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“Finally, a practical down-to-earth guide that is true to agile values and principles while at the same time acknowledging the realities of the business and the bigger picture. You will find no purist dogma here, nor any hype or hyperbole. Ambler and Lines show how to navigate the varied contexts and constraints of both team-level and enterprise-level needs to hit the agile ‘sweet spot’ for your team and attain the real benefits of sustainable agility. I wish I’d had this book ten years ago!”
—Brad Appleton, agile/lean development champion for a large fortune 150 telecommunications company

“We have found the guidance from Disciplined Agile Delivery to be a great help in customizing our PMO governance for agile projects at CP Rail. The book will definitely be on the must-read list for teams using agile delivery.”
—Larry Shumlich, project manager coach, Canadian Pacific Railway

“This book is destined to become the de facto standard reference guide for any organization trying to apply agile/scrum in a complex environment. Scott and Mark provide practical guidance and experiences from successful agile teams on what it takes to bring an end-to-end agile delivery lifecycle to the enterprise.”
—Elizabeth Woodward, IBM agile community leader, coauthor of A Practical Guide to Distributed Scrum

“There are many ways to achieve the benefits of agility, so it’s really encouraging to see a pragmatic and usable ‘umbrella’ description that encapsulates most of these without becoming a diluted kind of ‘best of’ compilation, or a one-size-fits-all. Great reading for anyone orientating themselves in an ever-growing and complex field.”
—Nick Clare, agile coach/principal consultant, Ivar Jacobson International

“Scott and Mark have compiled an objective treatment of a tough topic. Loaded with insights from successful application under game conditions, this book strikes a good balance between progressive agilists looking to accelerate change and conservative organizational managers looking for scalable solutions.”
—Walker Royce, chief software economist, IBM

“Disciplined Agile Delivery, a hybrid and experience-based approach to software delivery, reflects the growing trend toward pragmatism and away from the anti-syncretism that has plagued the software development industry for over 40 years. I commend Scott and Mark for writing this book and showing the leadership necessary to take our profession to the next level.”
—Mark Kennaley, CTO, Software-Development-Experts.com; author of SDLC 3.0: Beyond a Tacit Understanding of Agile

“I’ve seen ‘certified agile’ run rampant in an organization and create more severe problems than it solved. Finally, we have a definitive source on how to apply agile pragmatically with discipline to deliver success. Thanks, Scott and Mark.”
—Carson Holmes, EVP, service delivery, Fourth Medium Consulting, Inc.
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Foreword

The process wars are over, and agile has won. While working at Forrester, we observed that agile methods had gone mainstream, with the majority of organizations saying that they were using agile on at least 38% of their projects. But the reality of agile usage, as Scott and Mark point out, is far from the original ideas described by the 17 thought leaders in 2001. Instead, agile is undermined by organizational inertia, politics, people’s skills, management practices, vendors, and outsourced development. I observed that the reality of agile was something more akin to water-scrum-fall—water-scrum describing the inability of an organization to start any project without a lengthy phase up front that defined all the requirements, planning the project in detail, and even doing some of the design. Scrum-fall defines the release practices operated by most organizations in which software is released infrequently, with costly and complex release practices that include manual deployments and testing. Water-scrum-fall is not all bad, with some benefits to the development team working in an iterative, scrum-based way, but water-scrum-fall does not release the power of agile. Enterprise agile not only creates the most efficient software development process but more importantly delivers software of greater business value. It is my assertion that scaled, enterprise-level agile is therefore not just important for your software-delivery organization but crucial for business success. Fixing water-scrum-fall will increase business value and enable organizations to compete. And this book provides a framework to make that happen.

In this book, Scott and Mark, two very experienced software-delivery change agents, describe a detailed framework for how to scale agile to the enterprise. They show how change leaders can amplify agile, making it not just about teams but about the whole value stream of software delivery. In many books about agile adoption, the really tricky problems associated with governance and organizational control are often side-stepped, focusing on why it is stupid to do something rather than how to change that something. Scott and Mark have not done this. They have focused clearly on the gnarly problems of scale, describing practical ways of fixing governance models, staffing issues, and management approaches. Their use of lean positions their
framework in a broader context, allowing change leaders to not only improve their delivery capability but also connect it directly to business value. But be warned: These problems are not easily solved, and adopting these ideas does not just require agile skills but also draws on other process models, change techniques, and good engineering practices.

Scott and Mark not only made me think, but they also reminded me of lots of things that I had forgotten—things that the agile fashion police have made uncool to talk about. This book is not about fashionable agile; it is about serious change, and it should be required reading for any change leader.

**Dave West @davidjwest**
Chief Product Officer, Tasktop, and former VP and Research Director, Forrester Research
The information technology (IT) industry has an embarrassing reputation from the perspective of our customers. For decades we have squandered scarce budgets and resources, reneged on our promises, and delivered functionality that is not actually needed by the client. An outsider looking at our profession must be truly baffled. We have so many process frameworks and various bodies of knowledge such that we ourselves have difficulty keeping up with just the acronyms, let alone the wealth of material behind them. Consider: PMBOK, SWEBOK, BABOK, ITIL®, COBIT, RUP, CMMI, TOGAF, DODAF, EUP, UML, and BPMN, to name a few. Even within the narrow confines of the agile community, we have Scrum, XP, CI, CD, FDD, AMDD, TDD, and BDD, and many others. There is considerable overlap between these strategies but also considerable differences. We really need to get our act together.

**Why Agile?**

On traditional/classical projects, and sadly even on “heavy RUP” projects, basic business and system requirements often end up written in multiple documents in different fashions to suit the standards of the various standards bodies. Although in some regulatory environments this proves to be good practice, in many situations it proves to be a huge waste of time and effort that often provides little ultimate value—you must tailor your approach to meet the needs of your situation.

Fortunately, agile methods have surfaced over the past decade so that we can save ourselves from this madness. The beauty of agile methods is that they focus us on delivering working software of high business value to our customers early and often. We are free to adjust the project objectives at any time as the business needs change. We are encouraged to minimize documentation, to minimize if not eliminate the bureaucracy in general. Who doesn’t like that?
More importantly, agile strategies seem to be working in practice. Scott has run surveys within the IT industry for several years now, and he has consistently found that the agile and iterative strategies to software development have consistently outperformed both traditional and ad-hoc strategies. There’s still room for improvement, and this book makes many suggestions for such improvements, but it seems clear that agile is a step in the right direction. For example, the 2011 IT Project Success Survey revealed that respondents felt that 67% of agile projects were considered successful (they met all of their success criteria), 27% were considered challenged (they delivered but didn’t meet all success criteria), and only 6% were considered failures. The same survey showed that 50% of traditional projects were considered successful, 36% challenged, and 14% failures. The 2008 IT Project Success survey found that agile project teams were much more adept at delivering quality solutions, good return on investment (ROI), and solutions that stakeholders wanted to work with and did so faster than traditional teams. Granted, these are averages and your success at agile may vary, but they are compelling results. We’re sharing these numbers with you now to motivate you to take agile seriously but, more importantly, to illustrate a common theme throughout this book: We do our best to shy away from the overly zealous “religious” discussions found in many software process books and instead strive to have fact-based discussions backed up by both experiential and research-based evidence. There are still some holes in the evidence because research is ongoing, but we’re far past the “my process can beat up your process” arguments we see elsewhere.

Alistair Cockburn, one of the original drafters of the Agile Manifesto, has argued that there are three primary aspects of agile methodologies:

- Self-discipline, with Extreme Programming (XP) being the exemplar methodology
- Self-organization, with Scrum being the exemplar methodology
- Self-awareness, with Crystal being the exemplar methodology

As you’ll see in this book, Disciplined Agile Delivery (DAD) addresses Cockburn’s three aspects.

**Why Disciplined Agile Delivery?**

Although agile strategies appear to work better than traditional strategies, it has become clear to us that the pendulum has swung too far the other way. We have gone from overly bureaucratic and document-centric processes to almost nothing but code. To be fair, agile teams do invest in planning, although they are unlikely to create detailed plans; they do invest in modeling, although are unlikely to create detailed models; they do create deliverable documentation (such as operations manuals and system overview documents), although are unlikely to create detailed specifications. However, agile teams have barely improved upon the results of iterative approaches. The 2011 IT

---

1. The original questions, source data (without identifying information due to privacy concerns), and summary slide decks for all surveys can be downloaded free of charge from www.ambysoft.com/surveys/.
Project Success survey found that 69% of iterative projects were considered successful, 25% challenged, and 6% failures, statistically identical results as agile projects. Similarly, the 2008 IT Project Success survey found that agile and iterative teams were doing statistically the same when it came to quality, ability to deliver desired functionality, and timeliness of delivery and that agile was only slightly better than iterative when it came to ROI. The reality of agile hasn’t lived up to the rhetoric, at least when we compare agile strategies with iterative strategies. The good news is that it is possible to do better.

Our experience is that “core” agile methods such as Scrum work wonderfully for small project teams addressing straightforward problems in which there is little risk or consequence of failure. However, “out of the box,” these methods do not give adequate consideration to the risks associated with delivering solutions on larger enterprise projects, and as a result we’re seeing organizations investing a lot of effort creating hybrid methodologies combining techniques from many sources. The Disciplined Agile Delivery (DAD) process framework, as described in this book, is a hybrid approach which extends Scrum with proven strategies from Agile Modeling (AM), Extreme Programming (XP), and Unified Process (UP), amongst other methods. DAD extends the construction-focused lifecycle of Scrum to address the full, end-to-end delivery lifecycle\(^2\) from project initiation all the way to delivering the solution to its end users. The DAD process framework includes advice about the technical practices purposely missing from Scrum as well as the modeling, documentation, and governance strategies missing from both Scrum and XP. More importantly, in many cases DAD provides advice regarding viable alternatives and their trade-offs, enabling you to tailor DAD to effectively address the situation in which you find yourself. By describing what works, what doesn’t work, and more importantly why, DAD helps you to increase your chance of adopting strategies that will work for you.

