studied in the early decades. The defense establishment had a long history of protecting classified information in document form. It had evolved a very elaborate scheme for compartmenting material into groups, sub-groups and super-groups, each requiring a specific personnel clearance and need-to-know as the basis for access. [9] It also had a centuries-long legacy of encryption technology and experience for protecting classified information in transit. Finally, it understood the personnel problem and the need to establish the trustworthiness of its people. And it certainly understood the physical security matter.

Thus, *the* computer security issue, as it was understood in the 1960s and even later, was how to create in a computer system a group of access controls that would implement or emulate the processes of the prior paper world, plus the associated issues of protecting such software against unauthorized change, subversion and illicit use, and of embedding the entire system in a secure physical environment with appropriate

management oversights and operational doctrine and procedures. The poorly understood aspect of security was primarily the software issue with, however, a collateral hardware aspect; namely, the risk that it might malfunction—or be penetrated—and subvert the proper behavior of software. For the related aspects of communications, personnel, and physical security, there was a plethora of rules, regulations, doctrine and experience to cover them. It was largely a matter of merging all of it with the hardware/ software aspects to yield an overall secure system and operating environment.

However, the world has now changed and in essential ways. The desk-top computer and workstation have appeared and proliferated widely. The Internet is flourishing and the reality of a World Wide Web is in place. Networking has exploded and communication among computer systems is the rule, not the exception. Many commercial transactions are now web-based; many commercial communities—the financial one in particular—have moved into a web posture. The "user" of any computer system can literally be anyone in the world. Networking among computer systems is ubiquitous; information-system outreach is the goal.

The net effect of all of this has been to expose the computer-based information system its hardware, its software, its software processes, its databases, its communications—to an environment over which no one—not end-user, not network administrator or system owner, not even government—has control. What must be done is to provide appropriate technical, procedural, operational and environmental safeguards against threats as they might appear or be imagined, embedded in a societally acceptable legal framework.

And appear threats did—from individuals and organizations, national and international. The motivations to penetrate systems for evil purpose or to create malicious software—generally with an offensive or damaging consequence—vary from personal intellectual satisfaction to espionage, to financial reward, to revenge, to civil disobedience, and to other reasons. Information-system security has moved from a largely selfcontained bounded environment interacting with a generally known and disciplined user community to one of worldwide scope with a body of users that may not be known and are not necessarily trusted. Importantly, security controls now must deal with circumstances over which there is largely no control or expectation of avoiding their impact. Computer security, as it has evolved, shares a similarity with liability insurance; they each face a threat environment that is known in a very general way and can generate attacks over a broad spectrum of possibilities; but the exact details or even time or certainty of an attack is unknown until an event has occurred.

On the other hand, the modern world thrives on information and its flows; the contemporary world, society and institutions cannot function without their computercommunication-based information systems. Hence, these systems must be protected in all dimensions—technical, procedural, operational, environmental. The system owner and its staff have become responsible for protecting the organization's information assets.

Progress has been slow, in large part because the threat has not been perceived as real or as damaging enough; but also in part because the perceived cost of comprehensive information system security is seen as too high compared to the risks—especially the financial consequences—of not doing it. Managements, whose support with appropriate funding is essential, have been slow to be convinced. This book addresses the broad sweep of issues above: the nature of the threat and system vulnerabilities (Chapter 1); cryptography (Chapters 2 and 12); software vulnerabilities (Chapter 3); the Common Criteria (Chapter 5); the World Wide Web and Internet (Chapters 4 and 6); managing risk (Chapter 10); and legal, ethical and privacy issues (Chapter 11). The book also describes security controls that are currently available such as encryption protocols, software development practices, firewalls, and intrusion-detection systems. Overall, this book provides a broad and sound foundation for the information-system specialist who is charged with planning and/or organizing and/or managing and/or implementing a comprehensive information-system security program.

Yet to be solved are many technical aspects of information security—R&D for hardware, software, systems, and architecture; and the corresponding products. Notwithstanding, technology per se is not the long pole in the tent of progress. Organizational and management motivation and commitment to get the security job done is. Today, the collective information infrastructure of the country and of the world is slowly moving up the learning curve; every mischievous or malicious event helps to push it along. The terrorism-based events of recent times are helping to drive it. Is it far enough up the curve to have reached an appropriate balance between system safety and threat? Almost certainly, the answer is "no, not yet; there is a long way to go." [10]

> —Willis H. Ware RAND Santa Monica, California

Citations

 "Security and Privacy in Computer Systems," Willis H. Ware; RAND, Santa Monica, CA; P-3544, April 1967. Also published in Proceedings of the 1967 Spring Joint Computer Conference (later renamed to AFIPS Conference Proceedings), pp 279 seq, Vol. 30, 1967.

"Security Considerations in a Multi-Programmed Computer System," Bernard Peters; Proceedings of the 1967 Spring Joint Computer Conference (later renamed to AFIPS Conference Proceedings), pp 283 seq, vol 30, 1967.

"Practical Solutions to the Privacy Problem," Willis H. Ware; RAND, Santa Monica, CA; P-3544, April 1967. Also published in Proceedings of the 1967 Spring Joint Computer Conference (later renamed to AFIPS Conference Proceedings), pp 301 seq, Vol. 30, 1967. "System Implications of Information Privacy," Harold E. Peterson and Rein Turn; RAND, Santa Monica, CA; P-3504, April 1967. Also published in Proceedings of the 1967 Spring Joint Computer Conference (later renamed to AFIPS Conference Proceedings), pp 305 seq, vol. 30, 1967.

- "Security Controls for Computer Systems," (Report of the Defense Science Board Task Force on Computer Security), RAND, R-609-1-PR. Initially published in January 1970 as a classified document. Subsequently, declassified and republished October 1979.
- http://rand.org/publications/R/R609.1/R609.1.html, "Security Controls for Computer Systems"; R-609.1, RAND, 1979 http://rand.org/publications/R/R609.1/intro.html, Historical setting for R-609.1
- 4. "Computer Security Technology Planning Study," James P. Anderson; ESD-TR-73-51, ESD/AFSC, Hanscom AFB, Bedford, MA; October 1972.
- 5. All of these documents are cited in the bibliography of this book. For images of these historical papers on a CDROM, see the "History of Computer Security Project, Early Papers Part 1," Professor Matt Bishop; Department of Computer Science, University of California at Davis. http://seclab.cs.ucdavis.edu/projects/history
- "DoD Trusted Computer System Evaluation Criteria," DoD Computer Security Center, National Security Agency, Ft George G. Meade, Maryland; CSC-STD-001-83; Aug 15, 1983.
- So named because the cover of each document in the series had a unique and distinctively colored cover page. For example, the "Red Book" is "Trusted Network Interpretation," National Computer Security Center, National Security Agency, Ft. George G. Meade, Maryland; NCSC-TG-005, July 31, 1987. USGPO Stock number 008-000-00486-2.
- "A Retrospective on the Criteria Movement," Willis H. Ware; RAND, Santa Monica, CA; P-7949, 1995. http://rand.org/pubs/papers/P7949/
- 9. This scheme is nowhere, to my knowledge, documented explicitly. However, its complexity can be inferred by a study of Appendices A and B of R-609.1 (item [2] above).
- "The Cyberposture of the National Information Infrastructure," Willis H. Ware; RAND, Santa Monica, CA; MR-976-OSTP, 1998. Available online at: http://www.rand.org/ publications/MR/MR976/mr976.html.

Preface

The security stations, even washers and dryers come with Internet connections by which data from and about you go to places over which you have little visibility or control. At the same time, the list of retailers suffering massive losses of customer data continues to grow: Home Depot, Target, T.J. Maxx, P.F. Chang's, Sally Beauty. On the one hand people want the convenience and benefits that added connectivity brings, while on the other hand, people are worried, and some are seriously harmed by the impact of such incidents. Computer security brings these two threads together as technology races forward with smart products whose designers omit the basic controls that can prevent or limit catastrophes.

To some extent, people sigh and expect security failures in basic products and complex systems. But these failures do not have to be. Every computer professional can learn how such problems occur and how to counter them. Computer security has been around as a field since the 1960s, and it has developed excellent research, leading to a good understanding of the threat and how to manage it.

One factor that turns off many people is the language: Complicated terms such as polymorphic virus, advanced persistent threat, distributed denial-of-service attack, inference and aggregation, multifactor authentication, key exchange protocol, and intrusion detection system do not exactly roll off the tongue. Other terms sound intriguing but opaque, such as worm, botnet, rootkit, man in the browser, honeynet, sandbox, and script kiddie. The language of advanced mathematics or microbiology is no less confounding, and the Latin terminology of medicine and law separates those who know it from those who do not. But the terms and concepts of computer security really have straightforward, easy-to-learn meaning and uses.

The premise of computer security is quite simple: Vulnerabilities are weaknesses in products, systems, protocols, algorithms, programs, interfaces, and designs. A threat is

Vulnerability: weakness Threat: condition that exercises vulnerability Incident: vulnerability + threat Control: reduction of threat or vulnerability

xxvi Preface

a condition that could exercise a vulnerability. An incident occurs when a threat does exploit a vulnerability, causing harm. Finally, people add controls or countermeasures to prevent, deflect, diminish, detect, diagnose, and respond to threats. All of computer security is built from that simple framework. This book is about bad things that can happen with computers and ways to protect our computing.

WHY READ THIS BOOK?

Admit it. You know computing entails serious risks to the privacy of your personal data, the integrity of your data, or the operation of your computer. Risk is a fact of life: Crossing the street is risky, perhaps more so in some places than others, but you still cross the street. As a child you learned to stop and look both ways before crossing. As you became older you learned to gauge the speed of oncoming traffic and determine whether you had the time to cross. At some point you developed a sense of whether an oncoming car would slow down or yield. We hope you never had to practice this, but sometimes you have to decide whether darting into the street without looking is the best means of escaping danger. The point is all these matters depend on knowledge and experience with respect to the risks of secure computing.

The same thing can be said about computer security in everything from personal devices to complex commercial systems: You start with a few basic terms, principles, and concepts. Then you learn the discipline by seeing those basics reappear in numerous situations, including programs, operating systems, networks, and cloud computing. You pick up a few fundamental tools, such as authentication, access control, and encryption, and you understand how they apply in defense strategies. You start to think like an attacker, predicting the weaknesses that could be exploited, and then you shift to selecting defenses to counter those attacks. This last stage of playing both offense and defense makes computer security a creative and challenging activity.

USES FOR AND USERS OF THIS BOOK

This book is intended for people who want to learn about computer security; if you have read this far you may well be such a person. This book is intended for three groups of people: college and university students, computing professionals and managers, and users of all kinds of computer-based systems. All want to know the same thing: how to control the risk of computer security. But you may differ in how much information you need about particular topics: Some readers want a broad survey, while others want to focus on particular topics, such as networks or program development.

This book should provide the breadth and depth that most readers want. The book is organized by general area of computing, so that readers with particular interests can find information easily.

ORGANIZATION OF THIS BOOK

The chapters of this book progress in an orderly manner, from general security concerns to the particular needs of specialized applications, and then to overarching management and legal issues. Thus, this book progresses through six key areas of interest:

- 1. Introduction: threats, vulnerabilities, and controls
- **2.** The security practitioner's "toolbox": identification and authentication, access control, and encryption
- 3. Application areas of computer security practice: programs, user–Internet interaction, operating systems, networks, data and databases, and cloud computing
- 4. Cross-cutting disciplines: privacy, management, law and ethics
- 5. Details of cryptography
- 6. Emerging application domains

The first chapter begins like many other expositions: by laying groundwork. In Chapter 1 we introduce terms and definitions, and give some examples to justify how these terms are used. In Chapter 2 we begin the real depth of the field by introducing three concepts that form the basis of many defenses in computer security: identification and authentication, access control, and encryption. We describe different ways of implementing each of these, explore strengths and weaknesses, and tell of some recent advances in these technologies.

Then we advance through computing domains, from the individual user outward. In Chapter 3 we begin with individual programs, ones you might write and those you only use. Both kinds are subject to potential attacks, and we examine the nature of some of those attacks and how they could have been prevented. In Chapter 4 we move on to a type of program with which most users today are quite familiar: the browser, as a gateway to the Internet. The majority of attacks today are remote, carried from a distant attacker across a network, usually the Internet. Thus, it makes sense to study Internetborne malicious code. But this chapter's focus is on the harm launched remotely, not on the network infrastructure by which it travels; we defer the network concepts to Chapter 6. In Chapter 5 we consider operating systems, a strong line of defense between a user and attackers. We also consider ways to undermine the strength of the operating system itself. Chapter 6 returns to networks, but this time we do look at architecture and technology, including denial-of-service attacks that can happen only in a network. Data, their collection and protection, form the topic of Chapter 7, in which we look at database management systems and big data applications. Finally, in Chapter 8 we explore cloud computing, a relatively recent addition to the computing landscape, but one that brings its own vulnerabilities and protections.

In Chapters 9 through 11 we address what we have termed the intersecting disciplines: First, in Chapter 9 we explore privacy, a familiar topic that relates to most of the six domains from programs to clouds. Then Chapter 10 takes us to the management side of computer security: how management plans for and addresses computer security problems. Finally, Chapter 11 explores how laws and ethics help us control computer behavior. We introduced cryptography in Chapter 2. But the field of cryptography involves entire books, courses, conferences, journals, and postgraduate programs of study. And this book needs to cover many important topics in addition to cryptography. Thus, we made two critical decisions: First, we treat cryptography as a tool, not as a field of study. An automobile mechanic does not study the design of cars, weighing such factors as aerodynamics, fuel consumption, interior appointment, and crash resistance; a mechanic accepts a car as a given and learns how to find and fix faults with the engine and other mechanical parts. Similarly, we want our readers to be able to use cryptography to quickly address security problems; hence we briefly visit popular uses of cryptography in Chapter 2. Our second critical decision was to explore the breadth of cryptography slightly more in a later chapter, Chapter 12. But as we point out, entire books have been written on cryptography, so our later chapter gives an overview of more detailed work that interested readers can find elsewhere.

Our final chapter detours to four areas having significant computer security hazards. These are rapidly advancing topics for which the computer security issues are much in progress right now. The so-called Internet of Things, the concept of connecting many devices to the Internet, raises potential security threats waiting to be explored. Economics govern many security decisions, so security professionals need to understand how economics and security relate. Convenience is raising interest in using computers to implement elections; the easy steps of collecting vote totals have been done by many jurisdictions, but the hard part of organizing fair online registration and ballot-casting have been done in only a small number of demonstration elections. And the use of computers in warfare is a growing threat. Again, a small number of modest-sized attacks on computing devices have shown the feasibility of this type of campaign, but security professionals and ordinary citizens need to understand the potential—both good and bad—of this type of attack.

HOW TO READ THIS BOOK

What background should you have to appreciate this book? The only assumption is an understanding of programming and computer systems. Someone who is an advanced undergraduate or graduate student in computing certainly has that background, as does a professional designer or developer of computer systems. A user who wants to understand more about how programs work can learn from this book, too; we provide the necessary background on concepts of operating systems or networks, for example, before we address the related security concerns.

This book can be used as a textbook in a one- or two-semester course in computer security. The book functions equally well as a reference for a computer professional or as a supplement to an intensive training course. And the index and extensive bibliography make it useful as a handbook to explain significant topics and point to key articles in the literature. The book has been used in classes throughout the world; instructors often design one-semester courses that focus on topics of particular interest to the students or that relate well to the rest of a curriculum.

WHAT IS NEW IN THIS BOOK

This is the fifth edition of *Security in Computing*, first published in 1989. Since then, the specific threats, vulnerabilities, and controls have changed, as have many of the underlying technologies to which computer security applies. However, many basic concepts have remained the same.

Most obvious to readers familiar with earlier editions will be some new chapters, specifically, on user–web interaction and cloud computing, as well as the topics we raise in the emerging topics chapter. Furthermore, pulling together the three fundamental controls in Chapter 2 is a new structure. Those are the big changes, but every chapter has had many smaller changes, as we describe new attacks or expand on points that have become more important.

One other feature some may notice is the addition of a third coauthor. Jonathan Margulies joins us as an essential member of the team that produced this revision. He is currently director of the security practice at Qmulos, a newly launched security consulting practice. He brings many years of experience with Sandia National Labs and the National Institute for Standards and Technology. His focus meshes nicely with our existing skills to extend the breadth of this book.

Acknowledgments

t is increasingly difficult to acknowledge all the people who have influenced this book. Colleagues and friends have contributed their knowledge and insight, often without knowing their impact. By arguing a point or sharing explanations of concepts, our associates have forced us to question or rethink what we know.

We thank our associates in at least two ways. First, we have tried to include references to their written works. References in the text cite specific papers relating to particular thoughts or concepts, but the bibliography also includes broader works that have played a more subtle role in shaping our approach to security. So, to all the cited authors, many of whom are friends and colleagues, we happily acknowledge your positive influence on this book.

Rather than name individuals, we thank the organizations in which we have interacted with creative, stimulating, and challenging people from whom we learned a lot. These places include Trusted Information Systems, the Contel Technology Center, the Centre for Software Reliability of the City University of London, Arca Systems, Exodus Communications, The RAND Corporation, Sandia National Lab, Cable & Wireless, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, the Institute for Information Infrastructure Protection, Qmulos, and the Editorial Board of *IEEE Security & Privacy*. If you worked with us at any of these locations, chances are high that your imprint can be found in this book. And for all the side conversations, debates, arguments, and light moments, we are grateful.

About the Authors

Charles P. Pfleeger is an internationally known expert on computer and communications security. He was originally a professor at the University of Tennessee, leaving there to join computer security research and consulting companies Trusted Information Systems and Arca Systems (later Exodus Communications and Cable and Wireless). With Trusted Information Systems he was Director of European Operations and Senior Consultant. With Cable and Wireless he was Director of Research and a member of the staff of the Chief Security Officer. He was chair of the IEEE Computer Society Technical Committee on Security and Privacy.

Shari Lawrence Pfleeger is widely known as a software engineering and computer security researcher, most recently as a Senior Computer Scientist with the Rand Corporation and as Research Director of the Institute for Information Infrastructure Protection. She is currently Editor-in-Chief of *IEEE Security & Privacy* magazine.

Jonathan Margulies is the CTO of Qmulos, a cybersecurity consulting firm. After receiving his master's degree in Computer Science from Cornell University, Mr. Margulies spent nine years at Sandia National Labs, researching and developing solutions to protect national security and critical infrastructure systems from advanced persistent threats. He then went on to NIST's National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence, where he worked with a variety of critical infrastructure companies to create industry-standard security architectures. In his free time, Mr. Margulies edits the "Building Security In" section of *IEEE Security & Privacy* magazine.

1 Introduction

In this chapter:

- Threats, vulnerabilities, and controls
- Confidentiality, integrity, and availability
- Attackers and attack types; method, opportunity, and motive
- Valuing assets

n 11 February 2013, residents of Great Falls, Montana received the following warning on their televisions [INF13]. The transmission displayed a message banner on the bottom of the screen (as depicted in Figure 1-1).



FIGURE 1-1 Emergency Broadcast Warning

And the following alert was broadcast:

2 Chapter 1 Introduction

[Beep Beep Beep: the sound pattern of the U.S. government Emergency Alert System. The following text then scrolled across the screen:]

Civil authorities in your area have reported that the bodies of the dead are rising from their graves and attacking the living. Follow the messages on screen that will be updated as information becomes available.

Do not attempt to approach or apprehend these bodies as they are considered extremely dangerous. This warning applies to all areas receiving this broadcast.

[Beep Beep Beep]

The warning signal sounded authentic; it had the distinctive tone people recognize for warnings of serious emergencies such as hazardous weather or a natural disaster. And the text was displayed across a live broadcast television program. On the other hand, bodies rising from their graves sounds suspicious.

What would you have done?

Only four people contacted police for assurance that the warning was indeed a hoax. As you can well imagine, however, a different message could have caused thousands of people to jam the highways trying to escape. (On 30 October 1938 Orson Welles performed a radio broadcast of the H. G. Wells play *War of the Worlds* that did cause a minor panic of people believing that Martians had landed and were wreaking havoc in New Jersey.)

The perpetrator of this hoax was never caught, nor has it become clear exactly how it was done. Likely someone was able to access the system that feeds emergency broadcasts to local radio and television stations. In other words, a hacker probably broke into a computer system.

You encounter computers daily in countless situations, often in cases in which you are scarcely aware a computer is involved, like the emergency alert system for broadcast media. These computers move money, control airplanes, monitor health, lock doors, play music, heat buildings, regulate hearts, deploy airbags, tally votes, direct communications, regulate traffic, and do hundreds of other things that affect lives, health, finances, and well-being. Most of the time these computers work just as they should. But occasionally they do something horribly wrong, because of either a benign failure or a malicious attack.

This book is about the security of computers, their data, and the devices and objects to which they relate. In this book you will learn some of the ways computers can fail or be made to fail—and how to protect against those failures. We begin that study in the way any good report does: by answering the basic questions of what, who, why, and how.

1.1 WHAT IS COMPUTER SECURITY?

Computer security is the protection of the items you value, called the **assets** of a computer or computer system. There are many types of assets, involving hardware, software, data, people, processes, or combinations of these. To determine what to protect, we must first identify what has value and to whom.
A computer device (including hardware, added components, and accessories) is certainly an asset. Because most computer hardware is pretty useless without programs, the software is also an asset. Software includes the operating system, utilities and device handlers; applications such as word processing, media players or email handlers; and even programs that you may have written yourself. Much hardware and software is *offthe-shelf*, meaning that it is commercially available (not custom-made for your purpose) and that you can easily get a replacement. The thing that makes your computer unique and important to you is its content: photos, tunes, papers, email messages, projects, calendar information, ebooks (with your annotations), contact information, code you created, and the like. Thus, data items on a computer are assets, too. Unlike most hardware and software, data can be hard—if not impossible—to recreate or replace. These assets are all shown in Figure 1-2.

These three things—hardware, software, and data—contain or express things like the design for your next new product, the photos from your recent vacation, the chapters of your new book, or the genome sequence resulting from your recent research. All of these things represent intellectual endeavor or property, and they have value that differs from one person or organization to another. It is that value that makes them assets worthy of protection, and they are the elements we want to protect. Other assets—such as access to data, quality of service, processes, human users, and network connectivity—deserve protection, too; they are affected or enabled by the hardware, software, and data. So in most cases, protecting hardware, software, and data covers these other assets as well.

In this book, unless we specifically distinguish between hardware, software, and data, we refer to all these assets as the computer system,

Computer systems—hardware, software, and data—have value and deserve security protection.



Hardware:

- Computer
- Devices (disk drives, memory, printer)
- Network gear

Software:

- · Operating system
- Utilities (antivirus)
- Commercial applications (word processing, photo editing)
- · Individual applications

Data:

- Documents
- Photos
- · Music, videos
- Email
- Class projects

4 Chapter 1 Introduction

or sometimes as the computer. And because processors are embedded in so many devices, we also need to think about such variations as mobile phones, implanted pacemakers, heating controllers, and automobiles. Even if the primary purpose of the device is not computing, the device's embedded computer can be involved in security incidents and represents an asset worthy of protection.

Values of Assets

After identifying the assets to protect, we next determine their value. We make valuebased decisions frequently, even when we are not aware of them. For example, when you go for a swim you can leave a bottle of water and a towel on the beach, but not your wallet or cell phone. The difference relates to the value of the assets.

The value of an asset depends on the asset owner's or user's perspective, and it may be independent of monetary cost, as shown in Figure 1-3. Your photo of your sister, worth only a few cents in terms of paper and ink, may have high value to you and no value to your roommate. Other items' value depends on replacement cost; some computer data are difficult or impossible to replace. For example, that photo of you and your friends at a party may have cost you nothing, but it is invaluable because there is no other copy. On the other hand, the DVD of your favorite film may have cost a signifi-

cant portion of your take-home pay, but you can buy another one if the DVD is stolen or corrupted. Similarly, timing has bearing on asset

Assets' values are personal, time dependent, and often imprecise.



FIGURE 1-3 Values of Assets

value. For example, the value of the plans for a company's new product line is very high, especially to competitors. But once the new product is released, the plans' value drops dramatically.

The Vulnerability–Threat–Control Paradigm

The goal of computer security is protecting valuable assets. To study different ways of protection, we use a framework that describes how assets may be harmed and how to counter or mitigate that harm.

A **vulnerability** is a weakness in the system, for example, in procedures, design, or implementation, that might be exploited to cause loss or harm. For instance, a particular

system may be vulnerable to unauthorized data manipulation because the system does not verify a user's identity before allowing data access. A **threat** to a computing system

A vulnerability is a weakness that could be exploited to cause harm.

is a set of circumstances that has the potential to cause loss or harm. To see the difference between a threat and a vulnerability, consider the illustration in Figure 1-4. Here, a wall is holding water back. The water to the left of the wall is a threat to the man on the right of the wall: The water could rise, overflowing onto the man, or it could stay beneath the

height of the wall, causing the wall to collapse. So the threat of harm is the potential for the man to get wet, get hurt, or be drowned. For now, the wall is intact, so the threat to the man is unrealized.

A threat is a set of circumstances that could cause harm.



FIGURE 1-4 Threat and Vulnerability

However, we can see a small crack in the wall—a vulnerability that threatens the man's security. If the water rises to or beyond the level of the crack, it will exploit the vulnerability and harm the man.

There are many threats to a computer system, including human-initiated and computer-initiated ones. We have all experienced the results of inadvertent human errors, hardware design flaws, and software failures. But natural disasters are threats, too; they can bring a system down when the computer room is flooded or the data center collapses from an earthquake, for example.

A human who exploits a vulnerability perpetrates an attack on the system. An attack can also be launched by another system, as when one system sends an overwhelming flood of messages to another, virtually shutting down the second system's ability to function. Unfortunately, we have seen this type of attack frequently, as denial-of-service attacks deluge servers with more messages than they can handle. (We take a closer look at denial of service in Chapter 6.)

How do we address these problems? We use a **control** or **countermeasure** as protection. That is, a control is an action, device, procedure, or technique that removes or reduces a vulnerability. In Figure 1-4, the man is placing his finger in the hole, control-

ling the threat of water leaks until he finds a more permanent solution to the problem. In general, we can describe the relationship between threats, controls, and vulnerabilities in this way:

Controls prevent threats from exercising vulnerabilities.

A threat is blocked by control of a vulnerability.

Before we can protect assets, we need to know the kinds of harm we have to protect them against, so now we explore threats to valuable assets.

THREATS 1.2

We can consider potential harm to assets in two ways: First, we can look at what bad things can happen to assets, and second, we can look at who or what can cause or allow those bad things to happen. These two perspectives enable us to determine how to protect assets.

Think for a moment about what makes your computer valuable to you. First, you use it as a tool for sending and receiving email, searching the web, writing papers, and performing many other tasks, and you expect it to be available for use when you want it. Without your computer these tasks would be harder, if not impossible. Second, you rely heavily on your computer's integrity. When you write a paper and save it, you trust that the paper will reload exactly as you saved it. Similarly, you expect that the photo a friend passes you on a flash drive will appear the same when you load it into your computer as when you saw it on your friend's computer. Finally, you expect the "personal" aspect of a personal computer to stay personal, meaning you want it to protect your confidentiality. For example, you want your email messages to be just between you and your listed recipients; you don't want them broadcast to other people. And when you write an essay, you expect that no one can copy it without your permission.

These three aspects, confidentiality, integrity, and availability, make your computer valuable to you. But viewed from another perspective, they are three possible ways to make it less valuable, that is, to cause you harm. If someone steals your computer, scrambles data on your disk, or looks at your private data files, the value of your computer has been diminished or your computer use has been harmed. These characteristics are both basic security properties and the objects of security threats.

We can define these three properties as follows.

- **availability:** the ability of a system to ensure that an asset can be used by any authorized parties
- **integrity:** the ability of a system to ensure that an asset is modified only by authorized parties
- **confidentiality:** the ability of a system to ensure that an asset is viewed only by authorized parties

These three properties, hallmarks of solid security, appear in the literature as early as James P. Anderson's essay on computer security [AND73] and reappear frequently in more recent computer security papers and discussions. Taken together (and rearranged), the properties are called the **C-I-A triad** or the **security triad**. ISO 7498-2 [ISO89] adds to them two more properties that are desirable, particularly in communication networks:

- authentication: the ability of a system to confirm the identity of a sender
- **nonrepudiation** or **accountability:** the ability of a system to confirm that a sender cannot convincingly deny having sent something

The U.S. Department of Defense [DOD85] adds auditability: the ability of a system to trace all actions related to a given asset. The C-I-A triad forms a foundation for thinking about security. Authenticity and nonrepudiation extend security notions to network communications, and auditability is important in establishing individual accountability for computer activity. In this book we generally use the C-I-A triad as our security taxonomy so that we can frame threats, vulnerabilities, and controls in terms of the

C-I-A properties affected. We highlight one of these other properties when it is relevant to a particular threat we are describing. For now, we focus on just the three elements of the triad.

C-I-A triad: confidentiality, integrity, availability

What can happen to harm the confidentiality, integrity, or availability of computer assets? If a thief steals your computer, you no longer have access, so you have lost availability; furthermore, if the thief looks at the pictures or documents you have stored, your confidentiality is compromised. And if the thief changes the content of your music files but then gives them back with your computer, the integrity of your data has been harmed. You can envision many scenarios based around these three properties.



FIGURE 1-5 Four Acts to Cause Security Harm

The C-I-A triad can be viewed from a different perspective: the nature of the harm caused to assets. Harm can also be characterized by four acts: **interception**, **interruption**, **modification**, and **fabrication**. These four acts are depicted in Figure 1-5. From this point of view, confidentiality can suffer if someone intercepts data, availability is lost if someone or something interrupts a flow of data or access to a computer, and integrity can fail if someone or something modifies data or fabricates false data. Thinking of these four kinds of acts can help you determine what threats might exist against the computers you are trying to protect.

To analyze harm, we next refine the C-I-A triad, looking more closely at each of its elements.

Confidentiality

Some things obviously need confidentiality protection. For example, students' grades, financial transactions, medical records, and tax returns are sensitive. A proud student may run out of a classroom screaming "I got an A!" but the student should be the one to choose whether to reveal that grade to others. Other things, such as diplomatic and military secrets, companies' marketing and product development plans, and educators' tests, also must be carefully controlled. Sometimes, however, it is not so obvious that something is sensitive. For example, a military food order may seem like innocuous information, but a sudden increase in the order could be a sign of incipient engagement in conflict. Purchases of food, hourly changes in location, and access to books are not

things you would ordinarily consider confidential, but they can reveal something that someone wants to be kept confidential.

The definition of confidentiality is straightforward: Only authorized people or systems can access protected data. However, as we see in later chapters, ensuring confidentiality can be difficult. For example, who determines which people or systems are authorized to access the current system? By "accessing" data, do we mean that an authorized party can access a single bit? the whole collection? pieces of data out of context? Can someone who is authorized disclose data to other parties? Sometimes there is even a question of who owns the data: If you visit a web page, do you own the fact that you clicked on a link, or does the web page owner, the Internet provider, someone else, or all of you?

In spite of these complicating examples, confidentiality is the security property we understand best because its meaning is narrower than that of the other two. We also understand confidentiality well because we can relate computing examples to those of preserving confidentiality in the real world.

Confidentiality relates most obviously to data, although we can think of the confidentiality of a piece of hardware (a novel invention) or a person (the whereabouts of a wanted criminal). Here are some properties that could mean a failure of data confidentiality:

- · An unauthorized person accesses a data item.
- An unauthorized process or program accesses a data item.
- A person authorized to access certain data accesses other data not authorized (which is a specialized version of "an unauthorized person accesses a data item").
- An unauthorized person accesses an approximate data value (for example, not knowing someone's exact salary but knowing that the salary falls in a particular range or exceeds a particular amount).
- An unauthorized person learns the existence of a piece of data (for example, knowing that a company is developing a certain new product or that talks are underway about the merger of two companies).

Notice the general pattern of these statements: A person, process, or program is (or is not) authorized to access a data item in a particular way. We call the person, process, or program a **subject**, the data item an **object**, the kind of access (such as read, write, or execute) an **access mode**, and the authorization a **policy**, as shown in Figure 1-6. These four terms reappear throughout this book because they are fundamental aspects of computer security.

One word that captures most aspects of confidentiality is *view*, although you should not take that term literally. A failure of confidentiality does not necessarily mean that someone sees an object and, in fact, it is virtually impossible to look at bits in any meaningful way (although you may look at their representation as characters or pictures). The word view does connote another aspect of confidentiality in computer security, through the association with viewing a movie or a painting in a museum: look but do not touch. In computer security, confidentiality usually means obtaining but not modifying. Modification is the subject of integrity, which we consider in the next section.



FIGURE 1-6 Access Control

Integrity

Examples of integrity failures are easy to find. A number of years ago a malicious macro in a Word document inserted the word "not" after some random instances of the word "is;" you can imagine the havoc that ensued. Because the document was generally syntactically correct, people did not immediately detect the change. In another case, a model of the Pentium computer chip produced an incorrect result in certain circumstances of floating-point arithmetic. Although the circumstances of failure were rare, Intel decided to manufacture and replace the chips. Many of us receive mail that is misaddressed because someone typed something wrong when transcribing from a written list. A worse situation occurs when that inaccuracy is propagated to other mailing lists such that we can never seem to correct the root of the problem. Other times we find that a spreadsheet seems to be wrong, only to find that someone typed "space 123" in a cell, changing it from a numeric value to text, so the spreadsheet program misused that cell in computation. Suppose someone converted numeric data to roman numerals: One could argue that IV is the same as 4, but IV would not be useful in most applications, nor would it be obviously meaningful to someone expecting 4 as an answer. These cases show some of the breadth of examples of integrity failures.

Integrity is harder to pin down than confidentiality. As Stephen Welke and Terry Mayfield [WEL90, MAY91, NCS91a] point out, integrity means different things in different contexts. When we survey the way some people use the term, we find several

different meanings. For example, if we say that we have preserved the integrity of an item, we may mean that the item is

- precise
- accurate
- unmodified
- · modified only in acceptable ways
- modified only by authorized people
- · modified only by authorized processes
- consistent
- · internally consistent
- meaningful and usable

Integrity can also mean two or more of these properties. Welke and Mayfield recognize three particular aspects of integrity—authorized actions, separation and protection of resources, and error detection and correction. Integrity can be enforced in much the same way as can confidentiality: by rigorous control of who or what can access which resources in what ways.

Availability

A computer user's worst nightmare: You turn on the switch and the computer does nothing. Your data and programs are presumably still there, but you cannot get at them. Fortunately, few of us experience that failure. Many of us do experience overload, however: access gets slower and slower; the computer responds but not in a way we consider normal or acceptable.

Availability applies both to data and to services (that is, to information and to information processing), and it is similarly complex. As with the notion of confidentiality, different people expect availability to mean different things. For example, an object or service is thought to be available if the following are true:

- It is present in a usable form.
- It has enough capacity to meet the service's needs.
- It is making clear progress, and, if in wait mode, it has a bounded waiting time.
- The service is completed in an acceptable period of time.

We can construct an overall description of availability by combining these goals. Following are some criteria to define availability.

- There is a timely response to our request.
- Resources are allocated fairly so that some requesters are not favored over others.
- Concurrency is controlled; that is, simultaneous access, deadlock management, and exclusive access are supported as required.

- The service or system involved follows a philosophy of fault tolerance, whereby hardware or software faults lead to graceful cessation of service or to work-arounds rather than to crashes and abrupt loss of information. (Cessation does mean end; whether it is graceful or not, ultimately the system is unavailable. However, with fair warning of the system's stopping, the user may be able to move to another system and continue work.)
- The service or system can be used easily and in the way it was intended to be used. (This is a characteristic of usability, but an unusable system may also cause an availability failure.)

As you can see, expectations of availability are far-reaching. In Figure 1-7 we depict some of the properties with which availability overlaps. Indeed, the security community is just beginning to understand what availability implies and how to ensure it.

A person or system can do three basic things with a data item: view it, modify it, or use it. Thus, viewing (confidentiality), modifying (integrity), and using (availability) are the basic modes of access that computer security seeks to preserve.

Computer security seeks to prevent unauthorized viewing (confidentiality) or modification (integrity) of data while preserving access (availability).

A paradigm of computer security is **access control**: To implement a policy, computer security controls all accesses by all subjects to all protected objects in all modes of access. A small, centralized control of access is fundamental to preserving confidentiality and integrity, but it is not clear that a single access control point can enforce availability. Indeed, experts on dependability will note that single points of control can become single points of failure, making it easy for an attacker to destroy availability by disabling the single control point. Much of computer security's past success has focused on confidentiality and integrity; there are models of confidentiality and integrity, for



FIGURE 1-7 Availability and Related Aspects

example, see David Bell and Leonard La Padula [BEL73, BEL76] and Kenneth Biba [BIB77]. Availability is security's next great challenge.

We have just described the C-I-A triad and the three fundamental security properties it represents. Our description of these properties was in the context of things that need protection. To motivate your understanding we gave some examples of harm and threats to cause harm. Our next step is to think about the nature of threats themselves.

Types of Threats

For some ideas of harm, look at Figure 1-8, taken from Willis Ware's report [WAR70]. Although it was written when computers were so big, so expensive, and so difficult to operate that only large organizations like universities, major corporations, or government departments would have one, Ware's discussion is still instructive today. Ware was concerned primarily with the protection of classified data, that is, preserving confidentiality. In the figure, he depicts humans such as programmers and maintenance staff gaining access to data, as well as radiation by which data can escape as signals. From the figure you can see some of the many kinds of threats to a computer system.

One way to analyze harm is to consider the cause or source. We call a potential cause

of harm a **threat**. Harm can be caused by either nonhuman events or humans. Examples of **nonhuman threats** include natural disasters

Threats are caused both by human and other sources.



FIGURE 1-8 Computer [Network] Vulnerabilities (from [WAR70])

like fires or floods; loss of electrical power; failure of a component such as a communications cable, processor chip, or disk drive; or attack by a wild boar.

Human threats can be either benign (nonmalicious) or malicious. **Nonmalicious** kinds of harm include someone's accidentally spilling a soft drink on a laptop, unintentionally deleting text, inadvertently sending an email message to the wrong person, and carelessly typing "12" instead of "21" when entering a phone number or clicking "yes" instead of "no" to overwrite a file. These inadvertent, human errors happen to

most people; we just hope that the seriousness of harm is not too great, or if it is, that we will not repeat the mistake.

Threats can be malicious or not.

Most computer security activity relates to **malicious**, **human-caused harm**: A malicious person actually wants to cause harm, and so we often use the term *attack* for a malicious computer security event. Malicious attacks can be random or directed. In a **random attack** the attacker wants to harm any computer or user; such an attack is analogous to accosting the next pedestrian who walks down the street. An example of a random attack is malicious code posted on a website that could be visited by anybody.

In a **directed attack**, the attacker intends harm to specific computers, perhaps at one organization (think of attacks against a political organization) or belonging to a specific individual (think of trying to drain a specific person's bank account, for example, by impersonation). Another class of directed attack is against a particular product, such as any computer running a particular browser. (We do not want to split hairs about whether such an attack is directed—at that one software product—or random, against any user of that product; the point is not semantic perfection but protecting against the attacks.)

The range of possible directed attacks is practically unlimited. Different kinds of threats are shown in Figure 1-9.

Threats can be targeted or random.

Although the distinctions shown in Figure 1-9 seem clear-cut, sometimes the nature of an attack is not obvious until the attack is well underway, or perhaps even ended. A normal hardware failure can seem like a directed, malicious attack to deny access, and hackers often try to conceal their activity to look like ordinary, authorized users. As computer security experts we need to anticipate what bad things might happen, instead of waiting for the attack to happen or debating whether the attack is intentional or accidental.

Neither this book nor any checklist or method can show you *all* the kinds of harm that can happen to computer assets. There are too many ways to interfere with your use of these assets. Two retrospective lists of *known* vulnerabilities are of interest, however. The Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE) list (see http://cve.mitre.org/) is a dictionary of publicly known security vulnerabilities and exposures. CVE's common identifiers enable data exchange between security products and provide a baseline index point for evaluating coverage of security tools and services. To measure the extent of harm, the Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS) (see http://nvd.nist.gov/ cvss.cfm) provides a standard measurement system that allows accurate and consistent scoring of vulnerability impact.



FIGURE 1-9 Kinds of Threats

Advanced Persistent Threat

Security experts are becoming increasingly concerned about a type of threat called **advanced persistent threat**. A lone attacker might create a random attack that snares a few, or a few million, individuals, but the resulting impact is limited to what that single attacker can organize and manage. A collection of attackers—think, for example, of the cyber equivalent of a street gang or an organized crime squad—might work together to purloin credit card numbers or similar financial assets to fund other illegal activity. Such attackers tend to be opportunistic, picking unlucky victims' pockets and moving on to other activities.

Advanced persistent threat attacks come from organized, well financed, patient assailants. Often affiliated with governments or quasi-governmental groups, these attackers engage in long term campaigns. They carefully select their targets, crafting attacks that appeal to specifically those targets; email messages called spear phishing (described in Chapter 4) are intended to seduce their recipients. Typically the attacks are silent, avoiding any obvious impact that would alert a victim, thereby allowing the attacker to exploit the victim's access rights over a long time.

The motive of such attacks is sometimes unclear. One popular objective is economic espionage. A series of attacks, apparently organized and supported by the Chinese government, was used in 2012 and 2013 to obtain product designs from aerospace companies in the United States. There is evidence the stub of the attack code was loaded into victim machines long in advance of the attack; then, the attackers installed the more complex code and extracted the desired data. In May 2014 the Justice Department indicted five Chinese hackers in absentia for these attacks. In the summer of 2014 a series of attacks against J.P. Morgan Chase bank and up to a dozen similar financial institutions allowed the assailants access to 76 million names, phone numbers, and email addresses. The attackers—and even their country of origin remain unknown, as does the motive. Perhaps the attackers wanted more sensitive financial data, such as account numbers or passwords, but were only able to get the less valuable contact information. It is also not known if this attack was related to an attack a year earlier that disrupted service to that bank and several others.

To imagine the full landscape of possible attacks, you may find it useful to consider the kinds of people who attack computer systems. Although potentially anyone is an attacker, certain classes of people stand out because of their backgrounds or objectives. Thus, in the following sections we look at profiles of some classes of attackers.

Types of Attackers

Who are attackers? As we have seen, their motivations range from chance to a specific target. Putting aside attacks from natural and benign causes, we can explore who the attackers are and what motivates them.

Most studies of attackers actually analyze computer criminals, that is, people who have actually been convicted of a crime, primarily because that group is easy to identify and study. The ones who got away or who carried off an attack without being detected may have characteristics different from those of the criminals who have been caught. Worse, by studying only the criminals we have caught, we may not learn how to catch attackers who know how to abuse the system without being apprehended.