Indeed there are an increasing number of high-profile project failures associated with agile strategies that are coming to light. If we don’t start supplementing core agile practices with a more disciplined approach to agile projects at scale, we risk losing the hard-earned momentum that the agile pioneers have generated.

This book does not attempt to rehash existing agile ideas that are described in many other books, examples of which can be found in the references sections. Rather, this book is intended to be a practical guide to getting started today with agile practices that are structured within a disciplined approach consistent with the needs of enterprise-scale, mission-critical projects.

**What Is the History?**

The Disciplined Agile Delivery (DAD) process framework began as a concept in 2007 that Scott worked on in his role as chief methodologist for agile and lean at IBM® Rational®. He was working with customers around the world to understand and apply agile techniques at scale, and he

---

2. A full system/product lifecycle goes from the initial idea for the product, through delivery, to operations and support and often has many iterations of the delivery lifecycle. Our focus in DAD is on delivery, although we discuss how the other aspects of the system lifecycle affect the delivery lifecycle.
noticed time and again that organizations were struggling to adopt mainstream agile methods such as Extreme Programming (XP) and Scrum. At the same time Mark, also working with organizations to adopt and apply agile techniques in practice, observed the same problems. In many cases, the organization’s existing command-and-control culture hampered its adoption of these more chaotic techniques. Furthermore, although many organizations were successful at agile pilot projects, they struggled to roll out agile strategies beyond these pilot teams. A common root cause was that the methods did not address the broader range of issues faced by IT departments, let alone the broader organization. Something wasn’t quite right.

Separately we began work on addressing these problems, with Scott taking a broad approach by observing and working with dozens of organizations and Mark taking a deep approach through long-term mentoring of agile teams at several organizations. In 2009 Scott led the development of the DAD process framework within IBM Rational, an effort that continues to this day. This work included the development of DAD courseware, whitepapers, and many blog postings on IBM developerWorks®.

What About Lean?

There are several reasons why lean strategies are crucial for DAD:

- Lean provides insights for streamlining the way that DAD teams work.
- Lean provides a solid foundation for scaling DAD to address complex situations, a topic we touch on throughout the book but intend to address in greater detail in a future book.
- Lean principles explain why agile practices work, a common theme throughout this book.
- Lean strategies, particularly those encapsulated by Kanban, provide an advanced adoption strategy for DAD.

So why aren’t we writing about Disciplined Lean Development (DLD) instead? Our experience is that lean strategies, as attractive and effective as they are, are likely beyond all but a small percentage of teams at this time. Perhaps this “small” percentage is 10% to 15%—it’s certainly under 20%—but only time will tell. We’ve found that most development teams are better served with a lightweight, end-to-end process framework that provides coherent and integrated high-level advice for how to get the job done without getting bogged down in procedural details. Having said that, many of the options that we describe for addressing the goals of the DAD process framework are very clearly lean in nature, and we expect that many teams will evolve their process from a mostly agile one to a mostly lean one over time.

DAD is the happy medium between the extremes of Scrum, a lightweight process framework that focuses on only a small part of the delivery process, and RUP, a comprehensive process framework that covers the full delivery spectrum. DAD addresses the fundamentals of agile

delivery while remaining flexible enough for you to tailor it to your own environment. In many ways, Scrum taught agilists how to crawl, DAD hopes to teach agilists how to walk, and agility@scale and lean approaches such as Kanban will teach us how to run.

**How Does This Book Help?**

We believe that there are several ways that you’ll benefit from reading this book:

- It describes an end-to-end agile delivery lifecycle.
- It describes common agile practices, how they fit into the lifecycle, and how they work together.
- It describes how agile teams work effectively within your overall organization in an “enterprise aware” manner, without assuming everyone else is going to be agile, too.
- It uses consistent, sensible terminology but also provides a map to terminology used by other methods.
- It explains the trade-offs being made and in many cases gives you options for alternative strategies.
- It provides a foundation from which to scale your agile strategy to meet the real-world situations faced by your delivery teams.
- It goes beyond anecdotes to give fact-based reasons for why these techniques work.
- It really does answer the question “how do all these agile techniques fit together?”

**Where Are We Coming From?**

Both of us have seen organizations adopt Scrum and extend it with practices from XP, Agile Modeling, and other sources into something very similar to DAD or to tailor down the Unified Process into something similar to DAD. With either strategy, the organizations invested a lot of effort that could have been easily avoided. With DAD, we hope to help teams and organizations avoid the expense of a lengthy trial-and-error while still enabling teams to tailor the approach to meet their unique situation.

Scott led the development of DAD within IBM Rational and still leads its evolution, leveraging his experiences helping organizations understand and adopt agile strategies. This book also reflects lessons learned from within IBM Software Group, a diverse organization of 27,000 developers worldwide, and IBM’s Agile with Discipline (AwD) methodology followed by professionals in IBM Global Service’s Accelerated Solution Delivery (ASD) practice. In the autumn of 2009 DAD was captured in IBM Rational’s three-day “Introduction to Disciplined Agile Delivery” workshop. This workshop was rolled out in the first quarter of 2010 to IBM business partners, including UPMentors, and Mark became one of the first non-IBMers to be qualified to deliver the workshop. Since then, Mark has made significant contributions to DAD, bringing his insights and experiences to bear.
What’s The Best Way to Read this Book?

Most people will want to read this cover to cover. However, there are three exceptions:

- Experienced agile practitioners can start with Chapter 1, “Disciplined Agile Delivery in a Nutshell,” which overviews DAD. Next, read Chapter 4, “Roles, Rights, and Responsibilities,” to understand the team roles. Then, read Chapters 6 through 19 to understand in detail how DAD works.

- Senior IT managers should read Chapter 1 to understand how DAD works at a high level and then skip to Chapter 20, “Governing Disciplined Agile Teams,” which focuses on governing agile teams.

- People who prefer to work through an example of DAD in practice should read the case study chapters first. These are: Chapter 12, “Initiating a Disciplined Agile Delivery Project—Case Study”; Chapter 17, “Case Study: Construction Phase”; and Chapter 19, “Case Study: Transition Phase.”

We hope that you embrace the core agile practices popularized by leading agile methods but choose to supplement them with some necessary rigor and tooling appropriate for your organization and project realities.

Incidentally, a portion of the proceeds from the sale of this book are going to the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation and Toronto Sick Kid’s Hospital, so thank you for supporting these worthy causes.

The Disciplined Agile Delivery Web Site

www.DisciplinedAgileDelivery.com is the community Web site for anything related to DAD. Mark and Scott are the moderators. You will also find other resources such as information on DAD-related education, service providers, and supporting collateral that can be downloaded. We invite anyone who would like to contribute to DAD to participate as a blogger. Join the discussion!

4. Warning: Throughout the book we’ll be using “agile swear words” such as governance, management, modeling, and yes, even the D-word—documentation. We’d like to apologize now for our use of foul language such as this.
Abbreviations Used in This Book

AD  Agile Data
AM  Agile Modeling
AMDD Agile Model Driven Development
ASM Agile Scaling Model
ATDD Acceptance test driven development
AUP Agile Unified Process
AwD Agile with Discipline
BABOK Business Analysis Book of Knowledge
BDD Behavior driven development
BI  Business intelligence
BPMN Business Process Modeling Notation
CASE Computer aided software engineering
CD  Continuous deployment
CI  Continuous integration
CM  Configuration management
CMMI Capability Maturity Model Integrated
COBIT Control Objectives for Information and Related Technology
DAD Disciplined Agile Delivery
DDJ Dr. Dobb’s Journal
DevOps Development operations
DI  Development intelligence
DODAF Department of Defense Architecture Framework
DSDM Dynamic System Development Method
EUP Enterprise Unified Process
EVM Earned value management
FDD Feature Driven Development
GQM Goal question metric
HR  Human resources
IT  Information technology
ITIL Information Technology Infrastructure Library
JIT Just in time
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Model driven development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMR</td>
<td>Minimally marketable release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFR</td>
<td>Non-functional requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>Net present value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSS</td>
<td>Open source software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMBOK</td>
<td>Project Management Book of Knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMO</td>
<td>Project management office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROI</td>
<td>Return on investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRC</td>
<td>Rational Requirements Composer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA</td>
<td>Rational Software Architect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTC</td>
<td>Rational Team Concert™</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUP</td>
<td>Rational Unified Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCM</td>
<td>Software configuration management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDLC</td>
<td>System development lifecycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLA</td>
<td>Service level agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWEBOK</td>
<td>Software Engineering Book of Knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO</td>
<td>Total cost of ownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDD</td>
<td>Test-driven development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFD</td>
<td>Test first development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOGAF</td>
<td>The Open Group Architecture Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&amp;M</td>
<td>Time and materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVO</td>
<td>Total value of ownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAT</td>
<td>User acceptance testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UML</td>
<td>Unified Modeling Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UI</td>
<td>User interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP</td>
<td>Unified Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UX</td>
<td>User experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIP</td>
<td>Work in progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP</td>
<td>Extreme Programming</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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For every complex problem there is a solution that is simple, neat, and wrong. —H L Mencken

The agile software development paradigm burst onto the scene in the spring of 2001 with the publication of the Agile Manifesto (www.agilemanifesto.org). The 17 authors of the manifesto captured strategies, in the form of four value statements and twelve supporting principles, which they had seen work in practice. These strategies promote close collaboration between developers and their stakeholders; evolutionary and regular creation of software that adds value to the organization; remaining steadfastly focused on quality; adopting practices that provide high value and avoiding those which provide little value (e.g., work smarter, not harder); and striving to improve your approach to development throughout the lifecycle. For anyone with experience on successful software development teams, these strategies likely sound familiar.