What does a cyber criminal look like? In television and films the villains wore shabby clothes, looked mean and sinister, and lived in gangs somewhere out of town. By contrast, the sheriff dressed well, stood proud and tall, was known and respected by everyone in town, and struck fear in the hearts of most criminals.

To be sure, some computer criminals are mean and sinister types. But many more wear business suits, have university degrees, and appear to be pillars of their communities. Some are high school or university students. Others are middle-aged business executives. Some are mentally deranged, overtly hostile, or extremely committed to a cause, and they attack computers as a symbol. Others are ordinary people tempted by personal profit, revenge, challenge, advancement, or job security—like perpetrators of any crime, using a computer or not. Researchers have tried to find the psychological traits that distinguish attackers, as described in Sidebar 1-1. These studies are far from conclusive, however, and the traits they identify may show correlation but not necessarily causality. To appreciate this point, suppose a study found that a disproportionate number of people convicted of computer crime were left-handed. Does that result imply that all left-handed people are computer criminals or that only left-handed people are? Certainly not. No single profile captures the characteristics of a "typical" computer attacker, and the characteristics of some notorious attackers also match many people

who are not attackers. As shown in Figure 1-10, attackers look just like anybody in a crowd.

No one pattern matches all attackers.





SIDEBAR 1-1 An Attacker's Psychological Profile?

Temple Grandin, a professor of animal science at Colorado State University and a sufferer from a mental disorder called Asperger syndrome (AS), thinks that Kevin Mitnick and several other widely described hackers show classic symptoms of Asperger syndrome. Although quick to point out that no research has established a link between AS and hacking, Grandin notes similar behavior traits among Mitnick, herself, and other AS sufferers. An article in USA Today (29 March 2001) lists the following AS traits:

- poor social skills, often associated with being loners during childhood; the classic "computer nerd"
- fidgeting, restlessness, inability to make eye contact, lack of response to cues in social interaction, such as facial expressions or body language
- exceptional ability to remember long strings of numbers
- ability to focus on a technical problem intensely and for a long time, although easily distracted on other problems and unable to manage several tasks at once
- deep honesty and respect for laws

(continues)

SIDEBAR 1-1 Continued

Donn Parker [PAR98] has studied hacking and computer crime for many years. He states "hackers are characterized by an immature, excessively idealistic attitude . . . They delight in presenting themselves to the media as idealistic do-gooders, champions of the underdog."

Consider the following excerpt from an interview [SHA00] with "Mixter," the German programmer who admitted he was the author of a widespread piece of attack software called Tribal Flood Network (TFN) and its sequel TFN2K:

- Q: Why did you write the software?
- A: I first heard about Trin00 [another piece of attack software] in July '99 and I considered it as interesting from a technical perspective, but also potentially powerful in a negative way. I knew some facts of how Trin00 worked, and since I didn't manage to get Trin00 sources or binaries at that time, I wrote my own server-client network that was capable of performing denial of service.
- Q: Were you involved . . . in any of the recent high-profile attacks?
- A: No. The fact that I authored these tools does in no way mean that I condone their active use. I must admit I was quite shocked to hear about the latest attacks. It seems that the attackers are pretty clueless people who misuse powerful resources and tools for generally harmful and senseless activities just "because they can."

Notice that from some information about denial-of-service attacks, he wrote his own server-client network and then a sophisticated attack. But he was "quite shocked" to hear they were used for harm.

More research is needed before we can define the profile of a hacker. And even more work will be needed to extend that profile to the profile of a (malicious) attacker. Not all hackers become attackers; some hackers become extremely dedicated and conscientious system administrators, developers, or security experts. But some psychologists see in AS the rudiments of a hacker's profile.

Individuals

Originally, computer attackers were individuals, acting with motives of fun, challenge, or revenge. Early attackers acted alone. Two of the most well known among them are Robert Morris Jr., the Cornell University graduate student who brought down the Internet in 1988 [SPA89], and Kevin Mitnick, the man who broke into and stole data from dozens of computers, including the San Diego Supercomputer Center [MAR95].

Organized, Worldwide Groups

More recent attacks have involved groups of people. An attack against the government of the country of Estonia (described in more detail in Chapter 13) is believed to have been an uncoordinated outburst from a loose federation of attackers from around the world. Kevin Poulsen [POU05] quotes Tim Rosenberg, a research professor at George

Washington University, warning of "multinational groups of hackers backed by organized crime" and showing the sophistication of prohibition-era mobsters. He also reports that Christopher Painter, deputy director of the U.S. Department of Justice's computer crime section, argues that cyber criminals and serious fraud artists are increasingly working in concert or are one and the same. According to Painter, loosely connected groups of criminals all over the world work together to break into systems and steal and sell information, such as credit card numbers. For instance, in October 2004, U.S. and Canadian authorities arrested 28 people from 6 countries involved in an international, organized cybercrime ring to buy and sell credit card information and identities.

Whereas early motives for computer attackers such as Morris and Mitnick were personal, such as prestige or accomplishment, recent attacks have been heavily influenced by financial gain. Security firm McAfee reports "Criminals have realized the huge financial gains to be made from the Internet with little risk. They bring the skills, knowledge, and connections needed for large scale, high-value criminal enterprise that, when combined with computer skills, expand the scope and risk of cybercrime." [MCA05]

Organized Crime

Attackers' goals include fraud, extortion, money laundering, and drug trafficking, areas in which organized crime has a well-established presence. Evidence is growing that organized crime groups are engaging in computer crime. In fact, traditional criminals are recruiting hackers to join the lucrative world of cybercrime. For example, Albert Gonzales was sentenced in March 2010 to 20 years in prison for working with a crime ring to steal 40 million credit card numbers from retailer TJMaxx and others, costing over \$200 million (*Reuters*, 26 March 2010).

Organized crime may use computer crime (such as stealing credit card numbers or bank account details) to finance other aspects of crime. Recent attacks suggest that professional criminals have discovered just how lucrative computer crime can be. Mike Danseglio, a security project manager with Microsoft, said, "In 2006, the attackers want to pay the rent. They don't want to write a worm that destroys your hardware. They want to assimilate your computers and use them to make money." [NAR06a] Mikko Hyppönen, Chief Research Officer with Finnish security company f-Secure, agrees that today's attacks often come from Russia, Asia, and Brazil; the motive is now profit, not fame [BRA06]. Ken Dunham, Director of the Rapid Response Team for VeriSign

says he is "convinced that groups of well-organized mobsters have taken control of a global billion-dollar crime network powered by skillful hackers." [NAR06b]

Organized crime groups are discovering that computer crime can be lucrative.

McAfee also describes the case of a hacker-for-hire: a businessman who hired a 16-year-old New Jersey hacker to attack the websites of his competitors. The hacker barraged the site for a five-month period and damaged not only the target companies but also their Internet service providers (ISPs) and other unrelated companies that used the same ISPs. By FBI estimates, the attacks cost all the companies over \$2 million; the FBI arrested both hacker and businessman in March 2005 [MCA05].

Brian Snow [SNO05] observes that hackers want a score or some kind of evidence to give them bragging rights. Organized crime wants a resource; such criminals want to stay under the radar to be able to extract profit from the system over time. These different objectives lead to different approaches to computer crime: The novice hacker can use a crude attack, whereas the professional attacker wants a neat, robust, and undetectable method that can deliver rewards for a long time.

Terrorists

The link between computer security and terrorism is quite evident. We see terrorists using computers in four ways:

- *Computer as target of attack*: Denial-of-service attacks and website defacements are popular activities for any political organization because they attract attention to the cause and bring undesired negative attention to the object of the attack. An example is the massive denial-of-service attack launched against the country of Estonia, detailed in Chapter 13.
- Computer as method of attack: Launching offensive attacks requires the use of computers. Stuxnet, an example of malicious computer code called a worm, is known to attack automated control systems, specifically a model of control system manufactured by Siemens. Experts say the code is designed to disable machinery used in the control of nuclear reactors in Iran [MAR10]. The persons behind the attack are unknown, but the infection is believed to have spread through USB flash drives brought in by engineers maintaining the computer controllers. (We examine the Stuxnet worm in more detail in Chapters 6 and 13.)
- *Computer as enabler of attack*: Websites, web logs, and email lists are effective, fast, and inexpensive ways to allow many people to coordinate. According to the Council on Foreign Relations, the terrorists responsible for the November 2008 attack that killed over 200 people in Mumbai used GPS systems to guide their boats, Blackberries for their communication, and Google Earth to plot their routes.
- *Computer as enhancer of attack*: The Internet has proved to be an invaluable means for terrorists to spread propaganda and recruit agents. In October 2009 the FBI arrested Colleen LaRose, also known as JihadJane, after she had spent months using email, YouTube, MySpace, and electronic message boards to recruit radicals in Europe and South Asia to "wage violent jihad," according to a federal indictment.

We cannot accurately measure the degree to which terrorists use computers, because terrorists keep secret the nature of their activities and because our definitions and measurement tools are rather weak. Still, incidents like the one described in Sidebar 1-2 provide evidence that all four of these activities are increasing.

SIDEBAR 1-2 The Terrorists, Inc., IT Department

In 2001, a reporter for the *Wall Street Journal* bought a used computer in Afghanistan. Much to his surprise, he found that the hard drive contained what appeared to be files from a senior al Qaeda operative. The reporter,

Alan Cullison [CUL04], reports that he turned the computer over to the FBI. In his story published in 2004 in *The Atlantic*, he carefully avoids revealing anything he thinks might be sensitive.

The disk contained over 1,000 documents, many of them encrypted with relatively weak encryption. Cullison found draft mission plans and white papers setting forth ideological and philosophical arguments for the attacks of 11 September 2001. Also found were copies of news stories on terrorist activities. Some of the found documents indicated that al Qaeda was not originally interested in chemical, biological, or nuclear weapons, but became interested after reading public news articles accusing al Qaeda of having those capabilities.

Perhaps most unexpected were email messages of the kind one would find in a typical office: recommendations for promotions, justifications for petty cash expenditures, and arguments concerning budgets.

The computer appears to have been used by al Qaeda from 1999 to 2001. Cullison notes that Afghanistan in late 2001 was a scene of chaos, and it is likely the laptop's owner fled quickly, leaving the computer behind, where it fell into the hands of a secondhand goods merchant who did not know its contents.

But this computer's contents illustrate an important aspect of computer security and confidentiality: We can never predict the time at which a security disaster will strike, and thus we must always be prepared to act immediately if it suddenly happens.

If someone on television sneezes, you do not worry about the possibility of catching a cold. But if someone standing next to you sneezes, you may become concerned. In the next section we examine the harm that can come from the presence of a computer security threat on your own computer systems.

1.3 HARM

The negative consequence of an actualized threat is **harm**; we protect ourselves against threats in order to reduce or eliminate harm. We have already described many examples of computer harm: a stolen computer, modified or lost file, revealed private letter, or denied access to data. These events cause harm that we want to avoid.

In our earlier discussion of assets, we noted that value depends on owner or outsider perception and need. Some aspects of value are immeasurable, such as the value of the paper you need to submit to your professor tomorrow; if you lose the paper (that is, if its availability is lost), no amount of money will compensate you for it. Items on which you place little or no value might be more valuable to someone else; for example, the group photograph taken at last night's party can reveal that your friend was not where he told his wife he would be. Even though it may be difficult to assign a specific number as the value of an asset, you can usually assign a value on a generic scale, such as moderate or minuscule or incredibly high, depending on the degree of harm that loss or damage to the object would cause. Or you can assign a value relative to other assets, based on comparable loss: This version of the file is more valuable to you than that version.

In their 2010 global Internet threat report, security firm Symantec surveyed the kinds of goods and services offered for sale on underground web pages. The item most frequently offered in both 2009 and 2008 was credit card numbers, at prices ranging from \$0.85 to \$30.00 each. (Compare those prices to an individual's effort to deal with the effect of a stolen credit card or the potential amount lost by the issuing bank.) Second most frequent was bank account credentials, at \$15 to \$850; these were offered for sale at 19% of websites in both years. Email accounts were next at \$1 to \$20, and lists of email addresses went for \$1.70 to \$15.00 per thousand. At position 10 in 2009 were website administration credentials, costing only \$2 to \$30. These black market websites demonstrate that the market price of computer assets can be dramatically different from their value to rightful owners.

The value of many assets can change over time, so the degree of harm (and therefore the severity of a threat) can change, too. With unlimited time, money, and capability, we might try to protect against all kinds of harm. But because our resources are limited, we must prioritize our protection, safeguarding only against serious threats and the

ones we can control. Choosing the threats we try to mitigate involves a process called **risk management**, and it includes weighing the seriousness of a threat against our ability to protect.

Risk management involves choosing which threats to control and what resources to devote to protection.

Risk and Common Sense

The number and kinds of threats are practically unlimited because devising an attack requires an active imagination, determination, persistence, and time (as well as access and resources). The nature and number of threats in the computer world reflect life in general: The causes of harm are limitless and largely unpredictable. Natural disasters like volcanoes and earthquakes happen with little or no warning, as do auto accidents, heart attacks, influenza, and random acts of violence. To protect against accidents or the flu, you might decide to stay indoors, never venturing outside. But by doing so, you trade one set of risks for another; while you are inside, you are vulnerable to building collapse. There are too many possible causes of harm for us to protect ourselves—or our computers—completely against all of them.

In real life we make decisions every day about the best way to provide our security. For example, although we may choose to live in an area that is not prone to earthquakes, we cannot entirely eliminate earthquake risk. Some choices are conscious, such as deciding not to walk down a dark alley in an unsafe neighborhood; other times our subconscious guides us, from experience or expertise, to take some precaution. We evaluate the likelihood and severity of harm, and then consider ways (called countermeasures or controls) to address threats and determine the controls' effectiveness.

Computer security is similar. Because we cannot protect against everything, we prioritize: Only so much time, energy, or money is available for protection, so we address some risks and let others slide. Or we consider alternative courses of action, such as transferring risk by purchasing insurance or even doing nothing if the side effects of the countermeasure could be worse than the possible harm. The risk that remains uncovered by controls is called **residual risk**.

A basic model of risk management involves a user's calculating the value of all assets, determining the amount of harm from all possible threats, computing the costs of protection, selecting safeguards (that is, controls or countermeasures) based on the degree of risk and on limited resources, and applying the safeguards to optimize harm averted. This approach to risk management is a logical and sensible approach to protection, but it has significant drawbacks. In reality, it is difficult to assess the value of each asset; as we have seen, value can change depending on context, timing, and a host of other characteristics. Even harder is determining the impact of all possible threats. The range of possible threats is effectively limitless, and it is difficult (if not impossible in some situations) to know the short- and long-term impacts of an action. For instance, Sidebar 1-3 describes a study of the impact of security breaches over time on corporate finances, showing that a threat must be evaluated over time, not just at a single instance.

SIDEBAR 1-3 Short- and Long-term Risks of Security Breaches

It was long assumed that security breaches would be bad for business: that customers, fearful of losing their data, would veer away from insecure businesses and toward more secure ones. But empirical studies suggest that the picture is more complicated. Early studies of the effects of security breaches, such as that of Campbell [CAM03], examined the effects of breaches on stock price. They found that a breach's impact could depend on the nature of the breach itself; the effects were higher when the breach involved unauthorized access to confidential data. Cavusoglu et al. [CAV04] discovered that a breach affects the value not only of the company experiencing the breach but also of security enterprises: On average, the breached firms lost 2.1 percent of market value within two days of the breach's disclosure, but security developers' *market* value actually *increased* 1.36 percent.

Myung Ko and Carlos Dorantes [KO06] looked at the longer-term financial effects of publicly announced breaches. Based on the Campbell et al. study, they examined data for four quarters following the announcement of unauthorized access to confidential data. Ko and Dorantes note many types of possible breach-related costs:

"Examples of short-term costs include cost of repairs, cost of replacement of the system, lost business due to the disruption of business operations, and lost productivity of employees. These are also considered tangible costs. On the other hand, long-term costs include the loss of existing customers due to loss of trust, failing to attract potential future customers due to negative reputation

(continues)

SIDEBAR 1-3 Continued

from the breach, loss of business partners due to loss of trust, and potential legal liabilities from the breach. Most of these costs are intangible costs that are difficult to calculate but extremely important in assessing the overall security breach costs to the organization."

Ko and Dorantes compared two groups of companies: one set (the treatment group) with data breaches, and the other (the control group) without a breach but matched for size and industry. Their findings were striking. Contrary to what you might suppose, the breached firms had no decrease in performance for the quarters following the breach, but their return on assets decreased in the third quarter. The comparison of treatment with control companies revealed that the control firms generally outperformed the breached firms. However, the breached firms outperformed the control firms in the fourth quarter.

These results are consonant with the results of other researchers who conclude that there is minimal long-term economic impact from a security breach. There are many reasons why this is so. For example, customers may think that all competing firms have the same vulnerabilities and threats, so changing to another vendor does not reduce the risk. Another possible explanation may be a perception that a breached company has better security since the breach forces the company to strengthen controls and thus reduce the likelihood of similar breaches. Yet another explanation may simply be the customers' short attention span; as time passes, customers forget about the breach and return to business as usual.

All these studies have limitations, including small sample sizes and lack of sufficient data. But they clearly demonstrate the difficulties of quantifying and verifying the impacts of security risks, and point out a difference between short- and long-term effects.

Although we should not apply protection haphazardly, we will necessarily protect against threats we consider most likely or most damaging. For this reason, it is essential to understand how we perceive threats and evaluate their likely occurrence and impact. Sidebar 1-4 summarizes some of the relevant research in risk perception and decision-making. Such research suggests that, for relatively rare instances such as high-impact security problems, we must take into account the ways in which people focus more on the impact than on the actual likelihood of occurrence.

SIDEBAR 1-4 Perception of the Risk of Extreme Events

When a type of adverse event happens frequently, we can calculate its likelihood and impact by examining both frequency and nature of the collective set of events. For instance, we can calculate the likelihood that it will

rain this week and take an educated guess at the number of inches of precipitation we will receive; rain is a fairly frequent occurrence. But security problems are often extreme events: They happen infrequently and under a wide variety of circumstances, so it is difficult to look at them as a group and draw general conclusions.

Paul Slovic's work on risk addresses the particular difficulties with extreme events. He points out that evaluating risk in such cases can be a political endeavor as much as a scientific one. He notes that we tend to let values, process, power, and trust influence our risk analysis [SLO99].

Beginning with Fischoff et al. [FIS78], researchers characterized extreme risk along two perception-based axes: the dread of the risk and the degree to which the risk is unknown. These feelings about risk, called *affects* by psychologists, enable researchers to discuss relative risks by placing them on a plane defined by the two perceptions as axes. A study by Loewenstein et al. [LOE01] describes how risk perceptions are influenced by association (with events already experienced) and by affect at least as much if not more than by reason. In fact, if the two influences compete, feelings usually trump reason.

This characteristic of risk analysis is reinforced by prospect theory: studies of how people make decisions by using reason and feeling. Kahneman and Tversky [KAH79] showed that people tend to overestimate the likelihood of rare, unexperienced events because their feelings of dread and the unknown usually dominate analytical reasoning about the low likelihood of occurrence. By contrast, if people experience similar outcomes and their likelihood, their feeling of dread diminishes and they can actually underestimate rare events. In other words, if the impact of a rare event is high (high dread), then people focus on the impact, regardless of the likelihood. But if the impact of a rare event is small, then they pay attention to the likelihood.

Let us look more carefully at the nature of a security threat. We have seen that one aspect—its potential harm—is the amount of damage it can cause; this aspect is the **impact** component of the risk. We also consider the magnitude of the threat's **likelihood**. A likely threat is not just one that someone might want to pull off but rather one that could actually occur. Some people might daydream about getting rich by robbing a bank; most, however, would reject that idea because of its difficulty (if not its immorality or risk). One aspect of likelihood is feasibility: Is it even possible to accomplish

the attack? If the answer is no, then the likelihood is zero, and therefore so is the risk. So a good place to start in assessing risk is to look at whether the proposed action is feasible. Three factors determine feasibility, as we describe next.

Spending for security is based on the impact and likelihood of potential harm—both of which are nearly impossible to measure precisely.

Method-Opportunity-Motive

A malicious attacker must have three things to ensure success: method, opportunity, and motive, depicted in Figure 1-11. Roughly speaking, method is the how; opportunity, the when; and motive, the why of an attack. Deny the attacker any of those three and the attack will not succeed. Let us examine these properties individually.

Method

By **method** we mean the skills, knowledge, tools, and other things with which to perpetrate the attack. Think of comic figures that want to do something, for example, to steal valuable jewelry, but the characters are so inept that their every move is doomed to fail. These people lack the capability or method to succeed, in part because there are no classes in jewel theft or books on burglary for dummies.

Anyone can find plenty of courses and books about computing, however. Knowledge of specific models of computer systems is widely available in bookstores and on

Opportunity



FIGURE 1-11 Method–Opportunity–Motive

the Internet. Mass-market systems (such as the Microsoft or Apple or Unix operating systems) are readily available for purchase, as are common software products, such as word processors or database management systems, so potential attackers can even get hardware and software on which to experiment and perfect an attack. Some manufacturers release detailed specifications on how the system was designed or how it operates, as guides for users and integrators who want to implement other complementary products. Various attack tools—scripts, model programs, and tools to test for weaknesses—are available from hackers' sites on the Internet, to the degree that many attacks require only the attacker's ability to download and run a program. The term **script kid-die** describes someone who downloads a complete attack code package and needs only to enter a few details to identify the target and let the script perform the attack. Often, only time and inclination limit an attacker.