Make no mistake, agile is not a fad. When mainstream agile methods such as Scrum and Extreme Programming (XP) were introduced, the ideas contained in them were not new, nor were they even revolutionary at the time. In fact, many of them have been described in-depth in other methods such as Rapid Application Development (RAD), Evo, and various instantiations of the Unified Process, not to mention classic books such as Frederick Brooks’ The Mythical Man Month. It should not be surprising that working together closely in collocated teams and collaborating in a unified manner toward a goal of producing working software produces results superior to those working in specialized silos concerned with individual rather than team performance. It should also come as no surprise that reducing documentation and administrative bureaucracy saves money and speeds up delivery.

While agile was once considered viable only for small, collocated teams, improvements in product quality, team efficiency, and on-time delivery have motivated larger teams to take a closer look at adopting agile principles in their environments. In fact, IBM has teams of several hundred
people, often distributed around the globe, that are working on complex products who are applying agile techniques—and have been doing so successfully for years. A recent study conducted by the *Agile Journal* determined that 88% of companies, many with more than 10,000 employees, are using or evaluating agile practices on their projects. Agile is becoming the dominant software development paradigm. This trend is also echoed in other industry studies, including one conducted by *Dr. Dobb’s Journal (DDJ)*, which found a 76% adoption rate of agile techniques, and within those organizations doing agile, 44% of the project teams on average are applying agile techniques in some way.

Unfortunately, we need to take adoption rate survey results with a grain of salt: A subsequent *Ambysoft* survey found that only 53% of people claiming to be on “agile teams” actually were. It is clear that agile methods have been overly hyped by various media over the years, leading to abuse and misuse; in fact, the received message regarding agile appears to have justified using little or no process at all. For too many project teams this resulted in anarchy and chaos, leading to project failures and a backlash from the information technology (IT) and systems engineering communities that prefer more traditional approaches.

Properly executed, agile is not an excuse to be undisciplined. The execution of mainstream agile methods such as XP for example have always demanded a disciplined approach, certainly more than traditional approaches such as waterfall methods. Don’t mistake the high ceremony of many traditional methods to be a sign of discipline, rather it’s a sign of rampant and often out-of-control bureaucracy. However, mainstream agile methods don’t provide enough guidance for typical enterprises. Mature implementations of agile recognize a basic need in enterprises for a level of rigor that core agile methods dismiss as not required such as governance, architectural planning, and modeling. Most mainstream agile methods admit that their strategies require significant additions and adjustments to scale beyond teams of about eight people who are working together in close proximity. Furthermore, most Fortune 1000 enterprises and government agencies have larger solution delivery teams that are often distributed, so the required tailoring efforts can prove both expensive and risky. The time is now for a new generation of agile process framework.

Figure 1.1 shows a mind map of the structure of this chapter. We describe each of the topics in the map in clockwise order, beginning at the top right.

**THE BIG IDEAS IN THIS CHAPTER**

- People are the primary determinant of success for IT delivery projects.
- Moving to a disciplined agile delivery process is the first step in scaling agile strategies.
- Disciplined Agile Delivery (DAD) is an enterprise-aware hybrid software process framework.
- Agile strategies should be applied throughout the entire delivery lifecycle.
- Agile teams are easier to govern than traditional teams.
Context Counts—The Agile Scaling Model

To understand the need for the Disciplined Agile Delivery (DAD) process framework you must start by recognizing the realities of the situation you face. The Agile Scaling Model (ASM) is a contextual framework that defines a roadmap to effectively adopt and tailor agile strategies to meet the unique challenges faced by an agile software development team. The first step to scaling agile strategies is to adopt a disciplined agile delivery lifecycle that scales mainstream agile construction strategies to address the full delivery process from project initiation to deployment into production. The second step is to recognize which scaling factors, if any, are applicable to your project team and then tailor your adopted strategies to address the range of complexities the team faces.

The ASM, depicted in Figure 1.2, defines three process categories:

1. **Core agile development.** Core agile methods—such as Scrum, XP, and Agile Modeling (AM)—focus on construction-oriented activities. They are characterized by value-driven lifecycles where high-quality potentially shippable software is produced on a regular basis by a highly collaborative, self-organizing team. The focus is on small (<15 member) teams that are collocated and are developing straightforward software.
2. **Agile delivery.** These methods—including the DAD process framework (described in this book) and Harmony/ESW—address the full delivery lifecycle from project initiation to production. They add appropriate, lean governance to balance self-organization and add a risk-driven viewpoint to the value-driven approach to increase the chance of project success. They focus on small-to-medium sized (up to 30 people) near-located teams (within driving distance) developing straightforward solutions. Ideally DAD teams are small and collocated.

3. **Agility@scale.** This is disciplined agile development where one or more scaling factors apply. The scaling factors that an agile team may face include team size, geographical distribution, organizational distribution (people working for different groups or companies), regulatory compliance, cultural or organizational complexity, technical complexity, and enterprise disciplines (such as enterprise architecture, strategic reuse, and portfolio management).

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 1.2** The Agile Scaling Model (ASM)

This book describes the DAD process framework. In most cases we assume that your team is small (<15 people) and is either collocated or near-located (within driving distance). Having
said that, we also discuss strategies for scaling agile practices throughout the book. The DAD process framework defines the foundation to scale agile strategies to more complex situations.

**What Is the Disciplined Agile Delivery (DAD) Process Framework?**

Let’s begin with a definition:

The Disciplined Agile Delivery (DAD) process framework is a people-first, learning-oriented hybrid agile approach to IT solution delivery. It has a risk-value lifecycle, is goal-driven, is scalable, and is enterprise aware.

From this definition, you can see that the DAD process framework has several important characteristics:

- People first
- Learning oriented
- Agile
- Hybrid
- IT solution focused
- Goal-driven
- Delivery focused
- Enterprise aware
- Risk and value driven
- Scalable

To gain a better understanding of DAD, let’s explore each of these characteristics in greater detail.

**People First**

Alistair Cockburn refers to people as “non-linear, first-order components” in the software development process. His observation, based on years of ethnographic work, is that people and the way that they collaborate are the primary determinants of success in IT solution delivery efforts. This philosophy, reflected in the first value statement of the Agile Manifesto, permeates DAD. DAD team members should be self-disciplined, self-organizing, and self-aware. The DAD process framework provides guidance that DAD teams leverage to improve their effectiveness, but it does not prescribe mandatory procedures.

The traditional approach of having formal handoffs of work products (primarily documents) between different disciplines such as requirements, analysis, design, test, and development is a very poor way to transfer knowledge that creates bottlenecks and proves in practice to
be a huge source of waste of both time and money. The waste results from the loss of effort to create interim documentation, the cost to review the documentation, and the costs associated with updating the documentation. Yes, some documentation will be required, but rarely as much as is promoted by traditional techniques. Handoffs between people provide opportunities for misunderstandings and injection of defects and are described in lean software development as one of seven sources of waste. When we create a document we do not document our complete understanding of what we are describing, and inevitably some knowledge is “left behind” as tacit knowledge that is not passed on. It is easy to see that after many handoffs the eventual deliverable may bear little resemblance to the original intent. In an agile environment, the boundaries between disciplines should be torn down and handoffs minimized in the interest of working as a team rather than specialized individuals.

In DAD we foster the strategy of cross-functional teams made up of cross-functional people. There should be no hierarchy within the team, and team members are encouraged to be cross-functional in their skillset and indeed perform work related to disciplines other than their specialty. The increased understanding that the team members gain beyond their primary discipline results in more effective use of resources and reduced reliance on formal documentation and handoffs.

As such, agile methods deemphasize specific roles. In Scrum for instance, there are only three Scrum team roles: ScrumMaster, product owner, and team member. Nonteam roles can be extended to stakeholder and manager. The primary roles described by DAD are stakeholder, team lead, team member, product owner, and architecture owner. These roles are described in detail in Chapter 4, “Roles, Rights, and Responsibilities.”

Notice that tester and business analyst are not primary roles in the DAD process framework. Rather, a generic team member should be capable of doing multiple things. A team member who specializes in testing might be expected to volunteer to help with requirements, or even take a turn at being the ScrumMaster (team lead). This doesn’t imply that everyone needs to be an expert at everything, but it does imply that the team as a whole should cover the skills required of them and should be willing to pick up any missing skills as needed. However, as you learn in Chapter 4, DAD also defines several secondary roles often required in scaling situations.

Team members are often “generalizing specialists” in that they may be a specialist in one or more disciplines but should have general knowledge of other disciplines as well. More importantly, generalizing specialists are willing to collaborate closely with others, to share their skills and experiences with others, and to pick up new skills from the people they work with. A team made up of generalizing specialists requires few handoffs between people, enjoys improved collaboration because the individuals have a greater appreciation of the background skills and priorities of the various IT disciplines, and can focus on what needs to be done as opposed to focusing on whatever their specialties are.

However, there is still room for specialists. For example, your team may find that it needs to set up and configure a database server. Although you could figure it out yourselves, it’s probably easier, faster, and less expensive if you could have someone with deep experience help your team
for a few days to work with you to do so. This person could be a specialist in database administration. In scaling situations you may find that your build becomes so complex that you need someone(s) specifically focused on doing just that. Or you may bring one or more business analyst specialists onto the team to help you explore the problem space in which you’re working.

DAD teams and team members should be

- Self-disciplined in that they commit only to the work they can accomplish and then perform that work as effectively as possible
- Self-organizing, in that they estimate and plan their own work and then proceed to collaborate iteratively to do so
- Self-aware, in that they strive to identify what works well for them, what doesn’t, and then learn and adjust accordingly

Although people are the primary determinant of success for IT solution delivery projects, in most situations it isn’t effective to simply put together a good team of people and let them loose on the problem at hand. If you do this then the teams run several risks, including investing significant time in developing their own processes and practices, ramping up on processes or practices that more experienced agile teams have discovered are generally less effective or efficient, and not adapting their own processes and practices effectively. We can be smarter than that and recognize that although people are the primary determinant of success they aren’t the only determinant. The DAD process framework provides coherent, proven advice that agile teams can leverage and thereby avoid or at least minimize the risks described previously.

**Learning Oriented**

In the years since the Agile Manifesto was written we’ve discovered that the most effective organizations are the ones that promote a learning environment for their staff. There are three key aspects that a learning environment must address. The first aspect is domain learning—how are you exploring and identifying what your stakeholders need, and perhaps more importantly how are you helping the team to do so? The second aspect is process learning, which focuses on learning to improve your process at the individual, team, and enterprise levels. The third aspect is technical learning, which focuses on understanding how to effectively work with the tools and technologies being used to craft the solution for your stakeholders.

The DAD process framework suggests several strategies to support domain learning, including initial requirements envisioning, incremental delivery of a potentially consumable solution, and active stakeholder participation through the lifecycle. To support process-focused learning DAD promotes the adoption of retrospectives where the team explicitly identifies potential process improvements, a common agile strategy, as well as continued tracking of those improvements. Within the IBM software group, a business unit with more than 35,000 development professionals responsible for delivering products, we’ve found that agile teams that held retrospectives improved their productivity more than teams that didn’t, and teams that tracked
their implementation of the identified improvement strategies were even more successful. Techni-
cal learning often comes naturally to IT professionals, many of whom are often eager to work
with and explore new tools, techniques, and technologies. This can be a double-edged sword—
although they’re learning new technical concepts they may not invest sufficient time to master a
strategy before moving on to the next one or they may abandon a perfectly fine technology
simply because they want to do something new.

There are many general strategies to improve your learning capability. Improved collabora-
tion between people correspondingly increases the opportunities for people to learn from one
another. Luckily high collaboration is a hallmark of agility. Investing in training, coaching, and
mentoring are obvious learning strategies as well. What may not be so obvious is the move away
from promoting specialization among your staff and instead fostering a move toward people with
more robust skills, something called being a generalizing specialist (discussed in greater detail in
Chapter 4). Progressive organizations aggressively promote learning opportunities for their
people outside their specific areas of specialty as well as opportunities to actually apply these
new skills.

If you’re experienced with, or at least have read about, agile software development, the pre-
vious strategies should sound familiar. Where the DAD process framework takes learning further
is through enterprise awareness. Core agile methods such as Scrum and XP are typically project
focused, whereas DAD explicitly strives to both leverage and enhance the organizational ecosys-
tem in which a team operates. So DAD teams should both leverage existing lessons learned from
other agile teams and also take the time to share their own experiences. The implication is that
your IT department needs to invest in a technology for socializing the learning experience across
teams. In 2005 IBM Software Group implemented internal discussion forums, wikis, and a center
of competency (some organizations call them centers of excellence) to support their agile learn-
ing efforts. A few years later they adopted a Web 2.0 strategy based on IBM Connections to sup-
port enterprise learning. When the people and teams within an organization choose a
learning-oriented approach, providing them with the right tools and support can increase their
success.

**Agile**

The DAD process framework adheres to, and as you learn in Chapter 2, “Introduction to Agile
and Lean,” enhances, the values and principles of the Agile Manifesto. Teams following either
iterative or agile processes have been shown to produce higher quality solutions, provide greater
return on investment (ROI), provide greater stakeholder satisfaction, and deliver these solutions
quicker as compared to either a traditional/waterfall approach or an ad-hoc (no defined process)
approach. High quality is achieved through techniques such as continuous integration (CI),
developer regression testing, test-first development, and refactoring—these techniques, and
more, are described later in the book. Improved ROI comes from a greater focus on high-value
activities, working in priority order, automation of as much of the IT drudgery as possible, self-
organization, close collaboration, and in general working smarter not harder. Greater stakeholder satisfaction is increased through enabling active stakeholder participation, by incrementally delivering a potentially consumable solution each iteration, and by enabling stakeholders to evolve their requirements throughout the project.

A Hybrid Process Framework

DAD is the formulation of many strategies and practices from both mainstream agile methods as well as other sources. The DAD process framework extends the Scrum construction lifecycle to address the full delivery lifecycle while adopting strategies from several agile and lean methods. Many of the practices suggested by DAD are the ones commonly discussed in the agile community—such as continuous integration (CI), daily coordination meetings, and refactoring—and some are the “advanced” practices commonly applied but for some reason not commonly discussed. These advanced practices include initial requirements envisioning, initial architecture envisioning, and end-of-lifecycle testing to name a few.

The DAD process framework is a hybrid, meaning that it adopts and tailors strategies from a variety of sources. A common pattern that we’ve seen time and again within organizations is that they adopt the Scrum process framework and then do significant work to tailor ideas from other sources to flesh it out. This sounds like a great strategy. However, given that we repeatedly see new organizations tailoring Scrum in the same sort of way, why not start with a robust process framework that provides this common tailoring in the first place? The DAD process framework adopts strategies from the following methods:

- **Scrum.** Scrum provides an agile project management framework for complex projects. DAD adopts and tailors many ideas from Scrum, such as working from a stack of work items in priority order, having a product owner responsible for representing stakeholders, and producing a potentially consumable solution every iteration.

- **Extreme Programming (XP).** XP is an important source of development practices for DAD, including but not limited to continuous integration (CI), refactoring, test-driven development (TDD), collective ownership, and many more.

- **Agile Modeling (AM).** As the name implies, AM is the source for DAD’s modeling and documentation practices. This includes requirements envisioning, architecture envisioning, iteration modeling, continuous documentation, and just-in-time (JIT) model storming.

- **Unified Process (UP).** DAD adopts many of its governance strategies from agile instantiations of the UP, including OpenUP and Agile Unified Process (AUP). In particular these strategies include having lightweight milestones and explicit phases. We also draw from the Unified Process focus on the importance of proving that the architecture works in the early iterations and reducing much of the business risk early in the lifecycle.
• **Agile Data (AD).** As the name implies AD is a source of agile database practices, such as database refactoring, database testing, and agile data modeling. It is also an important source of agile enterprise strategies, such as how agile teams can work effectively with enterprise architects and enterprise data administrators.

• **Kanban.** DAD adopts two critical concepts—limiting work in progress and visualizing work—from Kanban, which is a lean framework. These concepts are in addition to the seven principles of lean software development, as discussed in Chapter 2.

The concept of DAD being a hybrid of several existing agile methodologies is covered in greater detail in Chapter 3, “Foundations of Disciplined Agile Delivery.”

**OUR APOLOGIES**

Throughout this book we’ll be applying agile swear words such as *phase*, *serial*, and yes, even the “G word”—*governance*. Many mainstream agilists don’t like these words and have gone to great lengths to find euphemisms for them. For example, in Scrum they talk about how a project begins with Sprint 0 (DAD’s Inception phase), then the construction sprints follow, and finally you do one or more hardening/release sprints (DAD’s Transition phase). Even though these sprint categories follow one another this clearly isn’t serial, and the Scrum project team clearly isn’t proceeding in phases. Or so goes the rhetoric. Sigh. We prefer plain, explicit language.

**IT Solutions over Software**

One aspect of adopting a DAD approach is to mature your focus from producing software to instead providing solutions that provide real business value to your stakeholders within the appropriate economic, cultural, and technical constraints. A fundamental observation is that as IT professionals we do far more than just develop software. Yes, software is clearly important, but in addressing the needs of our stakeholders we often provide new or upgraded hardware, change the business/operational processes that stakeholders follow, and even help change the organizational structure in which our stakeholders work.

This shift in focus requires your organization to address some of the biases that crept into the Agile Manifesto. The people who wrote the manifesto (which we fully endorse) were for the most part software developers, consultants, and in many cases both. It was natural that they focused on their software development strengths, but as the ten-year agile anniversary workshop (which Scott participated in) identified, the agile community needs to look beyond software development.

It’s also important to note that the focus of this book is on IT application development. The focus is not on product development, even though a tailored form of DAD is being applied for
that within IBM, nor is it on systems engineering. For agile approaches to embedded software development or systems engineering we suggest you consider the IBM Harmony process framework.

**Goal-Driven Delivery Lifecycle**

DAD addresses the project lifecycle from the point of initiating the project to construction to releasing the solution into production. We explicitly observe that each iteration is *not* the same. Projects do evolve and the work emphasis changes as we move through the lifecycle. To make this clear, we carve the project into phases with lightweight milestones to ensure that we are focused on the right things at the right time. Such areas of focus include initial visioning, architectural modeling, risk management, and deployment planning. This differs from mainstream agile methods, which typically focus on the construction aspects of the lifecycle. Details about how to perform initiation and release activities, or even how they fit into the overall lifecycle, are typically vague and left up to you.

Time and again, whenever either one of us worked with a team that had adopted Scrum we found that they had tailored the Scrum lifecycle into something similar to Figure 1.3, which shows the lifecycle of a DAD project.\(^1\) This lifecycle has several critical features:

- **It’s a delivery lifecycle.** The DAD lifecycle extends the Scrum construction lifecycle to explicitly show the full delivery lifecycle from the beginning of a project to the release of the solution into production (or the marketplace).

- **There are explicit phases.** The DAD lifecycle is organized into three distinct, named phases, reflecting the agile coordinate-collaborate-conclude (3C) rhythm.