Opportunity

Opportunity is the time and access to execute an attack. You hear that a fabulous apartment has just become available, so you rush to the rental agent, only to find someone else rented it five minutes earlier. You missed your opportunity.

Many computer systems present ample opportunity for attack. Systems available to the public are, by definition, accessible; often their owners take special care to make them fully available so that if one hardware component fails, the owner has spares instantly ready to be pressed into service. Other people are oblivious to the need to protect their computers, so unattended laptops and unsecured network connections give ample opportunity for attack. Some systems have private or undocumented entry points for administration or maintenance, but attackers can also find and use those entry points to attack the systems.

Motive

Finally, an attacker must have a **motive** or reason to want to attack. You probably have ample opportunity and ability to throw a rock through your neighbor's window, but you do not. Why not? Because you have no reason to want to harm your neighbor: You lack motive.

We have already described some of the motives for computer crime: money, fame, self-esteem, politics, terror. It is often difficult to determine motive for an attack. Some places are "attractive targets," meaning they are very appealing to attackers. Popular targets include law enforcement and defense department computers, perhaps because they are presumed to be well protected against attack (so they present a challenge

and a successful attack shows the attacker's prowess). Other systems are attacked because they are easy to attack. And some systems are attacked at random simply because they are there.

Method, opportunity, and motive are all necessary for an attack to succeed; deny any of these and the attack will fail. By demonstrating feasibility, the factors of method, opportunity, and motive determine whether an attack can succeed. These factors give the advantage to the attacker because they are qualities or strengths the attacker must possess. Another factor, this time giving an advantage to the defender, determines whether an attack will succeed: The attacker needs a vulnerability, an undefended place to attack. If the defender removes vulnerabilities, the attacker cannot attack.

1.4 VULNERABILITIES

As we noted earlier in this chapter, a **vulnerability** is a weakness in the security of the computer system, for example, in procedures, design, or implementation, that might be exploited to cause loss or harm. Think of a bank, with an armed guard at the front door, bulletproof glass protecting the tellers, and a heavy metal vault requiring multiple keys for entry. To rob a bank, you would have to think of how to exploit a weakness not covered by these defenses. For example, you might bribe a teller or pose as a maintenance worker.

Computer systems have vulnerabilities, too. In this book we consider many, such as weak authentication, lack of access control, errors in programs, finite or insufficient resources, and inadequate physical protection. Paired with a credible attack, each of

these vulnerabilities can allow harm to confidentiality, integrity, or availability. Each attack vector seeks to exploit a particular vulnerability.

Vulnerabilities are weaknesses that can allow harm to occur.

Security analysts speak of a

system's **attack surface**, which is the system's full set of vulnerabilities—actual and potential. Thus, the attack surface includes physical hazards, malicious attacks by outsiders, stealth data theft by insiders, mistakes, and impersonations. Although such attacks range from easy to highly improbable, analysts must consider all possibilities.

Our next step is to find ways to block threats by neutralizing vulnerabilities.

1.5 CONTROLS

A **control** or **countermeasure** is a means to counter threats. Harm occurs when a threat is realized against a vulnerability. To protect against harm, then, we can neutralize the threat, close the vulnerability, or both. The possibility for harm to occur is called risk. We can deal with harm in several ways:

- prevent it, by blocking the attack or closing the vulnerability
- deter it, by making the attack harder but not impossible
- **deflect** it, by making another target more attractive (or this one less so)
- mitigate it, by making its impact less severe
- detect it, either as it happens or some time after the fact
- recover from its effects

Of course, more than one of these controls can be used simultaneously. So, for example, we might try to prevent intrusions—but if we suspect we cannot prevent all of

them, we might also install a detection device to warn of an imminent attack. And we should have in place incident-response procedures to help in the recovery in case an intrusion does succeed.

Security professionals balance the cost and effectiveness of controls with the likelihood and severity of harm.

To consider the controls or countermeasures that attempt to prevent exploiting a computing system's vulnerabilities, we begin by thinking about traditional ways to enhance physical security. In the Middle Ages, castles and fortresses were built to protect the people and valuable property inside. The fortress might have had one or more security characteristics, including

- · a strong gate or door to repel invaders
- · heavy walls to withstand objects thrown or projected against them
- · a surrounding moat to control access
- · arrow slits to let archers shoot at approaching enemies
- crenellations to allow inhabitants to lean out from the roof and pour hot or vile liquids on attackers
- · a drawbridge to limit access to authorized people
- · a portcullis to limit access beyond the drawbridge
- · gatekeepers to verify that only authorized people and goods could enter

Similarly, today we use a multipronged approach to protect our homes and offices. We may combine strong locks on the doors with a burglar alarm, reinforced windows, and even a nosy neighbor to keep an eye on our valuables. In each case, we select one or more ways to deter an intruder or attacker, and we base our selection not only on the value of what we protect but also on the effort we think an attacker or intruder will expend to get inside.

Computer security has the same characteristics. We have many controls at our disposal. Some are easier than others to use or implement. Some are cheaper than others to use or implement. And some are more difficult than others for intruders to override. Figure 1-12 illustrates how we use a combination of controls to secure our valuable resources. We use one or more controls, according to what we are protecting, how the cost of protection compares with the risk of loss, and how hard we think intruders will work to get what they want.

In this section, we present an overview of the controls available to us. In the rest of this book, we examine how to use controls against specific kinds of threats.

We can group controls into three largely independent classes. The following list shows the classes and several examples of each type of control.

- **Physical controls** stop or block an attack by using something tangible too, such as walls and fences
 - locks





- (human) guards
- sprinklers and other fire extinguishers
- Procedural or administrative controls use a command or agreement that
 - requires or advises people how to act; for example,
 - laws, regulations
 - policies, procedures, guidelines
 - copyrights, patents
 - contracts, agreements
- **Technical controls** counter threats with technology (hardware or software), including
 - passwords
 - program or operating system access controls
 - network protocols
 - firewalls, intrusion detection systems
 - encryption
 - network traffic flow regulators

(Note that the term "logical controls" is also used, but some people use it to mean administrative controls, whereas others use it to mean technical controls. To avoid confusion, we do not use that term.)

As shown in Figure 1-13, you can think in terms of the property to be protected and the kind of threat when you are choosing appropriate types of countermeasures. None of these classes is necessarily better than or preferable to the others; they work in different ways with different kinds of results. And it can be effective to use **overlapping controls** or **defense in depth**: more than one control or more than one class of control to achieve protection.



FIGURE 1-13 Types of Countermeasures

1.6 CONCLUSION

Computer security attempts to ensure the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of computing systems and their components. Three principal parts of a computing system are subject to attacks: hardware, software, and data. These three, and the communications among them, are susceptible to computer security vulnerabilities. In turn, those people and systems interested in compromising a system can devise attacks that exploit the vulnerabilities.

In this chapter we have explained the following computer security concepts:

- Security situations arise in many everyday activities, although sometimes it can be difficult to distinguish between a security attack and an ordinary human or technological breakdown. Alas, clever attackers realize this confusion, so they may make their attack seem like a simple, random failure.
- A threat is an incident that could cause harm. A vulnerability is a weakness through which harm could occur. These two problems combine: Either without the other causes no harm, but a threat exercising a vulnerability means damage. To control such a situation, we can either block or diminish the threat, or close the vulnerability (or both).
- Seldom can we achieve perfect security: no viable threats and no exercisable vulnerabilities. Sometimes we fail to recognize a threat, or other times we may be unable or unwilling to close a vulnerability. Incomplete security is not a bad situation; rather, it demonstrates a balancing act: Control certain threats and vulnerabilities, apply countermeasures that are reasonable, and accept the risk of harm from uncountered cases.

• An attacker needs three things: method—the skill and knowledge to perform a successful attack; opportunity—time and access by which to attack; and motive—a reason to want to attack. Alas, none of these three is in short supply, which means attacks are inevitable.

In this chapter we have introduced the notions of threats and harm, vulnerabilities, attacks and attackers, and countermeasures. Attackers leverage threats that exploit vulnerabilities against valuable assets to cause harm, and we hope to devise countermeasures to eliminate means, opportunity, and motive. These concepts are the basis we need to study, understand, and master computer security.

Countermeasures and controls can be applied to the data, the programs, the system, the physical devices, the communications links, the environment, and the personnel. Sometimes several controls are needed to cover a single vulnerability, but sometimes one control addresses many problems at once.

1.7 WHAT'S NEXT?

The rest of this book is organized around the major aspects or pieces of computer security. As you have certainly seen in almost daily news reports, computer security incidents abound. The nature of news is that failures are often reported, but seldom successes. You almost never read a story about hackers who tried to break into the computing system of a bank but were foiled because the bank had installed strong, layered defenses. In fact, attacks repelled far outnumber those that succeed, but such good situations do not make interesting news items.

Still, we do not want to begin with examples in which security controls failed. Instead, in Chapter 2 we begin by giving you descriptions of three powerful and widely used security protection methods. We call these three our security toolkit, in part because they are effective but also because they are applicable. We refer to these techniques in probably every other chapter of this book, so we want not only to give them a prominent position up front but also to help lodge them in your brain. Our three featured tools are identification and authentication, access control, and encryption.

After presenting these three basic tools we lead into domains in which computer security applies. We begin with the simplest computer situations, individual programs, and explore the problems and protections of computer code in Chapter 3. We also consider malicious code, such as viruses and Trojan horses (defining those terms along with other types of harmful programs). As you will see in other ways, there is no magic that can make bad programs secure or turn programmers into protection gurus. We do, however, point out some vulnerabilities that show up in computer code and describe ways to counter those weaknesses, both during program development and as a program executes.

Modern computing involves networking, especially using the Internet. We focus first on how networked computing affects individuals, primarily through browsers and other basic network interactions such as email. In Chapter 4 we look at how users can be tricked by skillful writers of malicious code. These attacks tend to affect the protection of confidentiality of users' data and integrity of their programs. Chapter 5 covers operating systems, continuing our path of moving away from things the user can see and affect directly. We see what protections operating systems can provide to users' programs and data, most often against attacks on confidentiality or integrity. We also see how the strength of operating systems can be undermined by attacks, called rootkits, that directly target operating systems and render them unable to protect themselves or their users.

In Chapter 6 we return to networks, this time looking at the whole network and its impact on users' abilities to communicate data securely across the network. We also study a type of attack called denial of service, just what its name implies, that is the first major example of a failure of availability.

We consider data, databases, and data mining in Chapter 7. The interesting cases involve large databases in which confidentiality of individuals' private data is an objective. Integrity of the data in the databases is also a significant concern.

In Chapter 8 we move even further from the individual user and study cloud computing, a technology becoming quite popular. Companies are finding it convenient and cost effective to store data "in the cloud," and individuals are doing the same to have shared access to things such as music and photos. There are security risks involved in this movement, however.

You may have noticed our structure: We organize our presentation from the user outward through programs, browsers, operating systems, networks, and the cloud, a progression from close to distant. In Chapter 9 we return to the user for a different reason: We consider privacy, a property closely related to confidentiality. Our treatment here is independent of where the data are: on an individual computer, a network, or a database. Privacy is a property we as humans deserve, and computer security can help preserve it, as we present in that chapter.

In Chapter 10 we look at several topics of management of computing as related to security. Security incidents occur, and computing installations need to be ready to respond, whether the cause is a hacker attack, software catastrophe, or fire. Managers also have to decide what controls to employ, because countermeasures cost money that must be spent wisely. Computer security protection is hard to evaluate: When it works you do not know it does. Performing risk analysis and building a case for security are important management tasks.

Some security protections are beyond the scope an individual can address. Organized crime from foreign countries is something governments must deal with, through a legal system. In Chapter 11 we consider laws affecting computer security. We also look at ethical standards, what is "right" in computing.

In Chapter 12 we return to cryptography, which we introduced in Chapter 2. Cryptography merits courses and textbooks of its own, and the topic is detailed enough that most of the real work in the field is done at the graduate level and beyond. We use Chapter 2 to introduce the concepts enough to be able to apply them. In Chapter 12 we expand upon that introduction and peek at some of the formal and mathematical underpinnings of cryptography.

Finally, in Chapter 13 we raise four topic areas. These are domains with an important need for computer security, although the areas are evolving so rapidly that computer

security may not be addressed as fully as it should. These areas are the so-called Internet of Things (the interconnection of network-enabled devices from toasters to automobiles and insulin pumps), computer security economics, electronic voting, and computer-assisted terrorism and warfare.

We trust this organization will help you to appreciate the richness of an important field that touches many of the things we depend on.

1.8 EXERCISES

- 1. Distinguish between vulnerability, threat, and control.
- **2.** Theft usually results in some kind of harm. For example, if someone steals your car, you may suffer financial loss, inconvenience (by losing your mode of transportation), and emotional upset (because of invasion of your personal property and space). List three kinds of harm a company might experience from theft of computer equipment.
- **3.** List at least three kinds of harm a company could experience from electronic espionage or unauthorized viewing of confidential company materials.
- **4.** List at least three kinds of damage a company could suffer when the integrity of a program or company data is compromised.
- **5.** List at least three kinds of harm a company could encounter from loss of service, that is, failure of availability. List the product or capability to which access is lost, and explain how this loss hurts the company.
- **6.** Describe a situation in which you have experienced harm as a consequence of a failure of computer security. Was the failure malicious or not? Did the attack target you specifically or was it general and you were the unfortunate victim?
- 7. Describe two examples of vulnerabilities in automobiles for which auto manufacturers have instituted controls. Tell why you think these controls are effective, somewhat effective, or ineffective.
- 8. One control against accidental software deletion is to save all old versions of a program. Of course, this control is prohibitively expensive in terms of cost of storage. Suggest a less costly control against accidental software deletion. Is your control effective against all possible causes of software deletion? If not, what threats does it not cover?
- **9.** On your personal computer, who can install programs? Who can change operating system data? Who can replace portions of the operating system? Can any of these actions be performed remotely?
- **10.** Suppose a program to print paychecks secretly leaks a list of names of employees earning more than a certain amount each month. What controls could be instituted to limit the vulnerability of this leakage?
- **11.** Preserving confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data is a restatement of the concern over interruption, interception, modification, and fabrication. How do the first three concepts relate to the last four? That is, is any of the four equivalent to one or more of the three? Is one of the three encompassed by one or more of the four?
- **12.** Do you think attempting to break in to (that is, obtain access to or use of) a computing system without authorization should be illegal? Why or why not?

- **13.** Describe an example (other than the ones mentioned in this chapter) of data whose confidentiality has a short timeliness, say, a day or less. Describe an example of data whose confidentiality has a timeliness of more than a year.
- **14.** Do you currently use any computer security control measures? If so, what? Against what attacks are you trying to protect?
- **15.** Describe an example in which absolute denial of service to a user (that is, the user gets no response from the computer) is a serious problem to that user. Describe another example where 10 percent denial of service to a user (that is, the user's computation progresses, but at a rate 10 percent slower than normal) is a serious problem to that user. Could access by unauthorized people to a computing system result in a 10 percent denial of service to the legitimate users? How?
- **16.** When you say that software is of high quality, what do you mean? How does security fit in your definition of quality? For example, can an application be insecure and still be "good"?
- 17. Developers often think of software quality in terms of faults and failures. Faults are problems (for example, loops that never terminate or misplaced commas in statements) that developers can see by looking at the code. Failures are problems, such as a system crash or the invocation of the wrong function, that are visible to the user. Thus, faults can exist in programs but never become failures, because the conditions under which a fault becomes a failure are never reached. How do software vulnerabilities fit into this scheme of faults and failures? Is every fault a vulnerability? Is every vulnerability a fault?
- **18.** Consider a program to display on your website your city's current time and temperature. Who might want to attack your program? What types of harm might they want to cause? What kinds of vulnerabilities might they exploit to cause harm?
- **19.** Consider a program that allows consumers to order products from the web. Who might want to attack the program? What types of harm might they want to cause? What kinds of vulner-abilities might they exploit to cause harm?
- **20.** Consider a program to accept and tabulate votes in an election. Who might want to attack the program? What types of harm might they want to cause? What kinds of vulnerabilities might they exploit to cause harm?
- **21.** Consider a program that allows a surgeon in one city to assist in an operation on a patient in another city via an Internet connection. Who might want to attack the program? What types of harm might they want to cause? What kinds of vulnerabilities might they exploit to cause harm?

Index

1×1 GIF. 254. 628 802.11 protocols (WiFi), 376 Abstraction, in operating system, 289 Acceptance testing, 211 Access blocked, 399 concurrent, 11 controlled, 283 exclusiveness, 11 log, 74 mediation, 152, 155 mode, 72 point, promiscuous, 386 point, undocumented, 157 point, wireless, 376 rights of individuals, 603 simultaneous, 11 theft of, 750 to data, 8 to data, as asset, 3 tracking, 546 unauthorized physical, 689 Access control, 12, 72, 76, 78, 815 capability, 82 directory, 76 82 ticket. 82 database, 506, 508, 511 device, 284 failure of, 152, 155 file, 284 general object, 284 granularity of, 287, 511 list. 80, 292 matrix, 78 paradigm, 292 physical, 690 privacy, 594, 608

policy, 9 privilege list, 82 procedure-oriented, 85 propagation, 77, 83 revocation, 76 role-based, 85-86 segmentation, 303 Access log, 74 Accountability for private data collection, 597 of asset, 7 versus privacy, 641 Accumulation, of data, 613 Accuracy, 55, 62, 242, 488, 531 authentication, 56 data, 11, 827 data collection, 599 elections, 840 element, database, 513 risk analysis, 685 ACL, see Access control list Activation, process, 320 Ad, third-party, 622 Add subkey, in AES, 790 Add-in, browser, 232 Add-on, security as an, 315 Address hiding, 303 resolution, 414 space randomization, 210 spoofing, 413 translation, page, 306 translation, segment, 303 Addressing 418, 434, 446 advertising routing, 410 failure of, 408 network, 351 stealth mode, 487

878 Index

Adelman, Leonard, 103, 795 Administration, of access control, 73 Administrator, database, 502 Administrator, system, 358 Advanced Encryption Standard (AES), see AES Advanced Persistent Threat, 15 Advertiser, 626 Advertising, and privacy, 629 Advertising, Internet, 622 Adware, 170, 629 Aerospace industry, target of attack, 15 AES (Advanced Encryption Standard), 98, 393, 439, 779, 789.803 cycle in, 99 key length in, 109 speed of encryption, 103 tamper detection, 113 Agent, software, 474 Aggregation, 246 data, 640 database, 526 data mining, 537 personal data, 623 privacy, 618 AH (Authentication Header), see Authentication Header Al Qaeda, 20-21 Alarm, 691 Alarm, intrusion detection system, 483, 484 Aleph One, see Levy, Elias Algebraic inference, database, 525 Algorithm, encryption, 88 Algorithm weakness attack, against encryption, 770 Alias, email, 632 Allocation, device, 281 resource, 286 Alteration, digital signature, 802 Alureon, 334, 336 Amazon GovCloud, 556 Amazon.com, Inc., 631 Ames, Stan, 312 Analysis, of problem, 816 Analysis, risk, see Risk analysis Analyzer, code, 150 Anderson, James P., 7, 75, 172, 318, 733 Android, 818 Annenberg Public Policy Center, 631 Anomaly-based intrusion detection system, 476 Anonymity, 613 email, 634 Internet, 620 network, 355 partial, 606 privacy, 605

Anonymization, 613, 615 data, 608 Hadoop, 545 privacy, 597 Antivirus tool, 191, 329 AOL, 527 Apache Hadoop, 542 API, 211 App store, 819 App, 819 review of, 819 signed, 819 Appended virus, 181 Apple Corp., 818 iCloud, 559 Mac OS operating system, 291, 302 SSL bug, 213 Application Programming Interface (API), 211 Application proxy firewall 459, 468 Application whitelisting, 581 Application-based network attack, 398 Approximate value, of data, 9 Architecture network, 470 system, 450 tagged, 301, 305 Arithmetic inference, database, 522 ARPANET, 143, 508 Asia, 19 Asperger syndrome, 17 Aspidistra, 107 Assembler language, 150 Assessment, situation, 488 Asset, 2 access as, 3 accountability of, 7 auditability of, 7 authenticity of, 7 critical. 660 data as, 3 fabrication of, 8 decision-making, 826 hardware as, 3 harm to, 5, 8 intellectual property as, 3 interception of, 8 interruption of, 8 modification of, 7, 8 nonrepudiation of, 7 property as, 3 risk analysis of, 671 software as, 3 timeliness of, 4 use of. 7

value of, 4, 5, 6, 21 viewing of, 7 Association, hijacking, 386 preferred, in wireless network, 386 WiFi, 380, 383 Assurance, 76, 820 Common Criteria, 327 level. 327 operating system design, 312 Asymmetric cryptography, 89, 93, 795 digital signature, 114 key exchange using, 105, 107 signing, 116 Attachment, of malicious code, 178 Attachment, virus, 188 Attack, 6 capability, 26 data driven, 148 denial of service, see Denial of service directed, 14, 19, 423 feasibility of, 27-28 foiled, 32 malicious code, 166. See also Malicious code man-in-the-middle, 106 method, 26 multistep, 148 of honeypot, 295 predicting, 826 random, 14 reason for, 16 source of, 828 surface, 28 targeted, 14, 19, 423 toolkit, 166, 424 type, classifying, 829 web site defacement, 20 zero-day, 172 Attacker, 18 characteristics of, 16 motivation of, 16 profile of, 16, 17 psychology of, 17 Attractive target, 27 Attribute database, 504 database, hidden, 528 personal, for authentication, 611 Attribution, of attack source, 843, 844 Audit Asset auditability, 7 balloting, 840 big data, 546 database, 507, 510

log, 74, 292 privacy, 608 Australia, 848 Authentication, 38, 108, 240, 569, 610, 816 attribute, 611 biometrics, 53 challenge-response, 461 computer, 241 continuous, 245, 817 cookie, as basis for, 65 database, 507, 512 distributed, 357 DNA for. 61 Extensible Authentication Protocol, 393 forgery in, 58-59 Header, in IPsec, 445 human, 240 incomplete, 394 IPsec, 446 knowledge, as basis for, 40 MAC address, used for, 377 multifactor, 70 network communication, 445 nonexistent, in wireless network, 390 one-time password, 244 operating system, 283 password, 40 physical characteristic, as basis for, 40, 53 possession, as basis for, 65 privacy aspects of, 610, 612 puzzle, as basis for, 52 questions, as basis for, 39, 52 remote, 66 replay of credentials, 364 request, wireless network, 383 something known, as basis for, 40 something possessed, as basis for 40 strength of, 612, 817, 820 success of, 56 token, as basis for, 65, 66 trusted path for, 323 versus identification, 60, 61 weak, 820 WiFi, 380 wireless network, 385 Authenticity, 92, 108, 114, 115, 117, 126 digital signature, 802 asset. 7 email, 635 Author, rights of, 705 Authorization, 8, 11, 574 big data applications, 548 Authorship, 246, 705 autoexec.bat, 181
880 Index