- **The delivery lifecycle is shown in context.** The DAD lifecycle recognizes that activities occur to identify and select projects long before their official start. It also recognizes that the solution produced by a DAD project team must be operated and supported once it is delivered into production (in some organizations called operations) or in some cases the marketplace, and that important feedback comes from people using previously released versions of the solution.

- **There are explicit milestones.** The milestones are an important governance and risk reduction strategy inherent in DAD.

The lifecycle of Figure 1.3, which we focus on throughout this book, is what we refer to as the basic agile version. This is what we believe should be the starting point for teams that are new to DAD or even new to agile. However, DAD is meant to be tailored to meet the needs of your situation. As your team gains more experience with DAD you may choose to adopt more and more lean strategies, and may eventually evolve your lifecycle into something closer to what you see in

---

1. Granted, in this version we’re using the term “iteration” instead of “sprint,” and “work item list” instead of “product backlog.”
Figure 1.4. A primary difference of this lean version of the DAD lifecycle is that the phase and iteration cadence disappears in favor of a “do it when you need to do it” approach, a strategy that works well only for highly disciplined teams.
you become overly prescriptive. As we’ve helped various organizations improve their software processes over the years, we’ve come to the belief that the various process protagonists are coming from one extreme or the other. Either there are very detailed processes descriptions (the IBM Rational Unified Process [RUP] is one such example), or there are very lightweight process descriptions, with Scrum being a perfect example. The challenge with RUP is that many teams do not have the skill to tailor it down appropriately, often resulting in extra work being performed. On the other hand many Scrum teams had the opposite problem with not knowing how to tailor it up appropriately, resulting in significant effort reinventing or relearning techniques to address the myriad issues that Scrum doesn’t cover (this becomes apparent in Chapter 3). Either way, a lot of waste could have been avoided if only there was an option between these two extremes.

To address this challenge the DAD process framework is goals driven, as summarized in Figure 1.5. There are of course many ways that these goals can be addressed, so simply indicating the goals is of little value. In Chapters 6 through 19 when we describe each of the phases in turn, we suggest strategies for addressing the goals and many times discuss several common strategies for doing so and the trade-offs between them. Our experience is that this goals-driven, suggestive approach provides just enough guidance for solution delivery teams while being sufficiently flexible so that teams can tailor the process to address the context of the situation in which they find themselves. The challenge is that it requires significant discipline by agile teams to consider the issues around each goal and then choose the strategy most appropriate for them. This may not be the snazzy new strategy that everyone is talking about online, and it may require the team to perform some work that they would prefer to avoid given the choice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals for the Inception Phase</th>
<th>Goals for Construction Phase Iterations</th>
<th>Goals for the Transition Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Form initial team</td>
<td>Produce a potentially consumable solution</td>
<td>Ensure the solution is production ready</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify the vision for the project</td>
<td>Address changing stakeholder needs</td>
<td>Ensure the stakeholders are prepared to receive the solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bring stakeholders to agreement around the vision</td>
<td>Move closer to deployable release</td>
<td>Deploy the solution into production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Align with enterprise direction</td>
<td>Maintain or improve upon existing levels of quality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify initial technical strategy, initial requirements, and initial release plan</td>
<td>Prove architecture early</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set up the work environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify risks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ongoing Goals**

- Fulfill the project mission
- Grow team members’ skills
- Enhance existing infrastructure
- Improve team process and environment
- Leverage existing infrastructure
- Address risk

**Figure 1.5** Goals addressed throughout a DAD project
Figure 1.5 doesn’t provide a full listing of the goals your team will address. There are several personal goals of individuals, such as specific learning goals and the desire for interesting work, compensation, and public recognition of their work. There are also specific stakeholder goals, which will be unique to your project.

**The Agile 3C Rhythm**

Over the years we’ve noticed a distinct rhythm, or cadence, at different levels of the agile process. We call this the agile 3C rhythm, for coordinate, collaborate, and conclude. This is similar conceptually to Deming’s Plan, Do, Check, Act (PDCA) cycle where coordinate maps to plan, collaborate maps to do, and conclude maps to check and act. The agile 3C rhythm occurs at three levels in the DAD process framework:

1. **Release.** The three phases of the delivery lifecycle—Inception, Construction, Transition—map directly to coordinate, collaborate, and conclude, respectively.

2. **Iteration.** DAD construction iterations begin with an iteration planning workshop (coordinate), doing the implementation work (collaborate), and then wrapping up the iteration with a demo and retrospective (conclude).

3. **Day.** A typical day begins with a short coordination meeting, is followed by the team collaborating to do their work, and concludes with a working build (hopefully) at the end of the day.

Let’s overview the DAD phases to better understand the contents of the DAD process framework.

**The Inception Phase**

Before jumping into building or buying a solution, it is worthwhile to spend some time identifying the objectives for the project. Traditional methods invest a large amount of effort and time planning their projects up front. Agile approaches suggest that too much detail up front is not worthwhile since little is known about what is truly required as well as achievable within the time and budget constraints. Mainstream agile methods suggest that very little effort be invested in up-front planning. Their mantra can be loosely interpreted as “let’s just get started and we will determine where we are going as we go.” To be fair, some agile teams have a short planning iteration or do some planning before initiating the project. “Sprint 0” is a common misnomer used by some Scrum teams. Extreme Programming (XP) has the “Planning Game.” In fact, a 2009 Ambyssoft survey found that teams take on average 3.9 weeks to initiate their projects. In DAD, we recognize the need to point the ship in the right direction before going full-speed ahead—typically between a few days and a few weeks—to initiate the project. Figure 1.6 overviews the potential activities that occur during Inception, described in greater detail in Chapters 6 through 12. This phase ends when the team has developed a vision for the release that the stakeholders agree to and has obtained support for the rest of the project (or at least the next stage of it).
The Construction Phase

The Construction phase in DAD is the period of time during which the required functionality is built. The timeline is split up into a number of time-boxed iterations. These iterations, the potential activities of which are overviewed in Figure 1.7, should be the same duration for a particular project and typically do not overlap. Durations of an iteration for a certain project typically vary from one week to four weeks, with two and four weeks being the most common options. At the end of each iteration a demonstrable increment of a potentially consumable solution has been produced and regression tested. At this time we consider the strategy of how to move forward in the project. We could consider executing an additional iteration of construction, and whether to deploy the solution to the customer at this time. If we determine that there is sufficient functionality to justify the cost of transition, sometimes referred to as minimally marketable release (MMR), then our Construction phase ends and we move into the Transition phase. The Construction phase is covered in greater detail in Chapters 13 through 17.

The Transition Phase

The Transition phase focuses on delivering the system into production (or into the marketplace in the case of a consumer product). As you can see in Figure 1.8 there is more to transition than merely copying some files onto a server. The time and effort spent transitioning varies from project to project. Shrink-wrapped software entails the manufacturing and distribution of software and documentation. Internal systems are generally simpler to deploy than external systems. High visibility systems may require extensive beta testing by small groups before release to the larger population. The release of a brand new system may entail hardware purchase and setup while updating an existing system may entail data conversions and extensive coordination with the user community. Every project is different. From an agile point of view, the Transition phase ends when the stakeholders are ready and the system is fully deployed, although from a lean point
of view, the phase ends when your stakeholders have worked with the solution in production and are delighted by it. The Transition phase is covered in greater detail in Chapters 18 and 19.

![Construction iteration overview](image1.png)

**Figure 1.7** Construction iteration overview

Some agilists will look at the potential activities listed in Figure 1.8 and ask why you couldn’t do these activities during construction iterations. The quick answer is yes, you should strive to do as much testing as possible throughout the lifecycle and you should strive to write and maintain required documentation throughout the lifecycle, and so on. You may even do some stakeholder training in later construction iterations and are more likely to do so once your solution has been released into production. The more of these things that you do during the Construction phase, the shorter the Transition phase will be, but the reality is that many organizations

![Transition phase overview](image2.png)

**Figure 1.8** Transition phase overview
Enterprise Aware

DAD teams work within your organization’s enterprise ecosystem, as do other teams, and explicitly try to take advantage of the opportunities presented to them—to coin an environmental cliché “disciplined agilists act locally and think globally.” This includes working closely with the following: enterprise technical architects and reuse engineers to leverage and enhance the existing and “to be” technical infrastructure; enterprise business architects and portfolio managers to fit into the overall business ecosystem; senior managers who should be governing the various teams appropriately; operations staff to support your organization’s overall development and operations (DevOps) efforts; data administrators to access and improve existing data sources; IT development support people to understand and follow enterprise IT guidance (such as coding, user interface, security, and data conventions to name a few); and business experts who share their market insights, sales forecasts, service forecasts, and other important concerns. In other words, DAD teams should adopt what Mark refers to as a “whole enterprise” mindset.

What Is Appropriate Governance?

Effective governance strategies should enhance that which is being governed. An appropriate approach to governing agile delivery projects, and we suspect other types of efforts, is based on motivating and then enabling people to do what is right for your organization. What is right of course varies, but this typically includes motivating teams to take advantage of, and to evolve, existing corporate assets following common guidelines to increase consistency, and working toward a shared vision for your organization. Appropriate governance is based on trust and collaboration. Appropriate governance strategies should enhance the ability of DAD teams to deliver business value to their stakeholders in a cost effective and timely manner.

Unfortunately many existing IT governance strategies are based on a command-and-control, bureaucratic approach that often proves ineffective in practice. Chapter 20, “Governing Disciplined Agile Teams,” explores appropriate governance, the impact of traditional governance strategies, and how to adopt an appropriate governance strategy in greater detail.