Automobile, 4 Autonomous mobile agent, 430 Autorun (automatically executing program), 181 Availability, 6, 7, 8, 11-13, 75, 398 as asset, 671 data, 11 database, 507, 512 service, 11 voting, 834 wireless network, 382 Backdoor, 158, 170, 356, 787, 790, 845 Background task, 358 Backup, 198, 421, 694 cloud, 697 complete, 694 offsite, 696 periodic, 694 revolving, 695 selective, 696 Badge, for authentication, 66 Bagle, 430 Ballot, privacy of, 641 Bandwidth, 490 Bank, attack on, 16 Barlow, John Perry, 486 Base register, 298 Base station, wireless network, 382 Bastion host, see Application proxy firewall Battery, 817 Bauer, Kenneth, 474 Beacon, WiFi, 380, 383 Bell, David, 13 Bell-La Padula model, 13 Bellovin, Steven, 417 Bernstein, Mary, 143, 508 Best evidence rule, 735 Best practice, 824 BetCRIS, 425 Beth-Israel-Deaconess hospital, 401 Biba, Kenneth, 13 Big data, 540 access control in, 545 access tracking in, 546 granularity, 545 auditing, 546 authentication for, 548 data sharing, 543 data source provenance, 547 data validation for, 547 encryption for, 548 filtering for, 547 integrity of, 547 joining fields, 547

keys for, 547 personal data, 545 prediction using, 541 privacy, 544 proprietary data, 545 secure data storage, 546 security addition for, 548 security monitoring, 546 Biham, Eli, 788 BiiN computer, 290, 302 BIND (Berkeley Internet Name Domain), 414 Biometrics, 53 disadvantages of, 55 speed of, 59, 60 template for, 59 BIOS (Basic I/O System), 292 Birthday, 615 Bitcoin, 621 Black box, airline, 640 Black hat hacking, 759 Black-box testing, 214 Blacklisting, 431, 490 Block cipher, see Block encryption Block encryption, 93, 96, 792, 795 Blocked access, denial of service, 399 Blood vessel, for authentication, 54 Boneh, Dan, 103 Book cipher, 775 Boot sector virus, 187 Boot, operating system, 280 Bootstrap loader, 291 Bootstrap process (system initialization), 187 Bot, 168, 170, 426 Botmaster, 427, 429 Botnet, 426, 429, 430 Boundary checking, 149 Bounded disclosure, 520 Boxcryptor, 564 Branch instruction, 136 Brazil, 19, 743, 835 Breach. data, 609 notification, 740 survey, 828 Break-in, system, 668 Breaking, encryption, 90, 91, 92 Britain, 89, 107, 318, 771, 835, 846 Broadcast mode, wireless network, 384 Browser, 232 encryption in, 437 hijacker, 170 vulnerability in, 233 Brute force attack, 791 on password, 42, 48

BSAFE, RSA cryptographic toolkit, 807 Buckingham, Earl of, 48-49 Buffer overflow, 134, 139, 140, 145, 149 Bug, program, 132 Business case, for security, 821 Business continuity plan, 659, 661 Business failure, 658 Byte substitution, in AES, 790 Bytecode verifier, Java, 295 Byzantine generals problem, 430 C (programming language), 131, 150 C++ (programming language), 131, 150 CA (certification authority), 441 Cable, network, 343 Cable, optical fiber, interception from. 346 California Breach Notification Law, 609, 740 Call, procedure, 136 CAN SPAM Act, 740 Canada, 19, 318, 741, 844 Canary, stack protection, 150 Capability, access control mechanism, 82 Capacity availability attribute, 11 network, 398 planning, 489 CAPTCHA, 237 Caputo, Deanna, 276 CartManager, 600 CARVER, 675 Catastrophe, 659 Center for Democracy and Technology, 628, 629 Central key distribution, 124 CERT (Computer Emergency Response Team), U.S., see U.S. Computer Emergency Response Team CERT, see Incident response team Certifiability, reference monitor property, 76 Certificate, public key, 121, 123, 819 Certification authority, 122, 124, 441 Chain of custody, 735 Chaining, in cryptography, 113, 363, 784, 786 Challenge, motive for attack, 18 Charlotte-Mecklenburg, North Carolina, Police Department, 541 Check digit, 109 Checking, access authorization, 156 data area boundaries, 149 Checksum, 109, 112, 113, 251, 429 Cheswick, Bill, 295 China, 15, 275, 391, 444, 464, 844 Chosen plaintext attack, 771 C-I-A triad, 7-13, 134, 432, 545 Cipher suite, in SSL, 439

Cipher, 769 Ciphertext, 88, 103 Circuit-level gateway firewall, 462 Civil law, 722 Classical probability, 676 Clear GIF, 254, 627 Clear-box testing, 214 Clickjacking, 256 Client-server network, 18 Clipper, 805 Clock, controlled access to, 283 Closed mode, wireless network, 384 Cloud computing backup, 697 characteristics, 551-552 deployment models, 552 identity management, 568 migration, 553 platform, 579 privacy implications, 642 processing, 817 provider assessment 554 risk analysis, 553 security controls, 554 service models, 552 storage, 557, 561, 580 threats, 566 vulnerabilities 554 Code analyzer, static, 150 breaking, see Encryption, breaking development practices, see Program development practices error correction, 516 error detecting, see Error detecting code error detection, 516 hiding, 192 library, 189 modification checker, 482 modification of, 148, 819 program, 137 review, program assurance technique, 221 reviewer, 158 signed, 251 Code Red, 172, 175, 179, 182, 209, 731 Cohesion, of software, 206 Cold site, disaster recovery, 698 Cold, effect on semiconductor, 772 Collision, in error detection codes, 110, 800 stack and heap, 148 Colorado State University, 17 Command sequence, 817 Command-and-control center, botnet, 245, 426 427, 428 Commerce, Internet, 630 Commit, two-phase update, 514 Common Criteria, 327 Common Rule, 763 Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE), 14 Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS), 14 Communication, email, 632 Communication, interprocess, see Interprocess communication Community clouds, 552, 555 Compartment, access control, 80 Competition, employment contract, 728 Compiler, 201, 209 correct code generation by, 140 role in program security, 150 Complete mediation, design principle, 217, 315 Completeness mediation, 217, 315 operating system, 314, 320 operating system design, 314 security requirements, 653 testing, 214 Complexity, network, 358 operating system, 187 operating system design, 291 program, 149 versus security, 208 Compliance, 824 Component failure, 420, 421 Compromise, 74 Computability, 218, 219 Computer, medium of crime, 736 security, 2 source of attack, 20 subject of attack, 736 system, 3 target of attack, 20 time, theft of, 750 tool for attack, 736 Computer crime, 733 complexity, 736, 743 criminal, 742 evidence, 736 evolving laws, 736. See also Computer crime laws international aspects, 736, 741 prosecution, 736 Computer crime laws CAN SPAM Act, 740 Council of Europe Agreement on Cybercrime, 741 E.U. Data Protection Act, 742 Freedom of Information Act, 738 U.S. Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 738

U.S. Economic Espionage Act, 738 U.S. Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 739 U.S. Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), 739 U.S. Privacy Act, 738 U.S.A. Patriot Act, 740 Computer emergency response team (CERT), see Incident response team, Security Operations Center Computer Emergency Response Team, U.S., see U.S. Computer Emergency Response Team Computer forensics, 567 Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, U.S., 620 Computer security incident response team (CSIRT), see Incident response team, Security operations center Computer security, 2 Concealment. data, 529-535 malicious code, 178, 189 password, 46 Concurrency, 11, 286 control of, 282 database, 517 Hadoop, 543 Conficker, 174, 175, 179, 182, 428 Confidence, in trusted system, 317 Confidentiality, 6, 7, 8-10, 109, 126, 844 data, 518 database, 512, 529 database, 529 IPsec. 446 network, 441, 443 voting, 834 wireless network, 381 Configuration management, 509 Configuration, firewall, 453 466, 472 Confinement, program development practice, 207 Confusion, in cryptography, 774, 808 Connection failure, physical, 420 Connection, rogue, 382 Connectivity, network, 371, 847, 849 Consequence, of attack, 826 Consistency, data, 11, 506, 827 security requirements, 653 Content, filtering, 464 Context, of privacy, 601 Contingency planning, 688, 694 Contract acceptance, 723 employment, 725, 727 information, 724 law, 723 software, 724 suit involving, 725

validity, 723 voluntary entry, 723 Control, 6, 22, 28, 32 access, see Access control administrative, 30 cost of. 29 ease of use, 29 logical, see Control, technical, and Control, administrative loss of, 814 overlapping, 30 physical, 29 procedural, 30 program, 149 reducing vulnerability, 670 risk, 668 security, 653 selection, 680 technical, 30, 75 Controlled access, for privacy, 608 Controlled sharing, 287 Convention 108, of Council of Europe, 603 Cookie, 625, 627 for authentication, 65 for wireless network association, 386 third-party, 625 COPPA, see U.S. Children's Online Privacy Protection Act COPS (password administration tool), 43, 369 Copying, of copyrighted material, 707 Copyright, 704 backup of work, 706 computer software,709 copying, 707 device to counter piracy, 709 digital object, 709 distribution of work, 706 employee's work, 727 fair use, 706 first sale principle, 708 independent work, 709 infringement, 709 originality of work, 706 ownership of, 726 personal use doctrine, 708 piracy, 707 public domain, 705 registration of, 708 requirement to publish, 709 web content, 716 work for hire, 726 works subject to, 705 Cornell University, 18 Correction, of error, 11

Correctness, data mining, 538 data, 616 operating system design, 314 operating system, 317, 320 program, 133, 219 proof of program, 219 RFID sensor, 639 security requirements, 653 software, 206 Correlation, 537, 613, 617, 622 Corruption, data, 361, 432 Cost. data loss, 695 hidden. 679 malicious code, 179 security, 657, 824 Cost-benefit analysis, 669, 681 Council of Europe Agreement on Cybercrime, 741 Council of Europe, 603 Counterattack, 485 Countermeasure, 6, 22, 28, 32, see also Control Coupling, of software, 206 Coverage, testing, 214 Covert redirect, 577 Cowan, Crispin, 150 Crack (password administration tool), 43 Cracking, 761 Credit card theft, 19, 22 Credit card, disposable, 621 Crime, computer, see Computer crime Crime, organized, see Organized crime Criminal law, 722 Criminal, 16, 19, 742 Crisis management, see Business continuity plan, Incident response Crocker, Stephen, 143, 508 Crossover, network, 363 Cross-site scripting, 261 Cryptanalysis, 90, 769 brute force, 791 chosen plaintext attack, 771 freezing attack, 772 frequency analysis attack, 769 frequency analysis, 793 full plaintext, 770 implementation attack, 769 in AES, 99 inferring the algorithm, 774 known plaintext, 770 pattern analysis attack, 769 plaintext and ciphertext, 770 plaintext-only, 768 probable plaintext attack, 770

probable plaintext, 793 RSA, 797 statistical analysis, 776 Cryptographic algorithm, 95 checksum, 113 side-channel attack, 566 Cryptography, 86, 90, 768 asymmetric, 102 authentication using, 817 book cipher, 775 BSAFE toolkit, 807 chaining, 784, 786 checksum using, 113 confusion, 774, 808 differential cryptanalysis, 788 diffusion, 774 Dual-EC-DBRG, 806 El Gamal, 803 elliptic curve cryptosystem (ECC), 802 export control, 792, 793, 794, 805 Keccak, 801 key escrow, 805 Lucifer algorithm, 780 mathematical basis, 778 MD4,800 MD5,800 network security control, 433 one-time pad, 774 product cipher, 782 public key, 100, 102 public scrutiny of algorithm, 779 quantum, 807 RC2, 792 RC4, 792 RC5, 794 RC6, 795 RSA, 795 secret key, 96 separation using, 296 SHA, 800 SHA-3, 801 strength of, 817 substitution, 774 Suite B. 803 symmetric, 96 transposition, 774 Vernam cipher, 775 weakness in, 789, 792, 794, 806 Cryptolocker, 565 Cryptology, 90 and NSA, 805. See also U.S. National Security Agency Cryptosystem, 87

CSA STAR (Cloud Security Alliance Security, Trust and Assurance Registry), 555 CSIRT, see Incident response team Currency, virtual, 621 CVE, see Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures CVSS, see Common Vulnerability Scoring System Cyber warfare, 842 Cyber weapon, 847 Cybercrime, 19 Council of Europe Agreement on, 741 Cyberworthiness, 730 Cvcle. in AES, 98 in SHA-3, 801 Cyclic redundancy check, 111, 516 Daemon, 352 name, 414 Damage control, in software design, 311 Damage, from malicious code, 179 Dark Internet, 444 Darwin (computer game), 172 Data access to, 8 access to, as asset, 3 access, rights of individuals, 603 accuracy of, 11 anonymization, for privacy, 597 approximate value of, 9 as asset, 3, 671 bias, 759 breach law, 609 consistency of, 11 correctness, 616 corruption of, 361 critical, 281 disclosure of, 8 driven attack, 148 error, and privacy, 608 existence of, 9 exposure of, 177 integrity of, 10-11 irreplaceable, 696 loss, cost of, 695 meaningful, 11 misleading, 759 modification of, 11, 529, 597 modification, for privacy, 597 object, 9 ownership of, 8, 596, 608 perturbation, database, 534 precision of, 11 privacy, 736

private, 587 protection of, 687 protection, and privacy, 597 quality, and privacy, 596, 608 replacement, 3, 4 retention, limited, 597 retraction of, 594 sensitive, 587 separation of, 11 shared access, 287 storage, 546 storage, for privacy, 608 subject, 9 suppression, database, 529 swapping, database, 535 transfer, and privacy, 603 unchecked, 153 use, government, and privacy, 607 use, privacy of, 590 use, restricted, 608 validation, with big data, 547 value of, 736 versus instruction, 137 Data collection. accuracy, 599 consent, 591 control of, 599 for specific purpose, 603 limit on, 596, 603 notice of, 591, 599 openness of, 597 ownership, 592 privacy of. 590, 640 security of, 599 Data mining, 246, 527, 536 aggregation, 537 correctness, 537 correlation, 537 false negative, 540 false positive, 540 inference, 537 interoperability, 540 mistakes, 538 privacy, 537, 616 privacy-preserving, 617 sensitivity, 537 Data Encryption Standard, see DES Data Loss Prevention (DLP), 473 Data Protection Act, 742 Database Management System (DBMS), 502 Database, 502 access control, 508 administrator, 502

aggregation, 526 algebraic inference, 525 arithmetic inference, 522 auditing, 510 authentication, 512 availability, 512 bounded disclosure, 520 concurrency, 517 confidentiality, 512 data concealing, 529-535 data disclosure, 529 data perturbation, 529, 534 data swapping, 535disclosure, 518 element 502 element accuracy, 513 element integrity, 508 element integrity, 513 exact disclosure, 519 existence disclosure, 520 field check, 508 field, 502 granularity, 512 hidden data, 527 inference, 511, 521-525 integrity, 513 integrity, two-phase update, 514 join query, 505 key, 512, 621 limited response suppression, 532 mean inference, 523 median inference, 523 negative disclosure, 520 operating system protection, 513 performance, 511 probable value disclosure, 520 project operation, 504 protecting data in, 721 query, 504 query analysis, 535 query language, 504 random sample disclosure, 534 range disclosure, 533 record, 502 recovery, 516 relation, 504 reliability, 513 rounded disclosure, 533 schema, 502 sensitive data, 518 shadow field, 516 small sample concealment, 534 SQL query language, 504 subschema, 502

table, 502 tracker inference, 524 tuple, 504 user authentication, 512 Data-driven attack, 189 Datagram, 407, 415 DataNode, in Hadoop, 543 DBMS, see Database Management System (DBMS) DDoS attack, see Distributed Denial of Service attack DEA, encryption algorithm, 780 DEA1, encryption algorithm, 780 Deadlock, 11, 282 DEC VAX computer, 290, 314, 326 Deception, and privacy, 600 Deception, email, 740 Deceptive practice, 630 Decidability, 190, 218, 219 Decipherment, 87 Decision-making, 25, 684, 831 Decoding, 87 Decryption, 87 Defacement, web site, see Web site defacement Defense in depth, 30, 218, 471 Defense, Department of, see U.S. Department of Defense Defensive programming, 222 Defibrillator, 816 Deflection, attack countermeasure, 28 Degauss, magnetic media, 693 Degradation, graceful, see Graceful degradation Degraded service, network, 849 Delay, in access checking, 156 Deletion, data, 134, 692, 772 Delphi method, 677, 678 Demand, network, 398 Demilitarized zone (DMZ), firewall architecture, 470 Denial of service, 6, 14, 18, 20, 175, 367, 396, 753 Denial of service (DoS) attack, 6, 20, 425, 843 access, blocked, 399 address resolution, 414 address spoofing, 413 addressing failure, 408 distributed, see Distributed denial of service attack DNS, 414 DNS cache poisoning, 418 DNS spoofing, 409 echo-chargen, 404 flooding, 398, 402, 407 hardware, 845 incident, 401 insufficient resources, 402, 407 malicious, 403 overload, 399

ping of death, 404 root name server, 414 routing, 409, 413 scripted, 423 session hijack, 415 smurf. 404 source routing, 413 SYN flood, 405 teardrop, 407 Tribal flood network, 424 volumetric, 399, 423 Denning, Dorothy, 530 Denning, Peter, 72, 292 Deontology, 749 Department of Defense, see U.S. Department of Defense Department of Justice, see U.S. Department of Justice Dependability, 12 DES (Data Encryption Standard), 95, 439, 779 computational limitation of, 98 cycle in, 99 decryption, 784 design secrecy, 787 differential cryptanalysis on, 788 for tamper detection, 113 key length in, 96, 98, 109 number of cycles, 788 reversibility, 784 security of, 98, 787 speed of encryption, 103 strength of, 789, 805 Design by contract, program design technique, 223 Design flaw, 6 Design principles, 216 Design, cryptographic algorithm, 779 Design, layered, 309 Design, RSA, 797 Design, simplicity of, 309 Design, TCB, 321 Detection. attack countermeasure, 28 avoidance, by malicious code, 191 error, 11 malicious code, 189 tamper, 151 Detector, virus (program), see Virus detector Deterrence, attack countermeasure, 28 Development practices, see Program development practices program, security in, 158 quality software, 816 Device access control, 284 allocation, 281

driver. 288 loss, 818 Dialer program, Microsoft, 135 Dichotomous test, 56 Dictionary attack, on password, 43 Differential cryptanalysis, 788 Diffie, Whitfield, 98, 101, 645, 791 Diffie-Hellman key exchange, 446, 803 Diffusion, in cryptography, 774 Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA), 704, 709 Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA), 804 Digital signature, 109, 113-116, 118, 121, 124, 251, 419, 428, 721, 802, 803, 819 Diplomacy, 848 Direct inference, database, 521 Directed attack, 14 Directive 95/46/EC, of European Union, see European Privacy Directive Directory, access control, 76 Disappearing email, 635 Disassembler, 201 Disaster, natural, see Natural disaster Disclosure. bounded, 520 data, 736 database, 518 database, 529 exact, 519 existence, 520 negative, 520 of data, 8 of vulnerability, 833 pacemaker data, 816 probable value, 520 vulnerability, 185 Disconnection, network 420 Discrimination, 605 Discrimination, price, 631 Distributed denial of service (DDoS) attack, 421, 423 Distribution, encryption key, 93 Diversity, 558 Diversity, genetic, see Genetic diversity DLP, see Data Loss Prevention DMCA, see Digital Millennium Copyright Act DMZ, see, Demilitarized Zone DNA, authentication using, 61 DNS, 414 cache poisoning, 418 lookup request, 409 record, 419 spoofing, 409 DNSSEC, (DNS Security extension), 419 Doctrine, of warfare, 850