With the exception of startup companies, agile delivery teams do not work in a vacuum. Often existing systems are currently in production, and minimally your solution shouldn’t impact them. Granted, hopefully your solution will leverage existing functionality and data available in

---

2. Disciplined agile teams strive to reduce the level of technical debt in your enterprise by adopting the philosophy of mature campers and hikers around the world: Leave it better than how you found it.
production so there will always be at least a minor performance impact without intervention of some kind. You will often have other teams working in parallel to your team, and you may want to take advantage of a portion of what they’re doing and vice versa. Your organizations may be working toward a vision to which your team should contribute. A governance strategy might be in place, although it may not be obvious to you, which hopefully enhances what your team is doing.

Enterprise awareness is an important aspect of self-discipline because as a professional you should strive to do what’s right for your organization and not just what’s interesting for you. Teams developing in isolation may choose to build something from scratch, or use different development tools, or create different data sources, when perfectly good ones that have been successfully installed, tested, configured, and fine-tuned already exist within the organization. We can and should do better by doing the following:

- **Leveraging enterprise assets.** There may be many enterprise assets, or at least there should be, that you can use and evolve. These include common development guidelines, such as coding standards, data conventions, security guidelines, and user interface standards. DAD teams strive to work to a common infrastructure; for example, they use the enterprise-approved technologies and data sources whenever possible, and better yet they work to the “to be” vision for your infrastructure. But enterprise assets are far more than standards. If your organization uses a disciplined architecture-centric approach to building enterprise software, there will be a growing library of service-based components to reuse and improve upon for the benefit of all current and future solutions. To do this DAD teams collaborate with enterprise professionals—including enterprise architects, enterprise business modelers, data administrators, operations staff, and reuse engineers—throughout the lifecycle and particularly during Inception during envisioning efforts. Leveraging enterprise assets increases consistency and thereby ease of maintenance, decreases development costs and time, and decreases operational costs.

- **Enhancing your organizational ecosystem.** The solution being delivered by a DAD team should minimally fit into the existing organizational ecosystem—the business processes and systems supporting them—it should better yet enhance that ecosystem. To do this, the first step is to leverage existing enterprise assets wherever possible as described earlier. DAD teams work with operations and support staff closely throughout the lifecycle, particularly the closer you get to releasing into production, to ensure that they understand the current state and direction of the organizational ecosystem. DAD teams often are supported by an additional independent test team—see Chapter 15, “A Typical Day of Construction”—that performs production integration testing (among other things) to ensure that your solution works within the target production environment it will face at deployment time.
• **Sharing learnings.** DAD teams are learning oriented, and one way to learn is to hear about the experiences of others. The implication is that DAD teams must also be prepared to share their own learnings with other teams. Within IBM we support agile discussion forums, informal presentations, training sessions delivered by senior team members, and internal conferences to name a few strategies.

• **Open and honest monitoring.** Although agile approaches are based on trust, smart governance strategies are based on a “trust but verify and then guide” mindset. An important aspect of appropriate governance is the monitoring of project teams through various means. One strategy is for anyone interested in the current status of a DAD project team to attend their daily coordination meeting and listen in, a strategy promoted by the Scrum community. Although it’s a great strategy we highly recommend, it unfortunately doesn’t scale very well because the senior managers responsible for governance are often busy people with many efforts to govern, not just your team. In fact Scott found exactly this in the 2010 How Agile Are You? survey. Another approach, one that we’ve seen to be incredibly effective, is for DAD teams to use instrumented and integrated tooling, such as Rational Team Concert (RTC), which generates metrics in real time that can be displayed on project dashboards. You can see an example of such a dashboard for the Jazz™ team itself at www.jazz.net, a team following an open commercial strategy. Such dashboards are incredibly useful for team members to know what is going on, let alone senior managers. A third strategy is to follow a risk-driven lifecycle, discussed in the next section, with explicit milestones that provide consistent and coherent feedback as to the project status to interested parties.

### Risk and Value Driven

The DAD process framework adopts what is called a risk/value lifecycle, effectively a lightweight version of the strategy promoted by the Unified Process (UP). DAD teams strive to address common project risks, such as coming to stakeholder consensus around the vision and proving the architecture early in the lifecycle. DAD also includes explicit checks for continued project viability, whether sufficient functionality has been produced, and whether the solution is production ready. It is also value driven, a strategy that reduces delivery risk, in that DAD teams produce potentially consumable solutions on a regular basis.

It has been said “attack the risks before they attack you.” This is a philosophy consistent with the DAD approach. DAD adopts what is called a risk-value driven lifecycle, an extension of the value-driven lifecycle common to methods such as Scrum and XP. With a value-driven lifecycle you produce potentially shippable software every iteration or, more accurately from a DAD perspective, a potentially consumable solution every iteration. The features delivered represent those in the requirements backlog that are of highest value from the perspective of the stakeholders. With a risk-value driven lifecycle you also consider features related to risk as high priority.
items, not just high-value features. With this in mind we explicitly address risks common to IT delivery projects as soon as we possibly can. Value-driven lifecycles address three important risks—the risk of not delivering at all, the risk of delivering the wrong functionality, and political risks resulting from lack of visibility into what the team is producing. Addressing these risks is a great start, but it’s not the full risk mitigation picture.

First and foremost, DAD includes and extends standard strategies of agile development methods to reduce common IT delivery risks:

- **Potentially consumable solutions.** DAD teams produce potentially consumable solutions every construction iteration, extending Scrum’s strategy of potentially shippable software to address usability concerns (the consumability aspect) and the wider issue of producing solutions and not just software. This reduces delivery risk because the stakeholders are given the option to have the solution delivered into production when it makes sense to do so.

- **Iteration demos.** At the end of each construction iteration the team should demo what they have built to their key stakeholders. The primary goal is to obtain feedback from the stakeholders and thereby improve the solution they’re producing, decreasing functionality risk. A secondary goal is to indicate the health of the project by showing their completed work, thereby decreasing political risk (assuming the team is working successfully).

- **Active stakeholder participation.** The basic idea is that not only should stakeholders, or their representatives (i.e., product owners), provide information and make decisions in a timely manner, they can also be actively involved in the development effort itself. For example, stakeholders can often be actively involved in modeling when inclusive tools such as paper and whiteboards are used. Active stakeholder involvement through the entire iteration, and not just at demos, helps to reduce both delivery and functionality risk due to the greater opportunities to provide feedback to the team.

DAD extends current agile strategies for addressing risk on IT delivery projects, but also adopts explicit, lightweight milestones to further reduce risk. At each of these milestones an explicit assessment as to the viability of the project is made by key stakeholders and a decision as to whether the project should proceed is made. These milestones, indicated on the DAD lifecycle depicted previously in Figure 1.3, are

- **Stakeholder consensus.** Held at the end of the Inception phase, the goal of this milestone is to ensure that the project stakeholders have come to a reasonable consensus as to the vision of the release. By coming to this agreement we reduce both functionality and delivery risk substantially even though little investment has been made to date in the development of a working solution. Note that the right outcome for the business may in fact be that stakeholder consensus cannot be reached for a given project vision. Our
experience is that you should actually expect to cancel upwards to 10% of your projects at this milestone, and potentially 25% of projects that find themselves in scaling situations (and are therefore higher risk).

- **Proven architecture.** In the early Construction phase iterations we are concerned with reducing most of the risk and uncertainty related to the project. Risk can be related to many things, such as requirements uncertainty, team productivity, business risk, and schedule risk. However, at this point in time much of the risk on an IT delivery project is typically related to technology, specifically at the architecture level. Although the high-level architecture models created during the Inception phase are helpful for thinking through the architecture, the only way to be truly sure that the architecture can support the requirements is by proving it with working code. This is a vertical slice through the software and hardware tiers that touches all points of the architecture from end to end. In the UP this is referred to as “architectural coverage” and in XP as a “steel thread” or “tracer bullet.” By writing software to prove out the architecture DAD teams greatly reduce a large source of technical risk and uncertainty by discovering and then addressing any deficiencies in their architecture early in the project.

- **Continued viability.** In Scrum the idea is that at the end of each sprint (iteration) your stakeholders consider the viability of your project. In theory this is a great idea, but in practice it rarely seems to happen. The cause of this problem is varied—perhaps the stakeholders being asked to make this decision have too much political stake in the project to back out of it unless things get really bad, and perhaps psychologically people don’t notice that a project gets into trouble in the small periods of time typical of agile iterations. The implication is that you need to have purposeful milestone reviews where the viability of the project is explicitly considered. We suggest that for a given release you want to do this at least twice, so for a six month project you would do it every second month, and for longer projects minimally once a quarter.

- **Sufficient functionality.** The Construction phase milestone is reached when enough functionality has been completed to justify the expense of transitioning the solution into production. The solution must meet the acceptance criteria agreed to earlier in the project, or be close enough that it is likely any critical quality issues will be addressed during the Transition phase.

- **Production ready.** At the end of the Transition phase your key stakeholders need to determine whether the solution should be released into production. At this milestone, the business stakeholders are satisfied with and accept the solution and the operations and support staff are satisfied with the relevant procedures and documentation.

- **Delighted stakeholders.** The solution is running in production and stakeholders have indicated they are delighted with it.
Scalable

The DAD process framework provides a scalable foundation for agile IT and is an important part of the IBM agility@scale\textsuperscript{3} strategy. This strategy makes it explicit that there is more to scaling than team size and that there are multiple scaling factors a team may need to address. These scaling factors are

- **Geographical distribution.** A team may be located in a single room, on the same floor but in different offices or cubes, in the same building, in the same city, or even in different cities around the globe.

- **Team size.** Agile teams may range from as small as two people to hundreds and potentially thousands of people.

- **Regulatory compliance.** Some agile teams must conform to industry regulations such as the Dodd-Frank act, Sarbanes-Oxley, or Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulations.