Document virus, 180 DoD, see U.S. Department of Defense Domain, 82 execution. 286 name, 444 switching, 320 DoS (Denial of Service), see Denial of service Dot-dot-slash attack, 264 Double DES. 96 DoubleClick, 625 Download substitution attack, 237 Download, and privacy, 629 Drive-by-download, 258 Dropbox, 561, 563 Dropper, 170 Drug trafficking, 19 DSA, see Digital Signature Algorithm Dual-EC-DBRG cipher suite, 806 Dual-homed gateway. 450 E.U. Data Protection Act, 742 Ease of use, design principle, 217, 317 Easter egg, 158 Eavesdrop, 243, 343, 354, 432, 808 ECC, see Elliptic curve cryptosystem Echo-chargen attack, 404, 477 Economics, of security, 821 Economy of mechanism, design principle, 217, 315 Effectiveness, of testing, 215 Egoism, 748 e-Government Act, 599 Egypt, 847, 849 El Gamal algorithm, 803, 804 El Gamal, Taher, 803 Eleanore (attack toolkit), 166 Election, fair, 836, 837 Election, margin of victory, 838 Electrical use, 817 Electronic commerce, protection of goods in, 721 Electronic Communications Privacy Act, U.S., 620, 739 Electronic publishing, compensation for, 721 Electronic voting, 835 Element integrity, database, 507, 508, 513 Element, database, 502 Elliptic curve cryptosystem (ECC), 439, 802, 804, 806 Email 607 accuracy of headers, 273 address, disposable, 634 alias with, 632 authentication, 39 deceptive, 740 disappearing, 635 exposed content of, 632

filtering, 560 forged, 267 forwarding of, 632 header data, 273 interception of, 633 monitoring of, 633 PGP. 276 phishing, 274 S/MIME, 277 security of, 632 spam, 740 spear phishing, 274 Emanation, electromagnetic, 693 Embedded device, 4 Emergency alert system, 1-2 Employee rights, 725 Employer rights, 725, 754 Employment contract, 725, 727 non-compete clause, 728 Encapsulated Security Payload, in IPsec, 445 Encapsulation, 204 by layering, 311 of software, 206 Encipherment, 87 Encoding, 87 Encrypted password, 46 Encrypted virus, 194 Encryption, 86, 87 algorithm design, 433 asymmetric, 89, 93, 795 block, 93 breaking, 90, 91 chaining, 363 end-to-end, 435, 437, 438 exhaustive key search, 395 for continuous authentication, 245 for privacy, 597 in network, 360, 363 in the cloud, 561 in wireless network, 383 initialization vector collision, 389 key, 88, 126, 562 key length, 388 key management, 446 key, private, 126 keyed, 88 keyless, 89 link, 433, 437 non-static key, 392 protection using, 433 speed of, 126 static key, 388 stream, 93 symmetric, 88, 92, 779, 786

TKIP, 393 weak, 388 See also AES, DES, RC2, RC4, RC5, RSA End-to-end encryption, 435, 437, 438 Enforcement, of integrity, 11 Engineering, social, see Social engineering Enigma machine, 771, 774 Entry point, secret, 27, 158. See also Backdoor Equipment failure, 420 Equivalence, of programs, 189, 219 Erasing, sensitive data, 692 Error correction, 11 detection, 11 in data, 608, 617 in encryption, 778 inadvertent, 14 nonmalicious, 133 off-by-one, 159 program, 132 unintentional, 6 Error correction code, 516. See also Error detection code Error detection code, 109, 111, 251, 516 Escrow, encryption key, 805 ESP (Encapsulated Security Payload), see Encapsulated Security Payload Espionage, 171, 668, 738 Estimation technique, 676 Estimation, Delphi method, 678 Estonia, 18, 2, 391, 396, 641, 838, 842, 843, 846 Ethical hacking, see Penetration testing Ethical system, 745 Ethics, 744 analysis, 746 and religion, 746 consequence-based, 748 consequence-based, 749 context of action, 753 deontology, 749 egoism, 748 fair sharing, 753 intrinsic goodness, 749 of privacy, 752 overriding principles, 748 pluralism, 746 privacy and, 752 religion and, 746 rule-deontology, 749 teleology, 748 to justify a position, 748 to make a reasoned choice, 748 utilitarianism, 749 variability, 746 versus law, 744

Index 889

Euler totient function, 797 Europe, Council of, 603 European Privacy Directive, 603-604, 605, 849 European Union, 596, 603 data breach laws, 609 Even parity, 111 Evidence. authenticity, 735 chain of custody, 735 computer output as, 734 incident, 664 rule of, 734 Exact disclosure, 519 Exchange, cryptographic key, 104 Exclusiveness, of access, 11 Executable code, hiding, 192 Executive, operating system, 280, 285 Exfiltration, of sensitive data, 474, 845 Exhaustive attack, on password, 48 Exhaustive key search, 395 Existence. disclosure, 520 of data, 9 Expected loss, 678 Experimentation, informed consent, 763 Exploitation, vulnerability, 419 Export control, of cryptography, 562, 792, 793, 794, 805 Exposure, risk, see Risk exposure Extensible Authentication Protocol (EAP), 393 Externality, 834 Fabrication, 87, 107 air defense signal, 844 asset, 8 encrypted data, 785 network data, 361 Facebook, 526, 594, 635, 696, 762 Facial recognition, for authentication, 55 Factoring, in encryption, 103, 795, 797 Failure modes and effects analysis, 673 Failure reporting, 729 Failure, 2 business, 658 component, 420 component, in network, 368 hardware, 6, 368, 421, 659 program, 132 software, 6, 728, 816 system, 74 transmission, 420 Fair election, 836, 837 Fair Information Practices, 596 Fair use, 706 Fairness, 11, 281

Fake email, 267 False acceptance, see False positive accusation, 608 alarm, 824 negative, 55, 56, 62, 64, 488, 540 positive, 55, 56, 62, 64, 488, 540, 824 reading, 55 reject, see False negative Farmer, Dan 369 Fault tolerance, 12 Fault tree analysis, 673 Fault, program, 132, 136 FBI, see U.S. Federal Bureau of Investigation Feasibility, of attack, 27-28 Federal Bureau of Investigation, see U.S. Federal Bureau of Investigation Federal Information Processing Standard 197 (FIPS 197), 99 Federal Trade Commission (FTC), 630, 635 Federated identity management, 68, 569 FedRAMP (Federal Risk and Automation Management Program), 555 Fence, 297 Fence register, 298 FidM, see Federated identity management Field check, database, 508 Field, database, 502 File, 320 File access control, 284 File sharing, peer-to-peer, 629 File tag, 528 Filter, packet; see Packet filtering gateway Filter, polarizing, 808 Filtering, 486 Filtering, in big data, 547 Fingerprint, for authentication 53, 59, 60, 62, 63, 64 in error detection codes, 111 of malicious code, 192, 198, 200 Finland, 641 Fire, 659, 687 Firesheep, browser extension, 386 Firewall, 448, 452, 492 application proxy, 459 circuit-level gateway, 462 demilitarized zone, 470 Great Firewall of China, 464 guard, 463 packet filtering gateway, 456 personal, 464 stateful inspection, 458 First sale, principle of, 708 Fit for use, 730

Flaw design, 6 impact of, 134 program, 133, 184 reporting, 731 Floating-point error, Intel Pentium chip, 10 Flood, 686 Flooding attack, 479, 840 in denial of service, 399, 479 FOIA, see U.S. Freedom of Information Act Forensic analysis, 74, 202, 736 Forgery, digital signature, 802 in authentication, 58-59, 65, 66 protection against, 116 Formal methods, program assurance technique, 220 Forwarding, email, 632, 634 Frame. Data-link, 352 SSID in. 384 WiFi. 379 Framing, web page, 258 France, 318, 846 Fraud, 19, 22, 722, 757 Free public WiFi, 392 Frequency analysis, against encryption, 769, 793 distribution, in cryptanalysis, 777 probability, 676 Front end (database management system), 502 Front-end (intrusion detection system), 480 f-Secure Corp., 19 Full disclosure, of software flaws, 731, 760 Full plaintext attack, 700 Function testing, 211 Functionality, in Common Criteria, 328 Gasser, Morrie, 314 Gateway, application proxy, see Application proxy firewall Geer, Daniel, 209, 210 Genetic diversity, program environment characteristic, 209 Geographic diversity, 558 Geography, and cyber warfare, 850 Geotag, 528 Germany, 107, 318, 431, 668, 771 Get_root exploit, 291 Ghostery, 623 Gong, Li, 295 Gonzales, Albert, 19, 391 Good, greatest for greatest number, 762 Goodness, program characteristic, 218 Google, 818, 820 docs, 697 Street View project, 378

GOTO Fail bug, 213 Government data use, 607 Graceful degradation, 12 Graham, Scott, 72, 292 Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, 598, 739 Grandin, Temple, 17 Granularity, database, 512 in big data, 545 of access control, 74, 75, 287, 297 Great Falls, Montana, 1 Great Firewall of China, 464 Greece, 356 GrIDSure authentication system, 52 Group, access control, 80 Guard firewall, 463 Guard, human, 680, 690 Hachette, 631 Hacker, 15, 18, 19, 759. See also Malicious code attack Hacking, black hat, 759 Hacking, white hat, 759 Hadoop, 542 anonymization for, 545 concurrency, 543 DataNode, 543 map-reduce, 543 NameNode, 543 privacy for, 544 redundancy, 543 secure mode, 548 sharing, 543 trusted users, 543 Halme, Larry, 474 Halting problem, 218, 219 Hamming code, 799 Hand geometry, for authentication, 54 Hard problems, cryptographic, 92 Hardware. as asset, 3, 671 failure, 6, 420, 398, 421, 772 interface to, 282 loss of, 691 malicious, 845 modification of, 845 Harm, 6, 13, 23, 28 causes of, 22 from buffer overflow, 138 from computer attack, 21-25 from malicious code, 176, 179 from vulnerability search, 762 likelihood of, 22 limitation of, 845 malicious, 14

measurement of, 14 potential, 764 severity of, 22 stopping, 845 to asset, 5, 8 types of, 14 Hash code, 109, 112, 116, 125, 428, 799 Hash function, see Hash code Hastiness, in faulty error analysis, 816 Hazard analysis, 672 Hazard and operability study, 673 Header, packet, 458 Healthcare data, 739 Heap, 147 Heap, memory, 136, 139 Hellman, Martin, 96, 98, 101, 791 Heuristic intrusion detection system, 476 Hiding. address, 303 malicious code, 192 Hierarchical design, 311 Hijack attack, 242 HIPAA, see U.S. Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act Hoare, Anthony (C.A.R.), 149 Hoax, 2, 176 Honan, Mat. 559 Honeypot, 295. 668 Hooking, to operating system, 288, 337, 465 Hop. 413 Host scanning, 566 Host-based firewall, see Personal firewall Host-based intrusion detection system (HIDS), 476, 480 Hostile mobile agent, 170 Hot site, disaster recovery, 698 Hot spot, wireless, 382 HTTPS (HTTP Secure) protocol, see SSL Human error, in encryption, 771 Human subjects, 762 Human, threat from, 13-14 Human-computer interaction (HCI), 654 Hybrid clouds, 553, 555 Hypervisor, 292 Hyppönen, Mikko, 19

IaaS (Infrastructure as a Service), 552, 558, 579, 580
IBM Corp. 95, 97, 290, 779, 788, 789
ICD, 816, 817
Iceland, 613
Identification versus authentication, 60, 61
Identification, **38**, 243, 610, 617, 815, 816
only when necessary, 603
unique, 610

versus authentication, 38 voluntary, 638 weak, 820 Identity, 38, 122 card, for authentication, 66 documents, 612 group, 611 linking, 606 management, cloud, 568 management, federated, see Federated identity management multiple, 606 non-unique, 611 records confirming, 49 theft, 609 uniqueness of, 606 IDS (Intrusion Detection System), see, Intrusion Detection System IEEE (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers), 132 IETF (Internet Engineering Task Force), 444 Iframe, web page, 258 IKE, see Internet Security Association Key Management Protocol Key Exchange ILoveYou (malicious code), 172, 175, 179 ImageShield, authentication system, 52 Immunity, from malicious code infection, 195, 200 Impact, of attack, 831 of computer failure, 660 of security incident, 25 risk, 668 Impersonation, 107, 474 Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator, see ICD Implanting, of malicious code, 186 Implementation weakness, against encryption, 770 Implementation, TCB, 322 In-the-clear, message, 434 Incident cost, 828 Incident response, 567 action, 662 coordination, 666 declaring an incident, 662 national, 666 plan, 662 post-incident review, 665 taking charge, 662 team membership, 665 team, 397, 665 Incident survey, 828 Incident, security, 25 Incomplete mediation, 152 Independence, program quality, 204 Independent testing, 215 India, 743

892 Index

Individual, versus identity, 611, 612 Inductance, network, 343 Infection, malicious code, 186, 194, 430 Infection, spread of (malicious code), 185 Inference engine, intrusion detection, 476 Inference, database, 511, 521-525 Inference in data mining, 537 in intrusion detection system, 478 Information as an object, 717 commerce, 720 cost of, 718 depletion of, 718 disclosure of, 739 hiding, of software, 204, 206 replication of, 718 transfer of, 719 value of, 719 Informed consent, 763 Infowar, 486 Infrastructure ownership of, 849, 850 shared, 566, 580 virtual, 581 Infringement copyright, 709 patent, 712-713 Initialization vector, 786, 793 Injection attack, 839 Injection, SOL, attack, 263 Input validation, 153 Input, unchecked, 154 Insecure APIs, 566 Insertion, in network communication, 364 Insider, 474 Insider threat, 357 Installation malicious code, 186 program, 237 testing, 211 Instruction, machine, 136 Insurance, 23, 669, 688, 695 Integer overflow, 160 Integrated vulnerability assessment, 675 Integration testing, 211 Integrity, 6, 7, 8, 117, 251, 758 big data, 547 check, 109, 112 code, 151, 482 computation, 133 contextual, 602 data 10-11. 506 database, 507

enforcement by operating system, 317 enforcement of, 11 failure from race condition, 165 failure of, 109 faulty check in wireless network, 390 inaccurate linking, 608 incorrect form, 608 network communications, 366 protecting, 109 protection in WPA, 393 stack, 151 voting, 834 wireless network, 381 Intel, 10 Intellectual property, 705 as asset. 3 Intent, two-phase update, 514 Interception, 87, 236, 808, 845 air defense signal, 844 asset. 8 authentication data, 243 cryptographic key, 105, 107 encryption, 91 Internet, 635 lawful, 355 network, 354 network, 360 pacemaker signal, 816 personal data, 820 signal, 344 WiFi communication, 364, 391 WiFi, 364 Interface design, 654 Interface, usability, 840 Internal intrusion detection system, 480 Internet, the governing, 419 international nature of, 741 payments using, 621 privacy and, 619 site registration on, 622 user ID, 622 Internet access, free, see Public hot spot Internet of things, 814 Internet Security Association Key Management Protocol Key Exchange (IKE), 446, 447 Internet Service Provider, see ISP Internet Society, 124 Internet-enabled product, 814 Interpreted language, 189 Interpreter, 189 Interprocess communication, 281, 320 Interruption, access, 849

network communication, 366 of asset. 8 Intrusion Detection System (IDS), 474 anomaly-based, 476 false alarm, 824 front-end, 480 heuristic, 476 host-based (HIDS), 476, 480 inference engine, 476 internal, 480 model-based, 478 network-based (NIDS), 476, 480 response, 483 signature-based, 476 situation assessment, 488 state-based, 478 stateful protocol analysis, 479 stealth mode, 487 Intrusion Prevention System (IPS), 474, 482 Intrusion, system, 668 Invention, patent for, 711 Inverse. of a function, 112 of encryption, 103 Investigation, security, 426 iOS (Apple operating system), 818 IP fragmentation attack, 407 IPS (Intrusion Prevention System), see Intrusion Prevention System IPsec (IP security protocol suite), 444 authentication header, 444 security association in, 444 IPv4, 444 IPv6, 444 Iran, 368, 444, 843, 847 ISAKMP (Internet Security Association Key Management Protocol), see Internet Security Association Key Management Protocol) ISO 7489-2.7 Isolation, 204 in operating system design, 311 malicious code countermeasure, 195 of potential malicious code, 197ISP (Internet Service Provider), 19, 425, 633 Israel, 843, 844, 845 Iteration, in DES, 96 J.P. Morgan Chase, 16 Japan, 741, 772 Jaschen, Sven, 430 Java script attack, 262

Java, sandbox in, 294

Jet Blue, 601

JihadJane, 20

Join query, database, 505 Join, in big data, 547 Justice, Department of, see U.S. Department of Justice Justification, with risk analysis, 684 Kahn, David, 90, 771, 774 Kahneman, Daniel, 25 Karger, Paul, 172, 219, 314 Kaspersky Labs (security research firm), 169 Keccak, 801 Kerberos, in big data application, 548 Kerckhoffs, Auguste, 227 Kernel operating system, 284, 312, 334 security, see Security kernel Kernell, David, 39 Key asymmetric, 796 change, 771 cryptographic, 96, 103, 777 database, 512, 621 deduction, against encryption, 770 derivation functions, 562 distribution, 93, 124 encryption, 88, 789 encryption, sharing, 92 escrow, encryption, 805 exchange, 104 exchange, Diffie-Hellman, 446 exchange, with asymmetric cryptography, 105, 107 for RC4, 793, 794 in big data, 547 length, 96, 97, 109, 792, 805 length, in AES, 791 management, encryption, 93, 433, 446 physical, security properties of, 184 recovery, 805 search, exhaustive, 395 Keys (cryptographic), proliferation of, 102 Keystroke logger, 236, 442, 628 Kill switch, 845, 848 Known plaintext attack, 770 Koobface, botnet, 426 Korea, victim of phishing attack, 275 Krebs, Brian, 159 10pht computer group, 139–140 La Padula, Leonard, 13 LAN (Local Area Network), 343 Landau, Susan, 645 Language, interpreted, 189 programming, 150

safe, 149

Laptop, loss of, 691 Lastpass 564 Law, as security protection 426 civil. 722 conflict between, 604 contract, 722 court decisions, 723 criminal 722 data breach. 609 E.U. Data Protection, see European Privacy Directive security control, 426 tort, 722 versus ethics, 744 Layered protection, 471 Layering, 310 Leakage, data, 474, 620 Least common mechanism, design principle, 217, 317 Least privilege, 73, 216, 218, 316, 358 Legal action, 485 countermeasure, 426 issue, incident, 664 protection, 703 Leverage, risk, 669 Leveson, Nancy, 815 Levy, Elias, 145 License, software, 727 Likelihood, of event, 668 of exploitation, 675 of harm, 22 of security incident, 25 Limitation on data collection, 596 Limitations of testing, 215 Limited privilege, 317. See also Least privilege Limited response suppression, 532 Link encryption, 433, 437, 447 Linking, personal data, 627 Linux operating system, 291 List, access control, see Access control list Litchfield, David, 134, 174, 732 LMS (Learning Management System), 570 Load balancing, 431, 489, 492 Local data, 141 Location-sensing, 820 Lock, physical, 680, 690 Log analysis see SIEM Log data, see System log Log, access, see Access log Log, audit, see Audit log Logarithm, 802 Logger, keystroke, 236, 628 Logic bomb, 170

Logical integrity, database, 507 Lookup, DNS, 409 Loss, 5 data, 695 expected, 678 from security incident, 668 power, 688 service, 366 Lucifer, encryption algorithm, 780, 788, 789 Lyon, Barrett, 425 MAC (Media Access Control), 343, 351, 377 MAC address, 378, 380 changing, 385 spoofing, 394 MAC header, WiFi, 379 Mach, 302 Macro, 189 Macro virus, 10 Mafia, 769 Magnetic media, destruction of, 692 Magnetic remanence, 325 Maintenance, software, 205 Malfunction, device, 815 Malicious code, 166-196, 167 addressing failure, 408 adware, 170 Alureon, 334, 336 antivirus detector (program), 191 appended virus, 181 attachment of, 178 attack toolkit, 419, 424 backdoor, 170 Bagle, 430 boot sector virus, 187 bot, 170 browser hijacker, 170 Code Red, 172, 175, 179, 182, 209, 731 concealment of, 178, 189 Conficker, 174, 179, 182, 428 destructive, 176 detection avoidance by, 191 detection of, 189 disassembly of, 201 DNS spoofing, 409 dropper, 170 echo-chargen, 404 encrypting virus, 194 encryption of, 194 fingerprint of, 192, 198, 200 flooding, 403, 407 forensic analysis of, 202 harm from, 176 hijacker, 629

history of, 172 hostile mobile agent, 170 ILoveYou, 172, 175, 179 immunity from, 195, 200 implant of, 186, 760 infection by, 194 isolation, as countermeasure, 195 keystroke logger, 236 logic bomb, 170 malware detector (program), 191 man-in-the-browser, 234 Melissa, 172, 175 memory-resident virus, 188 mobile agent, 430 mobile agent, hostile, 170 Morris worm, 172, 175, 209 multipartite virus, 178 MyDoom, 430 NIMDA, 172 pattern matching to detect, 192, 198, 200 pattern recognition, 192 ping of death, 404 polymorphic, 193 prevention of, 197 propagation of, 180 rabbit, 170 Remote access Trojan horse (RAT), 170 replacement virus, 182 rootkit, 170, 329, 334, 336 Sasser, 431 scareware, 170, 195 script kiddie, 196 separation as countermeasure, 195 session hijack, 415 signature, recognition by, 192, 198, 200 Slammer, 172, 175 smurf, 404 SoBig, 172, 175 source of, 845 spyware, 170, 628 stealth, 189, 190 steganography and, 192 Stuxnet, 174, 175, 368, 843 SYN flood, 405 TDL-3. 334 teardrop, 407 TFN, 424 TFN2K, 424 time bomb, 170 tool, 170 toolkit, 170, 196, 336 transmission of, 180 trapdoor, 170 Tribal flood network, 424

Trin00, 424 Trojan horse, 169 user-in-the-middle, 237 virus, 167 volume of, 196 Waladec, 429 worm, 168 zero-day attack, 172, 419 Zeus 245 zombie, 170 Malicious threat, 14 Malicious web activity. clickjacking, 256 cross-site scripting, 261 dot-dot-slash. 264 drive-by-download, 258 server-side include, 265 SQL injection attack, 263 web site defacement, 246 Malware, 10, 166-196. 167. see also Malicious code Android phone, 819 detector (program), 191 scanner, 465 smartphone, 818 toolkit, 196 Man in the middle, 460 Management, 75 encryption key, 93 network, 489 risk, see, Risk management security, 657 Manager, 657 Man-in-the-browser attack, 234, 442 Man-in-the-middle attack, 106, 409, 394, 840 Man-in-the-mobile attack, 245 Many-to-one function, 110 Map-reduce, in Hadoop, 543 Mash, in encryption, 792 Masquerading, 432 Matrix, access control, see Access control matrix Mayfield, Terry, 10-11 McAfee (security firm), 19 MD4, 428 MD5,800 MD6, 429 Mean inference, database, 523 Measurement harm, 14 security, 825 Mechanism. access control, 76 security, 75 Median inference, database, 523

896 Index

Mediation, complete, 154 Mediation, incomplete, 152, 155 Medical device, 815, 820 Medical record, 613, 638, 758 Medium Access Code (MAC), see MAC Melissa, 172, 175 Memory allocation, 136 Memory management, paging, 306 segmentation, 303 virtual memory, 303 Memory protection, 284, 297, 320, 321 base/bounds, 298 fence, 297 paging, 306, 307 segmentation, 303 tagged, 301 virtual memory, 303 Memory, system space, 138 Memory-resident virus, 188 Merchants, Internet, 630 Merkle, Ralph, 96, 121 Message digest, 109, 112, 125, 799 MD4, 428, 800 MD5,800 MD6, 429, 800 SHA, 800 Message, protection of, 434 Metadata, 529 Method, of attack, 26 Method-opportunity-motive, 26-28, 837 Microkernel, 289 Microscope and tweezers, 202, 735 Microsoft, 222, 818 Microsoft Trustworthy Computing Initiative, 326 Microwave signal, 346 Minimality, 212 Minimization, data, for privacy, 608 Misidentification, 815 Missile attack, 844 Mission creep, 608 Mistakes, in data mining, 538 Misuse, system, 74 Mitigation, attack countermeasure, 28 Mitnick, Kevin, 17, 18 Mitre Corp, 14 Mix, in encryption, 792 Mix columns, in AES, 790 Mixmaster remailer, 634 Mixter (German hacker), 18 Mobile agent, hostile, 170, 430 Mobile phone, 4, 818 Mode, of access, 9, 72 Model-based intrusion detection system, 478

Modification, 87 asset. 7.8 code, 819 data, 11, 529 network, 361 program file, 177 protection against, 110, 113 sensitive data, 820 Modularity. in program design, 203, 204 in operating system design, 312 in operating system implementation, 322 Money laundering, 19 Monitor, operating system, 285 reference. see Reference monitor virtual machine, 292 Monitoring, 474, 483, 484 and privacy, 620 implanted medical device, 816 real-time, 546 security, 475 Moore's Law, 92 Morris Worm, 172, 175, 179, 209 Morris, Robert T., Jr., 18, 172, 179 Morris, Robert T., Sr., 43, 172, 417 Motivation, of attacker, 16, 19, 773, 837 Motive, 18, 19, 26, 816 Mudge, see Zatko, Peter Mulligan, Deirdre, 605 Multics, 80, 85, 216, 219, 290, 307, 326 Multifactor authentication, 70 Multipartite virus, 178 Multiple remailer, 634 Multiplexing, network, 345, 363 Multiprogramming, 285 Multistep attack, 148 Multitasking, 283 Murder, by computer, 816 Music-sharing service, 629 Mutual authentication, 561 Mutual suspicion, software characteristic, 207 MyDoom, 430 Name server, 414, 419 Name, domain, 444 named (name daemon), 414 NameNode, in Hadoop, 543 Napster, 707 NAT, see Network Address Translation

National Bureau of Standards, *see* U.S. National Bureau of Standards National Institute of Standards and Technology, *see* U.S.

National Institute of Standards and Technology

National Security Agency (NSA), see U.S. National Security Agency NATO, 845, 849 Natural disaster, 6, 13, 22, 686 building collapse, 687 fire, 687 flood, 686 water, 686 weather event, 687 NBS (National Bureau of Standards), see U.S. National Bureau of Standards Need to know, 739 Negative disclosure, 520 Netherlands, 318, 641 NetSky, 430 Network, 342 client-server, 18 communication, confidential, 443 data loss prevention, 474 design, 401 interception in, 343-346 monitoring, 560 penetration of, 844 port scan, 456 traffic flow, 401 transmission media, 343 Network Address Translation (NAT), 472 Network attack. component failure, 368 denial-of-service, 367 insertion, 364 interception, 354, 355 loss of service, 366 port scan, 369 replay, 364 routing, 367 sequencing, 363 substitution, 363 Network Interface Card (NIC), 351, 376, 380 Network management, 489 addressing, 490 bandwidth allocation, 490 blacklisting, 490 capacity planning, 489 load balancing, 489 rate limiting, 490 shunning, 490 sinkholing, 490 tuning, 490 Network-based intrusion detection system (NIDS), 476, 480 Networked storage, for backup, 697 Neumann, Peter, 311 NIC (Network Interface Card), 351, 376, 380

NIMDA, 172 Nissenbaum, Helen, 601 NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology), see U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology Nixon, Richard, 596 Nmap, scanning tool, 369 Noise. for privacy, 545 in communication, 109 Nonce, 108, 278, 793 Non-compete clause, employment contract, 728 Nonmalicious threat, 14, 420 Nonrandom attack, see Targeted attack Nonrepudiation, 7, 115 Nothing up my sleeve numbers, 806 Notice, privacy, 600 Notification, of data breach, 609 Novelty, patent requirement, 712, 713 NSA (National Security Agency), see U.S. National Security Agency Numbering, sequence, 419 OAuth 573 Access token, 574

Authorization server, 574 Client secret, 575 Client, 574 Refresh token, 576 Request token, 575 Resource owner, 574 Resource server, 574 Token, see OAuth access token Object, 72 access control, 284 data as, 9 name, 77 reuse, 325 Obscurity, security through (by), 185, 226, 356, 836 Odd parity, 111 Off-by-one error, 159 Offset, page, 306 Off-the-shelf. 3 OIDC (OpenID Connect), 577 One-time pad, 774, 807 One-time password, 52, 67, 244 One-way hash function, 799 Onion Routing, 443, 635 Online profile, 627 Open design, design principle, 217, 315 Open mode, wireless network, 384 Open System Interconnection (OSI) model, see OSI Openness, of private data collection, 597 Operating system, 136, 279-340, 513 abstraction in, 289

Apple iOS, 302 Apple Mac OS, 291 Audit in, 292 authentication by, 283 boot, 280 complexity of design, 291 complexity of, 187, 309 concurrency in, 286 correctness, 314 DEC VAX, 314 design of, 308, 820 device control, 283 device driver, 288 domain, 286 DOS. 302 for smartphone, 818 hierarchical design in, 311 history, 284 hypervisor, 292 kernel, 284, 312 layered design, 309 Linux, 291 loading in stages, 291 Mach, 302 monitor, 285 multiprogramming, 285 multitasking, 283 process, 286 resource allocation, 286 rootkit, 329 Scomp, 323 security kernel, 312 self-protection of, 290 simplicity of design, 309 single-user, 284 smartphone, 818 startup, 280 task, 286 thread of execution, 286 trusted system, 316 Unix, 291 virtualization, 292 Windows, 291, 302 Opportunity, of attack, 26 Optical fiber cable, interception from, 346 Opt-in botnet, 430 Orange Book, see Trusted Computer System Evaluation Criteria Organized crime, 15, 19, 177 Original work of authorship, 705 Originality, and copyright, 706 OSI (Open System Interconnection) model, 350, 433, 435, 439, 455, 462 Out-of-band communication, 244

Overflow. buffer. see Buffer overflow data, 149 integer, 160 parameter, 140 segment, 306 table, 143 Overload, denial of service, 11, 399 Oversight, of data collection, 603 Ownership, of data, 8, 594, 596 PaaS (Platform as a Service), 552, 557 Pacemaker, 4, 816 Packet, 351, 415, 458, 477 Packet filtering gateway, 456, 461, 467 Packet sniffer, 343 Page, offset in, 306 Page, size of, 307 Page-in-the-middle attack, 237 Paging, 306 combined with segmentation, 307 memory protection in, 307 Palin, Sarah, 39, 52 Parameter overflow, 140 Parameter, mismatch of, 162 Parity, 110 check, 109 even, 111 odd, 111 Parker, Donn, 18 Partial plaintext attack, 770 Passenger Name Record (PNR), 604 Password(s), 40-51, 266, 568, 610, 657, 738, 794, 844 attack on 42 choosing, 48 common, 45 concealment of, 46 database, 266 dictionary attacks on, 43 disclosure of, 41 forgotten, 42 guessing attack, 42-45 guessing, 761 inference of, 41, 43 loss of, 42 manager, 564 one-time, see One-time password reuse of. 266 revocation of, 42 selection, 48 shared, 569 table, 46 use of. 41 variations of, 50

weak. 568 written 50, 51 Patch, 419 hasty, 816 penetrate and, See Penetrate-and-patch program, 172, 184, 731, 733 timeliness, 732 Patent, 711 employment and, 727 enforcement, 713 for software, 713 infringement, 712-713 invalidation of, 713 license of technology, 712 nonobviousness requirement, 712 novelty requirement, 712 of employee's invention, 727 of RSA, 802 ownership of, 726 prior invention, 713 registration of, 712 RSA algorith, 802 search of previous inventions, 712 software, 713 tangible invention, 711 Path, network, 359 Pattern analysis, against encryption, 769 Pattern, malicious code, 192, 198, 200 Pattern-matching for intrusion detection, 477, 479 for malicious code, 192, 198, 200 PayPal, 621 PBKDF2, 562, 564 P-box, in DES, 787 PCI DSS (Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard), 555 Peer-to-peer file sharing, 629, 707 Penetrate-and-patch, program assurance myth, 224, 336, 733.816 Penetration testing, 218 Pentium chip, Intel, floating-point error, 10 People, as asset, 671 Performance testing, 211 Performance, database, 511 Perimeter, network, 359 security, 354, 471 Permission, for data access, 596 Permission-based, design principle, 217, 218, 316 Permutation step, in DES, 96 Permutation, in cryptography, 782 Persistent cross-site scripting attack, 262 Persistent virus, 168 Personal computer, backup, 696

Personal data, 820 Personal firewall, 464 Perturbation, data, 529, 534, 617 Petmail. 240 PGP, 276, 633 Phishing, 274, 635 Phishing attack, G20 summit partners, 275 Korean diplomats, 275 RSA Corp., 275 White House staffers, 275 Phone, mobile, 4 Photon gun, 811 orientation of, 807 reception of, 808 Physical access, 773 Physical access, unauthorized, 689 Physical connection failure, 420 Physical integrity, database, 507 Physical protection, of computer, 284 Physical security, 447 Physical security, for separation, 296 PIN, in authentication, 40, 67, 244 Ping of death, 404 Ping, 477 Piracy, of intellectual property, 707 Plaintext, 88, 96, 103, 434 Plaintext and ciphertext attack, 770 Plaintext-only attack, 770 Plan, incident response, see Incident response plan Plan, security, see Security plan Planning, contingency, 694 Point-to-point communication, 633 Poisoning, DNS cache, 418 Polarizing filter, 808 Policy, 72 access control, 9, 12 privacy, 600, 601, 609, 626 security, 453, 466, 649 Politics, and cyberwarfare, 850 Polymorphic virus, 193 Poor programming practice, 158 POP (Post Office Protocol), 353, 370 server, 633 Pop-up ad, 630 Porras, Phil, 428 Port, 353, 370, 472 Port scan, network, 369, 450, 456, 476 Post Office Protocol (POP), see POP Power consumption, 817 loss, 688 spike, 688

supply, uninterruptible, 688 surge, 688 Precaution, 22 Precision, of data, 11, 530 Precision, with risk analysis, 684 Predictability, in Dual-EC, 806 Prediction, from RFID sensor, 639 Predictive value, in authentication, 57 Preferred association, in wireless network, 386 Pretty Good Privacy, see PGP Prevalence, in authentication, 56 Prevention, attack countermeasure, 28 Price of computer objects, 833 on the Internet, 631 Primary Colors, 246 Privacy Act (U.S.), see U.S., Privacy Act Privacy officer, 739 Privacy, 586 access control for, 594 accuracy of data, 596, 599, 603, 608 adware, 629 affected parties, 589 anonymity and, 605 anonymization, 597, 613 breach notification law, 740 children's web access, 598 cloud computing, 642 collection limitation, 596 commerce and, 604 context of data use, 588, 601 controlled disclosure, 587 cookie, 627 correctness, data, 596, 599, 608 data accuracy, 596, 599, 603, 608 data collection by government, 738 data mining, 537 data modification, 597 data ownership, 592 data quality, 596, 599, 603, 608 data retraction, 594 deception prohibited, 600 determining sensitivity, 587 disappearing email, 635 disclosure, controlled, 587 E.U. Data Protection Act, 742 economics and, 832 email monitoring and, 632, 633 email remailer, 634 encryption and, 597 erroneous data, 608 ethical aspect of, 752 Europe, 603 expectation of, 633

fair market, 632 financial service organization, 598 government data misuse and, 607 government surveillance and, 645 Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, 739 Hadoop, 544 Internet user ID, 622 laws, 597, 736 limited data collection, 603 limited data retention, 597 limited use, 597 linking of identities, 613 loss of, 814 medical data, 598, 739 new technology and, 643 notice of collection, 599, 600 online profile, 627 ownership, data, 592 permission for access, 596 policy statement of, 598 RFID tag, 638 safeguards for, 597 security of collected data, 599 specific purpose for use, 596, 603 spyware, 629 student records, 598 telephony, 642 U.S. e-Government Act, 599 U.S. government websites, 599 U.S. Privacy Act, 738 versus confidentiality, 589 voting, 641 web bug, 628 Privacy-preserving data mining, 617 Private cloud, 552, 555 Private key, in cryptography, 101, 102, 109, 126 Privilege, 73, 85, 158 escalation, 139, 145, 165 least, see Least privilege list, in access control, 82 limited, 75 limited, in operating system, 317 operating system, 139 root, 329 rootkit. 333 separation of, design principle, 217, 317 Probability, classical, 676 frequency, 676 subjective, 676 Probable plaintext attack, against encryption, 770, 793 Probable value disclosure, 520 Procedure call, 136 Procedure oriented access control, 85

Process, 286, 320 Process activation, in operating system, 320 Processor, controlled access to, 283 Product cipher, encryption, 782 Product failure, redress for, 728 Profile online, 627 protection, see Protection profile user 68 Profit, motive for attack, 19 Program assurance myth, penetrate-and-patch, 224 penetration testing, 218 security through (by) obscurity, 185, 226, 356, 836 Program assurance technique, code review. 221 formal methods, 220 penetration testing, 218 proof of correctness, 219 testing, 211 validation, 221 Program complexity, 149 Program counter, modification of, 136, 148, 149 protection of, 150 vulnerability of, 147 Program design complete mediation, 217, 316 defense in depth, 218 defensive programming, 222 Design by contract, 223 ease of use, 217, 317 economy of mechanism, 217, 316 least common mechanism, 217, 317 least privilege, 216, 218, 316 open design, 217, 316 permission-based, 217, 218, 317 separation of privilege, 217, 317 simplicity, 217 validate input, 217 Program development practices, 216 cohesion, 206 encapsulation, 204, 206 information hiding, 204, 206 isolation, 203 modularity, 203, 204 mutual suspicion, 207 Program equivalence, 189, 218, 219 Program file, modification of, 177 Program flaw, 184 Program implementation, 150. See also Program development practices Program use, responsibility for use, 758 Program verification, 219

Program, resident, 188 Program, shared access to, 287 Program, terminate-and-stay-resident, 188 Programmer, responsibility for program use, 758 Programming error, buffer overflow, 134, 139, 145 faulty serialization, 163 input validation failure, 152 off-by-one, 159 race condition, 163 synchronization, 163 time-of-check to time-of-use, 159 unchecked data, 153 Programming language, 150 Programming practice, poor 158 Project, database operation, 504 Promiscuous access point, 386 Proof of correctness, program assurance technique, 219 Propagation, access right, 77, 83 encryption error, 778 malicious code, 180 Property, as asset, 3 Property, legal rules of, 734 Proprietary software, 756 Prosecution, 426 Protected speech, 595 Protection, 3, 6, 75, 87 consumer financial, 621 cookie data, 625 copyright, 704 critical data, 281 data, 11 differentiated, 305 for computer objects, 716, 717, 721 inadequate, 608 layered, 471 memory, 284, 321 mobile agent, 430 of critical data, 281 of data, 11 of implanted medical device, 817 Protection profile, 328 Protocol, 351 Protocol, cryptographic key exchange, 105, 107 Protocol, WiFi, 376 Protocol analysis, stateful, 479 Proxy, application, see Application proxy firewall Pseudonym and privacy, 606, 613 for email, 634 of an object, 77 PSOS (Provably Secure Operating System), 311, 326 Psychology, of attacker, 16-17

902 Index

Public cloud, 552, 555, 561
Public domain, 705, 755
ECC in the, 802
Public hot spot, wireless, 382, 383
Public key cryptography, **89**, 93, 100, 101, 102, 109, 118, 795, 802
for digital signature, 114, 116, 118
Public scrutiny, of cryptographic algorithm, 779
Pull, command-and-control update, 428
Purpose for data use, and privacy, 597
Push, command-and-control update, 428

Qualitative risk analysis, 677 Quality of data, and privacy, 608 of service, as asset, 3 of software, 733, 816 Quantification, of security, 825 Quantitative risk analysis, 677 Quantum cryptography, 807 Quantum physics, 807 Query analysis, database, 535 Query language, database, 504 Query, database, 504

Rabbit, 170 Race condition, 163, 815 RACS (Redundant Array of Cloud Storage), 557 Radar, jamming, 844 Radiation therapy machine, 815 Radiation, for network interception, 343 Radio frequency identification, see RFID Rainbow table, 47 Randell, Brian, 296 Random attack, 14 Random number generator, 775, 786, 792, 806 Random sample disclosure, database, 534 Randomization, of address space, 210 Range disclosure, database, 533 Ransom, 400, 425 Rate limiting, 490 Rationality, 831 RC2, 792 RC4, 389, 393, 792 RC5, 794 RC6, 795 Realm discovery, 571 Rearrangement, encrypted data, 786 Reasoning, ethical, 747 Record, database, 502 Record-keeping, incident, 664 Recovery, 198 attack countermeasure, 28 database, 516

from malicious code attack, 179 system, 74 Redirection browser, 237 traffic, 413 Redundancy, 421, 428 backup and, 697 database, 506 Hadoop and, 543 network design, 367 testing, 109 Reference monitor, 76, 155, 313, 454 Reflections on Trusting Trust, 172 Register, base, 298 bounds, 298 fence, 298 program counter, 136 stack pointer, 136, 146 Registration, copyright, 708 patent, 712 Regression testing, 213 Regularity, in cryptography, 774 Regulation, 834 Relation, database, 504 Reliability, 421 data, 827 database, 513 software, 185 Relocation, program, 301 Remailer, email. 634 multiple, 634 Remanence, magnetic, 325 Remote access Trojan horse (RAT), 170 Remote wipe, 559 Rent-a-bot, 429 Repetition, in cryptanalysis, 776 Replacement, of data, 3, 4 Replacement virus, 182 Replay attack, 432 authentication credentials, 365 network communication, 364 Replication, data, 697 Reputation, as asset, 671 Requirements, security, 212, 649, 651 Resident routine, 188 Resident virus, 168 Residual risk, 23 Resilience, network, 847 Resolution, addressing, 414 Response team, 663 Response, timeliness of, 11

Responsibility, for security implementation, 650, 653 Retraction, data, 594 Return address spoofing (network), 406 subprocedure, 139 Reuse. authentication data, 243 digital signature, 802 object, 325 serial. 287 software 206 Reuters, 19 Reverse engineering, 714, 817 Review, program, 158, 819 Revocation, of access, 76 Revolving backup, 695 RFID, 636 device, 817 reader, 638 tag, 529, 636 Right versus wrong, 747 Rights of individuals to privacy, 597 Rijndael, 98, 790. See also AES Ripple effect, 827 Risk. 824 analysis, see Risk analysis assumption of, 669 avoidance of, 669 communication of, 831 data access and, 607 exposure, 669 extreme events, 24-25 leverage, 669 management, 22 perception of, 25, 831 residual, see Residual risk transfer of, 669 Risk analysis, 23, 650, 668 accuracy of, 685 benefits, 684 control selection, 680 difficulty to perform, 685 disadvantages, 684 exposure, 681 lack of accuracy, 685 likelihood estimation, 676 qualitative, 677 quantitative, 677 Rivest, Ronald, 103, 107, 792, 795, 800 Rivest-Shamir-Adelman cryptographic algorithm (RSA), see RSA Robustness, network, 847 Rogue access point, 383 Rogue host, in wireless network, 384