- **Domain complexity.** Some teams apply agile techniques in straightforward situations, such as building an informational Web site, to more complex situations such as building an internal business application, and even in life-critical health-care systems.

- **Technical complexity.** Some agile teams build brand-new, “greenfield systems” from scratch running on a single technology platform with no need to integrate with other systems. At the other end of the spectrum some agile teams are working with multiple technologies, evolving and integrating with legacy systems, and evolving and accessing legacy data sources.

- **Organizational distribution.** Some agile teams are comprised of people who work for the same group in the same company. Other teams have people from different groups of the same company. Some teams are made up of people from similar organizations working together as a consortium. Some team members may be consultants or contractors. Sometimes some of the work is outsourced to one or more external service provider(s).

- **Organizational complexity.** In some organizations people work to the same vision and collaborate effectively. Other organizations suffer from politics. Some organizations have competing visions for how people should work and worse yet have various subgroups following and promoting those visions.

- **Enterprise discipline.** Many organizations want their teams to work toward a common enterprise architecture, take advantage of strategic reuse opportunities, and reflect their overall portfolio strategy.

\textsuperscript{3} The term “agility@scale” was first coined by Scott in his IBM developerWorks blog by the same name. The full term is now IBM agility@scale™.
Each team will find itself in a unique situation and will need to tailor its strategy accordingly. For example, a team of 7 collocated people in a regulatory environment works differently than a team of 40 people spread out across several locations in a non-regulatory environment. Each of the eight scaling factors just presented will potentially motivate tailoring to DAD practices. For example, although all DAD teams do some sort of initial requirements envisioning during the Inception phase, a small team does so differently than a large team, a collocated team uses different tools (such as whiteboards and paper) than a distributed team (who might use IBM Rational Requirements Composer in addition), and a team in a life-critical regulatory environment would invest significantly more effort capturing requirements than a team in a non-regulatory environment. Although it’s the same fundamental practice, identifying initial requirements, the way in which you do so will be tailored to reflect the situation you face.

Concluding Thoughts

The good news is that evidence clearly shows that agile methods deliver superior results compared to traditional approaches and that the majority of organizations are either using agile techniques or plan to in the near future. The bad news is that the mainstream agile methods—including Scrum, Extreme Programming (XP), and Agile Modeling (AM)—each provide only a part of the overall picture for IT solution delivery. Disciplined Agile Delivery (DAD) is a hybrid process framework that pulls together common practices and strategies from these methods and supplements these with others, such as Agile Data and Kanban, to address the full delivery lifecycle. DAD puts people first, recognizing that individuals and the way that they work together are the primary determinants of success on IT projects. DAD is enterprise aware, motivating teams to leverage and enhance their existing organizational ecosystem, to follow enterprise development guidelines, and to work with enterprise administration teams. The DAD lifecycle includes explicit milestones to reduce project risk and increase external visibility of key issues to support appropriate governance activities by senior management.

Additional Resources

For more detailed discussions about several of the topics covered in this chapter:

- **The Agile Manifesto.** The four values of the Agile Manifesto are posted at http://www.agilemanifesto.org/ and the twelve principles behind it at http://www.agilemanifesto.org/principles.html. Chapter 2 explores both in greater detail.

- **Agile surveys.** Throughout the chapter we referenced several surveys. The Agile Journal Survey is posted at http://www.agilejournal.com/. The results from the Dr. Dobb’s Journal (DDJ) and Ambysoft surveys are posted at http://www.ambysoft.com/surveys/, including the original source data, questions as they were asked, as well as slide decks summarizing Scott Ambler’s analysis.
• **People first.** The Alistair Cockburn paper, “Characterizing people as non-linear, first-order components in software development” at http://alistair.cockburn.us/Characterizing+people+as+non-linear%2c+first-order+components+in+software+development argues that people are the primary determinant of success on IT projects. In “Generalizing Specialists: Improving Your IT Skills” at http://www.agilemodeling.com/essays/generalizingSpecialists.htm Scott argues for the need to move away from building teams of overly specialized people.


• **Lean.** For more information about lean software development, Mary and Tom Poppendieck’s *Implementing Lean Software Development: From Concept to Cash* (Addison Wesley, 2007) is the best place to start.

• **Hybrid processes.** In *SDLC 3.0: Beyond a Tacit Understanding of Agile* (Fourth Medium Press, 2010), Mark Kennaley summarizes the history of the software process movement and argues for the need for hybrid processes that combine the best ideas from the various process movements over the past few decades.
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304-306
workflow, 319
 building solutions, 332
exploring solutions,
322-331
sharing solutions, 339
understanding work items,
320-324
validating solutions,
334-338
consumability design, 327
consumability of solutions, 490
contingency
 iteration contingency, 393
release contingency, 389
continuous delivery, 29
Continuous Delivery: Reliable
Software Releases Through
Build, Test, and Deployment
Automation (Humble and
Farley), 361
continuous deployment (CD),
353-354, 486
continuous documentation,
51, 490
continuous integration (CI), 48,
236, 334, 350-352, 486
continuous learning, 487-489
coordinating
 project management, 93
requirements, 93
technical dependencies, 95
work, 395-397
coordination meetings, 459
AgileGrocers POS system,
393-394
Kanban, 312
Scrum, 46, 312-319
Coplien, James O., 191
corporate performance,
optimizing, 453
cost
estimating, 218-225
qualitative benefits and costs, 224
quantitative benefits and costs, 223
Crago, Steven, 153
Cripps, Peter, 191
Crispin, Lisa, 361
Crystal Clear, 58
current build, deploying, 375
customer acceptance tests, 320-321
customer tests, 48, 320-321

daily coordination meetings. See coordination meetings
daily plans, 197
Daily Scrum, 46
dashboard tools, 236
data governance, 463
data management, team interaction with, 107
data migration, 422, 431
database refactoring, 53
database regression testing, 53
decelerators, 317
decision approaches, 380-381
decision rights, 444
dedicated facilities, 132, 239
defect counts, 286
defect management, 339
deferring commitment, 33
deliverable documentation, 340-341
delivery
eyearly and continuous delivery, 29
frequent delivery, 30
lean principles, 34
sustainable delivery, 31
demonstrating solutions to stakeholders, 365-368, 375, 489
all-hands demonstrations, 459-461
iteration demonstrations, 459
Densmore, Jim, 182, 220
dependencies with other teams, 216
between work items, 299
deployable releases, 276
deployment
collaboration, 438-439
Construction phase, 283
continuous deployment, 486
development management tools, 236
proven deployment/installation, 427
rehearsing deployments, 422
solutions, 424-426
testing, 421
in timely manner, 476-477
trade-offs, 420
working builds, 375
deployment management tools, 236
design
Construction phase, 278
consumability design, 327
design specifications, 327
evolutionary architecture, 54
importance of, 31
information design, 327
simplicity, 49
detailed end-to-end specification, 178
detailed interfaces, 178
determining when project ends, 440
developer sandboxes, 53
development guidelines, 48, 53, 247-248
DevOps, 17, 29, 37, 154, 176, 187, 202, 294, 329, 357, 421, 425, 463, 490-492
diagrams, use case, 263-264
digital cameras, 239
digital taskboards, 407
disaster recovery, 424
disciplined agile principles, 29-32
disciplined agile values, 27-29
disciplined approach to agile delivery
agile governance, 493
continuous learning, 487-489
enterprise awareness, 491-492
full delivery lifecycle, 492
goal-driven approach, 490-491
incremental delivery of solutions, 490
lean strategies, 493-494
mainstream agile practices, 484-485
reduced feedback cycle, 485-486
resources, 495
streamlined inception, 492
streamlined transition, 493
documentation
continuous documentation, 51, 490
creating deliverable documentation, 340-341
detailed vision documents, 138
documentation tools, 237
finalizing, 422
late documentation, 51
minimal documentation, 132
non-solo development, 343
versus solutions, 28
Domain Driven Design: Tackling Complexity in the Heart of Software (Evans), 108
domain experts, 78
domain modeling, 153
dominance of agile software
development, 1-2
Drive (Pink), 108
DSDM (Dynamic System
Development Method), 41, 58
duration versus effort, 386
Dynamic System Development
Method (DSDM), 41, 58

E
early delivery, 29
“Earned Value for Agile
Development” (Rusk), 481
earned value management
(EVM), 481
Eclipse Process Framework
(EPF), 56-59
educating stakeholders, 424
Eeles, Peter, 191
effective teams, strategies for,
85-88
effort versus duration, 386
eliminating waste, 33
empathy for stakeholders, 297
empirical observation, 466
der end users, 67
der-end-of-lifecycle testing, 334, 421
designing and ensuring production readiness, 421-422
Enterprise architects, team
interaction with, 106
enterprise awareness, 17-19, 87, 491-492
enterprise development
guidance, 460
enterprise professionals, 460
enterprise support strategies
(Inception phase), 117-123
enterprise teams, 455
Enterprise Unified Process
(EUP) site, 191
enterpriseunifiedprocess.com, 191
EPF (Eclipse Process
Framework), 56-59
escalation process, 445
estimates
AgileGrocers POS
system, 392
fixed price estimates, 126, 129
for AgileGrocers POS (Point
of Sale) case study, 267
ranged estimates, 132
updating, 301
estimating
cost and value, 218-225
estimation techniques,
comparing, 221-222
estimation tools, 237
EUP (Enterprise Unified
Process) site, 191
Evans, Eric, 108
EVM (earned value
management), 481
Evo, 1
evolutionary architecture, 54
evolutionary design, 54
exceptions, 445
executable specifications, 51
explicit lists, 170
Extreme Programming (XP), 1, 9
practices, 48-49
resources, 59
strengths, 42
Extreme Programming
Explained (Beck), 59