Rogue network connection, 382 Rogue program, 167 Role-based access control, 85-86 root (Unix identity), 329 Root name server (Internet), 414, 419 Rootkit, 170, 465, 474, 612 Alureon, 334, 336 detection of, 334 eradication of, 334 in operating system, 329 in phone, 329 integration of, 332 mobile phone, 329 operating system, 329 Sonv XCP. 335 stealth, 333, 335 TDL-3, 334 Round, in AES, 98 Rounded disclosure, 533 Router, 351, 352, 401, 492. See also Routing Router, screening, see Packet filtering gateway Routing, 352, 355, 359, 367, 410, 413, 434, 436 RSA Corp., 275, 439, 779, 795, 804 RSA encryption algorithm, 102 cryptanalysis of, 103 key selection in, 798 speed of encryption, 103 Rule of engagement, 848 Rule of evidence, 734 Rushby, John, 296 Russia, 19, 391, 397, 743, 843, 845 S/MIME, 277, 633 SaaS (Software as a Service), 552, 557 Safe harbor, 604, 742 Safe language, 149 Safeguards, privacy, 597 Safety, 815 Salt, password table, 47 Saltzer, Jerome, 75, 202, 216, 315, 735 SAML (Security Assertion Markup Language), 570 Asserting Party, see IdP Assertion, 572 Authentication Request, 572 Authentication Response, 572 IdP (Identity Provider), 571 Relying Party, see SP SP (Service Provider), 571 Subject, 571 Token, see Authentication Response Sample size concealment, database, 534 Sampling, 55 Sampling, statistical, 532

San Diego Supercomputer Center, 18 Sandbox, 294 Sanitization, object, 325 Sasser, 431 SATAN (password administration tool), 43, 369 Satellite communication, network, 346 S-box, in DES, 787, 789 Scan, port, 369, 456 Scareware, 170, 195 Schaefer, Marv, 221 Schell, Roger, 172, 219, 225 Schema, database, 502 Schneier, Bruce, 801 Schroeder, Michael, 75, 216, 315 Scomp, 323, 326 Scope, incident, 667 Screening router, see Packet filtering gateway Script(ed) attack, 261, 423, 839 Script kiddies, 196 Seal, tamper detecting, 108, 112, 113 Secrecy, assurance myth, 227 code, 158, 185, 846 communication, 116 encryption, 777 programming, 184 security weakness of, 158, 185, 836 voting, 837 Secret key encryption, see Symmetric encryption Secret, shared, in authentication, 243 Secure Hash Standard (SHS), see SHS Secure programming, see also Program development practices Secure Socket Layer (SSL), see SSL SecurID authentication token, 67, 244, 275 Security add-on, 364 association, in IPsec, 444 computer, 2 cost of, 171 designing for, 212 kernel, 287, 312, 322 operations center (SOC), 397, 492, 666 perimeter, 354 physical, 447 policy, 72, 466 program development, 158 quantifying, 825 software design and, 310 success of, 32 through (by) obscurity, 185, 226, 356, 836 Security Essentials, Microsoft security tool, 250 Security Information and Event Management (SIEM), 492, 493, 560, 568

Security plan, 648, 668 acceptance of, 656 controls, 653 extensibility, 655 maintenance of, 655 requirements, 653 responsibility for implementation, 653 risk analysis, 668 team members, 656 timetable, 655 Segment, offset in, 304 Segment, size of, 305, 307 Segmentation, combined with paging, 307 Segmentation, memory, 303 Selective backup, 696 Self-protection, of operating system, 290 Sensitive data, 587 access from smartphone, 818 control of, 814 database, 518, 529 disposal of, 692, 772 exposure of, 177, 818 interception of, 236, 692, 772 protection of, 603 RFID tags and, 638 timeliness of, 844 Sensitive information, subject to Freedom of Information act. 738 Sensitivity, in authentication, 56 Sensitivity, in data mining, 537 Sensor, 640, 815 Separation, 72, 259, 296, 305 code from data, 150 controlled, 296 cryptographic, 296 data, 11 layering, 310 logical, 296 malicious code countermeasure, 195 physical 296, 688 potential malicious code, 197 privilege, design principle, 217, 316 security kernel, 312 TCB from non-TCB code, 320 temporal, 296 using firewall, 452 virtualization, 292 Sequencing attack, 363 Sequencing, TCP, 415 Serial reuse, 287 Serialization flaw, 163 Server, 286 Server-side include, 265 Service, degradation of, 849

Service, denial of, see Denial of service Service, theft of, 750 Session hijack attack, 386, 394, 415 Session, wireless communication, 393 Severity, of harm, 22 SHA, 113, 800 SHA-2, 800 SHA-256 564 SHA-3, 801 Shadow field, database, 516 Shakespeare, 246 Shamir, Adi, 103, 107, 788, 795 Shannon, Claude, 90, 777 Shared data space, 141 Shared infrastructure, 566, 580 Shared key, encryption, 92 Shared passwords, 569 Shared secret, in authentication, 243 Shared use, operating system, 285 Sharing, 74 controlled, 287, 296 data, 287 enforced, 281 fair. 753 incident response information, 667 programs, 287 resource, 358 total, 296 Shielding, blocking electronic emanation, 693 Shift row, in AES, 790 Shneiderman, Ben, 654 Shock, electrical, 816, 817 Shopping, on the Internet, 630 Shredding, paper, 692 SHS ((Secure Hash Standard), see SHA Shunning, 431, 490 SIEM, see Security Information and Event Management Signature, digital, see Digital signature Signature, malicious code, 192, 198, 200 Signature-based intrusion detection system, 476, 494 Signed code, 251 Silent Banker, 234 Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP), see SMTP Simplicity, encryption process, 778 program design principle, 217 program quality, 205 Simultaneous access, 11 Simultaneous execution, 286 Single point of failure, 55, 557 Single sign-on, 68, 461, 569 Single-key encryption, see Symmetric encryption Single-user computer, 284 Sinkholing, 490

Situation assessment, by intrusion detection system, 488 Size, of ciphertext, 778 Skimmer, 324 Skimming, of authentication tokens, 67 Skype, 642 SLA (Service Level Agreement), 555, 567 Slammer, 172, 175 Small sample concealment, database, 534 Smart device, 814 Smartphone, 817 SMTP (Simple Mail Transfer Protocol), 273 SMTP server, 633 Smurf attack, 404 Snapchat, 635 Sniffer, 343, 345 Snow, Brian, 19 SoBig, 172, 175 SOC, see, Security Operations Center Social engineering, 50, 844 Software. as asset, 3, 671 as asset, 671 cohesion of, 206 correctness of, 206, 728, 729 coupling, 206 encapsulation of, 206 failure, 6, 730 failure, 728 flaw reporting, 731 information hiding in, 206 license of, 727, 756 maintenance of, 205 ownership of, 725, 754 patching, 731, 733 proprietary, 756 quality of, 733 quality, 210, 221 reliability, 815 return of defective, 730 reuse of, 206 shrink-wrapped, 729 usability, 728 Software as a Service (SaaS), 552, 557 Software design, 310 damage control in, 311 hierarchical, 311 security kernel, 312 Software development practices, see Program development practices Sony XCP rootkit, 335 Source address spoofing, 404 Source, in big data, 547 Spafford, Eugene, 761

Spam, 431, 633, 635, 740 advertising with, 270 fee to send, 273 laws, 271 links to malicious code sites, 271 outside legal jurisdictions, 271 pattern recognition, 272 pharmaceuticals, 270 pump-and-dump, 270 stocks, 270 U.S. Can Spam Act, 272 unreliable source address, 272 volume limitation, 272 volume of, 268 Spear phishing, 274, 844 Special operations, 842 Specificity, in authentication, 56 Speech. Protected, 595 Speed, of encryption, 126 Splicing, cable, 344, 363 code modification, 337 Spoof(ing), 844 address, 413, 490 DNS. 409 email. 635 source address, 404 Spying, 92, 845 Spyware, 170, 628, 630 SOL. 504 SQL injection attack, 263 Square, payment scheme, 621 SSH (Secure shell) encryption, 438 SSID (Security Set Identifier), 378, 381, 383 cloaking, 384 automatic connection to, 387 SSL (Secure Socket Layer) encryption, 235, 387, 438, 444, 561, 794 Apple bug, 213 big data applications. 548 lack of diversity in implementation of, 210 session in, 439 STaaS (Storage as a Service), see Cloud storage Stack, 146 Stack frame, 146 Stack frame, protection of, 150 Stack memory, 136, 139 Stack pointer, 136, 146 Stack pointer, protection of, 150 Stack smashing, 145, 148 StackGuard (stack protection software), 150 Stalker, 820 Startup (automatically executing program), 181, 189 Startup, operating system 280, 323

State machine, 479 State-based intrusion detection system, 478 Stateful inspection firewall, 458 Stateful protocol analysis, 479 Statistical analysis, 477 in cryptanalysis, 776 Statistical sampling, 532 Statistics, web use, 626 Statute, see Law Stealth, 487 mode, wireless network, 384 malicious code, 189, 190 428 Steganography, 192 Stoll, Cliff, 295, 667 Storage, networked, for backup, 697 Strategy, business continuity, 660 strcpy, string copy utility, 162 Stream cipher, 793 Stream encryption, 93 Street View, Google, 378 Strength, of encryption, 97, 777 String copy program, 162 String length, 161 null-terminated, 161 termination, 161 strncpy, string copy utility, 162 STU-III secure telephone, 244 Stuxnet, 20, 174, 175, 368, 843, 847 Subject, 38, 72 Subject, data, 9 Subjective probability, 676 Subnet, 450 Subprocedure, 139 Subschema, database, 502 Substitution, 363 attack, 363 encrypted data, 786 in AES, 98 in cryptography, 95, 103, 774 step, in DES, 96 Subtask, 204 Subversion, 815 Suit, contract law, 725 Suite B, cryptographic algorithms, 803 Supervisor, operating system, 136, 280 Suppression, data, 529 Suppression, limited response, 532 Surface, attack, see Attack surface Surfing, and privacy, 624 Surge suppressor, 688 Surveillance, government, and privacy, 645 Survey results, comparability of, 830 Swapping, 303

database, 535 value, 618 Sweeney, Latanya, 527, 615 Switching cloud providers, 556 Symmetric cipher, 789 Symmetric encryption, 88, 92, 96, 786 SYN flood attack, 405 SYN packet, 406 SYN-ACK, 406 Synchronization, 281 program, 163 TCP. 416 Syria, 844, 845 System log, 567, 582 System, computer, 3 System, trusted, see Trusted system System, usability of, 12 Syverson, Paul, 443 Tablet computer, 818 Tag, RFID, 636 Tagged architecture, 301, 305 Tamper detection, 151 Tampering, data, 109 Tampering, protection against, 113 Tamperproof, reference monitor property, 76 Tamper-resistant seal, 840 Target, attractive, 27 Target Corp., 609, 616 Targeted attack, 14, 19 Targeting, behavioral, 626 Task, 286 background, 358 TCP connection, 415 **TCP/IP**, 439 TCSEC (Trusted Computer System Evaluation Criteria), see Trusted Computer System Evaluation Criteria TDL-3 (malicious code), 334 TDSS rootkit, 336 Teardrop attack, 407 Telecommuter, 449 Teleology, 748 Telephony, privacy and, 642 Television, 1 Temperature, effect on semiconductor, 772 Tempest, 693 Template, for biometric authentication, 59, 62 Temporal Key Integrity Program (TKIP), 393 Terminate-and-stay-resident routine, 188 Terms of service, 643 Terms of use, 592, 763 Terrorism, 20-21 and privacy, 607 Testing, 210, 221

acceptance, 211 black-box, 214 clear-box, 214 completeness of, 214 coverage of, 214 effectiveness of, 215 function, 211 independent, 215 installation, 211 integration, 211 limitations of, 215 penetration, 218 performance, 211 regression, 213 unit. 211 TFN. see Tribal flood network TFN2K, see Tribal flood network 2000, The Cuckoo's Egg, 668 Theft, 689, 692, 734 credit card, 19, 22 deterring, 692 identity, 609 Therac 25, 815 Third-party ad, 622 Third-party cookie, 625 Thompson, Ken, 43, 172 Thread, 163, 286 Threat, 5, 6, 8, 13, 25 Threat, 6, 8 Advanced Persistent, see Advanced Persistent Threat for decision-making, 826 malicious, 14 network disconnection, 849 nonmalicious, 14, 420 Threat surface, 820 Threshold, 55 Ticket, access control mechanism, 82 Tiger team analysis, see Penetration testing Time bomb, 170 Time theft of, 750 response see Response time value of, 824 wait, see Wait time Timeliness, 777 data, 827 response, 11 sensitive data, 844 value of asset, 4 Time-of-check to time-of-use (TOCTTOU) error, 155 TJMaxx, data theft, 19, 391 TKIP (Temporal Key Integrity Program), 393 TLS (Transport Layer Security) encryption, see SSL TNO (Trust No One), 562, 564

TOCTTOU error, see Time-of-check to time-of-use error Toilet sensor, 592 Token. active. 66 dynamic, 67 for authentication, 65, 66 passive, 66 **RFID**, 636 static 66 Tolerance, fault, see Fault tolerance Toolkit, 166, 170, 196 Top level domain, 414 Topology, network, 849 TOR (The Onion Router), see Onion routing Tort law, 722 Totient function, Euler, 797 Tracker inference in database, 524 web page, 623 Tracking active, 628 Internet, 254, 620, 622, 623, 627 passive, 627 RFID tag, 638 Tracking bug, 254 Trade secret, 714, 720, 734 enforcement, 714 improper access to, 714 ownership of, 727 reverse engineering, 714 secrecy of, 714 Trademark, 717 Traffic redirection, 413 Transfer, of risk, 669 Transient virus, 168 Translation, address, see Address translation Transmission error, 361 failure, 420 of malicious code, 180 Transparency, and privacy, 629 Transparent image, Internet, 629 Transport mode, in IPsec, 446 Transposition, in cryptography, 95, 103, 774 Trapdoor, 158, 170, 356, 787, 790, 845 Treaty, 848 Trespassing, 761 Triad, C-I-A, see C-I-A triad Triage, incident response, 664 Tribal flood network (TFN), 18, 424 Tribal flood network year 2000 edition (TFN2K), 18, 424 Trin00 (malicious software), 18, 424 Triple DES, 96-97, 98 Triple, access control, 78-79, 81

Tripwire (modification detection program), 112, 165, 251, 481 Trojan horse, 169, 170, 423. See also Malicious code Trope, Roland, 730 Trust, 76, 117, 172, 288, 310, 316, 409, 412, 454, 818, 838 Trusted code, 289 Trusted Computer System Evaluation Criteria, 318, 323, 327, 651 Trusted Computing Base (TCB), 318, 319 Trusted path, 323 Trusted system, 316 Trustworthy Computing Initiative, Microsoft, 222, 326 Truth, 747, 762 Tuning, network, 431, 489 Tunnel mode, in IPsec, 446 Tunnel, encrypted, 448 Tuple, database, 504 Turn, Rein, 597 Tversky, Amos, 25 Twitter, 595 Two-factor authentication, 70 Two-phase update, database, 514 U.S. Children's Online Privacy Protection Act (COPPA), 598 U.S. Computer Emergency Response Team (CERT), 424 U.S. Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 738 U.S. Department of Defense, 7, 608, 694, 842 U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, 596 U.S. Department of Justice, 15, 19, 610 U.S. Economic Espionage Act, 738 U.S. Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), 19, 20, 21, 61.64 U.S. Federal Educational Rights and Privacy Act, 598 U.S. Federal Trade Commission, 599, 601, 610 U.S. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 738 U.S. Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), 598, 739, 753 U.S. National Bureau of Standards (NBS) 95, 97, 779, 788. See also U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 14, 95, 98, 429, 789, 800, 801, 806, 811. See also U.S. National Bureau of Standards U.S. National Security Agency (NSA), 97, 781, 787, 788, 794, 801, 803, 805, 806 U.S. Privacy Act, 597, 738 U.S. Uniform Commercial Code (UCC), 729 U.S.A. Patriot Act, 740 UCC, see U.S. Uniform Commercial Code Ukraine, 166, 845 Unchecked data, 153 Undecidability, see Decidability

Undocumented access point, 27, 157. See also Backdoor

Unintentional error, 6, 420 Uninterruptible power supply, 688 Unique identity, 606 Unit testing, 211 United States, 15, 19, 211, 743, 772, 843, 846 Unix, 81, 291, 329 Unsafe code, 150 Unterminated string, 161 Usability, 51, 75, 242 in the large, 51, 52 in the small, 51, 52 system 12 voting system, 841 Use, asset, 7 data, 11, 608 User, 72 User interface, 815, 840 User-in-the-middle, 237 Utilitarianism, 749 Utility program, 284 Validation, program assurance technique, 221 Value swapping, 618 Value, of asset, 4, 6, 21 Value, of data, 736 Vandalism, 689 Variability, in biometric authentication, 55, 59, 64 VAX, DEC computer, 290 Vendor lock-in, 556 Venema, Wietse, 369 Verifiability, reference monitor property, 76 Verification, program, see Program verification Verizon Breach Report, 171 Vernam cipher, 775 Viewing, data, 9 Viewing, asset, 7 Virginia, 421 Virtual infrastructure, 581 Virtual machine, 292, 579 Virtual memory, 303 Virtual private network (VPN), 447, 492, 633 Virtualization, in operating system, 292 Virus, 167, 329 appended, 181 attachment of, 188 boot sector, 187 destructive, 176 detector, 198-199, 295 document, 180 encrypting, 194 hoax, 176 memory-resident, 188 multipartite, 178

persistent, 168 polymorphic, 193 resident, 168 transient, 168 See also Malicious code VM (Virtual Machine), 558, 567, 579 Voice over IP. see VOIP VoIP. 642 Volume-based attack, denial of service, see Volumetric attack Volumetric attack, 398, 399, 423 Voting, electronic, casting a ballot, 834 counting ballots, 836 privacy, 641 VPN, see Virtual private network Vulnerability, 5, 6, 28 backdoor, 158 disclosure of, 731-733, 760, 833 disclosure, full, 760 disclosure, partial, 760 electronic voting, 834 exploitation, 419 finding, 760 for decision-making, 826 paper-based election, 834 race condition, 163 reporting, responsible, 732 risk analysis, 672 scanning, 431, 482 search for, 761 toolkit, 166, 419 trapdoor, 158 undocumented entry, 158 zero-day, 172 Vulnerability-threat-control paradigm, 5 Wait time, 11 Waladec, spam network, 269, 429 War driving, network, 382 War of the Worlds, 2 Ware, Willis, 13, 172, 318, 596, 597 Warfare, conventional, 842, 846 Warfare, cyber, see Cyber warfare Watergate burglary, 596 Watermark, digital, 710 Weak encryption, 388 Weak passwords, 568 Weakness, 5 in cryptography, 806 Weapon, cyber, 847 kinetic, 847 Web [the], see Internet

Web bug, 254, 627 content, false, 246 hosting, 566 page, fake, 117 site defacement, 20, 246 site, fake, 249 site, privacy of, 599, 600 Welke, Stephen, 10-11 Welles, Orson, 2 Wells, H. G., 2 WEP (Wired Equivalent Privacy), 379, 388, 398, 794 weaknesses in. 389-390 Whistle blower, 613 White hat hacking, 759 White House, victim of phishing attack, 275 Whitelisting, application, 581 Whittaker, James, 210, 211, 214 WiFi communication, 364, see also Wireless network frame, 379 signal interception, 391 WikiLeaks, 473, 486, 595, 620 Wild card, in access control, 81 Windows operating system, 291, 302, 339, 818 Wireless client, 364 Wireless communication, 364, 376, 816. See also WiFi communication Wireless network association, 380 authentication, 380 authentication, 385 availability in, 382 base station, 382 broadcast mode, 384 closed mode, 384 confidentiality, 381 encryption in, 383 integrity in, 381 open mode, 384 rogue access point, 383 rogue host in. 384 stealth mode, 384 Wireless network vulnerability, association hijacking, 386 authentication, nonexistent, 390

availability, 382 confidentiality, 381 encryption initialization vector collision, 389 faulty integrity check, 390 incomplete authentication, 394 integrity, 381 integrity check, 390 integrity failure, 395 MAC address spoofing, 394 man-in-the-middle, 394 no authentication, 390 promiscuous access point, 386 rogue host, 384 session hijack, 394 short encryption key, 388 static encryption key, 388 weak encryption, 388 Wiretap attack, 242, 343, 344, 354, 355, 360, 628, 739, 770, 771 Word macro virus, 10 Work factor, 227 Work factor, cryptographic, 91, 97 Work for hire, 726 World Intellectual Property Organization Treaty of 1996, 705 World War II, 89, 107, 771, 772 Worm, 168. See also Malicious code Worm, Morris, see Morris worm WPA (WiFi Protected Access), 390, 794 WPA attack. MAC address spoofing, 394 man-in-the-middle, 394 XCP, Sony rootkit, 335 XMLDSig (XML digital signature), 572 x-ray, 815 Yes-or-no test, in authentication, 56, 62 Yoran, Amit, 209

Zatko, Peter (Mudge), 139–140 Zero-day exploit, 172 **419** Zeus, vulnerability toolkit, 245, 419 Zip code, U.S. 615 Zombie, 170, 423, 426