F
face-to-face communication, 31
Farley, David, 361
FDD (Feature Driven
Development), 58
feature teams, 96-98
feedback cycle, reducing,
485-486
fifth Construction iteration
(AgileGrocers POS system), 410
finalizing documentation, 422
fixed price estimates, 126-129
fixed-everything projects, 225
flexible work item
management, 345
focus, 85
formal change management, 166
formal milestone reviews,
380-381
formal modeling sessions, 162
formal point counting, 218
formal reviews, 143
forming teams, 230-232
formulating initial schedules,
208-217
fourth Construction iteration
(AgileGrocers POS
system), 409
Fourth Medium Consulting,
420, 440
Fowler, Martin, 326, 361
frequency of releases, 203
frequent delivery, 30
full delivery lifecycle, 492
funding strategies, 126-129, 134

G
Ganis, Matthew, 108, 308
Gantt charts, 215-217
general planning strategies,
197-201
generalizing specialists, 88
geographically distributed/
dispersed teams, 99-101
Gilb, Tom, 39
go-forward strategies, 376-380
goal question metric (GQM)
approach, 466
goal-driven approach, 490-491
goal-driven delivery life cycle, 11-14
“The Goal Question Metric Approach” (Basili et al), 481
goals
Construction, 275-277
Inception, 113-117
Gorans, Paul, 454
Gottesdiener, Ellen, 174
governance, 17, 441-442
agile governance philosophies, 451-454
agile governance strategies, 455-456
agile practices that support governance, 459-462
DAD milestones, 457-458
governance body, 107, 444
importance of, 447-448
issues addressed by, 443-447
metrics, 465-468
audiences for, 468
guidelines, 465-468
mapping goals, audience, and potential metrics, 476-478
table of, 469-475
overall IT governance strategy, 460-465
resources, 480-481
risk mitigation, 479-480
scope, 443
traditional governance strategies, 448-451
governance body, 107, 444
GQM (goal question metric) approach, 466
Greene, Jennifer, 108
Gregory, Janet, 361
gross velocity, 209, 213
Grout, Tony, 162, 298
guidelines, 446, 453
Gutz, Steve, 361

H
Handbook of Software Architecture site, 191
hardware, procuring, 238
Harold, Rusty, 361
healthy working environment, 476-478
help desks, 424
high-priority work items (AgileGrocers POS system), 387-390
high-level overview, 178
Hillier, Rick, 82
Holmes, Carson, 495
Holmes, Julian, 422, 495
How to Measure Anything: Finding the Value of Intangibles in Business (Hubbard), 481
Hubbard, Douglas W., 481
Humble, Jez, 361
hybrid process framework, 9-10

I
“I Want to Run an Agile Project” (video), 495
IASA (International Association of Software Architects), 191
IBM, 1
IBM Global Business Services, 153
IBM Global Services, 181, 292, 317, 373, 454
IBM Practices, 54-59
IBM Practices Library, 42
IBM Rational, 162, 182, 298, 479
IBM Rational Requirements Composer (RRC), 162
IBM Rational Team Concert (RTC), 153, 233, 299
ideal planning sheet hours (AgileGrocers POS system), 384-386
Implementing Lean Software Development (Poppendieck), 24, 39, 59
Implementing Scrum site, 59
importance of agile practices, 58
improving process, 368-373
“Improving Software Economics: Top 10 Principles for Achieving Agility at Scale” (Royce), 39
Inception phase, 14, 111-112
AgileGrocers POS (Point of Sale) system
alternative approaches to Inception phase, 269-270
architecture envisioning, 265-266
concluding Inception phase, 270-272
goals and background, 251-254
other Inception phase activities, 268
release planning, 266-268
requirements envisioning, 262-264
summary of tasks completed and work products produced, 271-272
vision statement, 254-262
work item list, 264-265
anti-patterns, 132-133
coding and environment setup, 129-130
enterprise support strategies, 117-123
goals, 113-117
initial architectural modeling, 175
architecture through the lifecycle, 190
benefits of, 176-177
IBM Global Services case study, 181
IBM Rational case study, 182
levels of architectural specification detail, 178-181
model types, 182-186
modeling strategies, 187-189
resources, 191
initial requirements modeling
benefits of, 147-148
level of detail, choosing, 149, 152
model types, 1531-162
modeling strategies, 162-165
NFR (nonfunctional requirement) capture strategies, 170-171
resources, 173-174
work item management strategies, 166-170
length of, 131
with nonagile groups, 121
patterns, 131-132
project funding strategies, 126-129, 134
project vision, identifying.
See vision resources, 134
sample three-week Inception phase schedule, 117-119
streamlining, 492
teams, forming, 230-231
when to run, 130-131
incremental delivery of solutions, 490
independent testers, 79-81
individual learning, 488
informal modeling sessions, 163
informal reviews, 142
information design, 327
initial architectural modeling, 175
architecture through the lifecycle, 190
benefits of, 176-177
IBM Global Services case study, 181
IBM Rational case study, 182
levels of architectural specification detail, 178-181
model types, 182-186
modeling strategies, 187-189
resources, 191
initial release planning, 193
estimating cost and value, 218-225
formulating initial schedule, 208-217
how to do it, 196
who does it, 194
initial requirements modeling
benefits of, 147-148
level of detail, choosing, 149-152
model types, 153-162
modeling strategies, 162-165
NFR (nonfunctional requirement) capture strategies, 170-171
resources, 173-174
work item management strategies, 166-170
internal open source, 97, 109
interviews, 163
iron triangle anti-pattern, 134
IT Governance (Weill and Ross), 481
IT governance strategy, 460-465
IT investment, 476
IT solutions over software, 10-11
iteration burndown charts
AgileGrocers POS project, 394-395
purpose of, 402
iteration contingency, 393
iteration demonstrations, 365-366, 459
iteration hardening, 363
iteration length, 202-204
iteration modeling, 51
iteration planning workshops, 46, 88, 197
agile planning, 290-291
decomposing work items into tasks, 299-300
eliciting work item details, 294-297
modeling potential solutions, 298-299
obtaining commitment, 303-304
planning team availability, 293
resources, 308
sanity check, 302-303
selecting work items, 293-294
signing up for tasks, 300
team velocity, 301
updating estimates, 301
workflow, 291-292
iterative development, 25, 55
The International Association of Software Architects (IASA), 191
J–K
Jeffries, Ron, 59
just barely good enough artifacts, 51
Kanban, 10, 34-35, 41, 48, 312, 494
KANBAN: Successful Evolutionary Change for Your Technology Business (Anderson), 39
Katzenbach, Jon R., 108
Kennaley, Mark, 24, 420, 440, 459, 487
Kernigham, Brian W., 362
Kerth, Norm, 371, 382
Kessler, Karl, 82
Knaster, Richard, 451, 479
knowledge sharing process, 33, 445
Krebs, William, 382
Kroll, Per, 382

L
large teams, 93-99
component teams, 96-98
feature teams, 96-98
internal open source, 97, 109
project management coordination, 93
requirements coordination, 93
technical coordination, 95
last Construction iteration (AgileGrocers POS system), 413
late documentation, 51
leadership, 345-347
Leadership: 50 Points of Wisdom for Today’s Leaders (Hillier), 82
Leading Lean Software Development (Poppendieck), 39, 82
Lean Architecture for Agile Software Development (Coplien and Bjornvig), 191
“Lean Development Governance” (Kroll and Ambler), 480
The Lean Startup (Ries), 376, 382
lean principles, 33-36
lean programming, 493-494
lean software development, 24
principles, 53-54
resources, 59
strengths, 42
Lean Software Development (Poppendieck), 39
lean techniques, 382
learning
continuous learning, 487-489
learning from experiences, 368-373
learning opportunities, 85
learning orientation of DAD, 7-8
Leffingwell, Dean, 59, 134, 145
levels of architectural specification detail, 178-181
lifecycle
architecture through the lifecycle, 190
lifecycle goals, 274
lighting work areas, 243
lightweight milestone reviews, 380
lightweight vision statements, 139
limiting WIP (work in progress), 35
lists
explicit lists, 170
work item lists for AgileGrocers POS (Point of Sale) system, 264-265
managing, 307
look-ahead planning and modeling, 51, 306-307, 322, 326, 395
Management 3.0: Leading Agile Developers, Developing Agile Leaders (Appelo), 308
Managing Software Debt (Sterling), 362
Manifesto for Agile Software Development, 1, 23, 26, 39, 163
manual models, 237
manual taskboards, 407
manual testing, 335
manual tools, 233
mapping goals, audience, and potential metrics, 476-478
Martin, Robert C., 362
MDD (model-driven development), 328
measured improvement, 368-370
measuring teams, 465-468
audiences for metrics, 468
guidelines, 465-468
mapping goals, audience, and potential metrics, 476-478
table of metrics, 469-475
medium-sized teams, 90-93
meetings
AgileGrocers POS project, 393-394
coordination meetings, 459
Kanban, 312
Scrum, 312-319
iteration planning
meetings. See iteration planning workshops
look-ahead planning and modeling, 306-307
team meetings, 380
weekly status meetings, 312
Mencken, H. L., 1
mentoring, 488
metrics, 445
audiences for, 468
automated metrics gathering, 347
guidelines, 465-468
mapping goals, audience, and potential metrics, 476-478
table of, 469-475
migration, 422, 431
milestones, 457-458
formulating initial schedules, 216
reviews, 455
AgileGrocers POS system, 413-414
formal milestone reviews, 380-381
lightweight milestone reviews, 380
mind maps, 255-256
minimal documentation, 132
minimally marketable release (MMR), 15
mitigating risk, 445, 479-480
MMR (minimally marketable release), 15
model storming, 51, 322, 326
model-driven development (MDD), 328
modeling
AM (Agile Modeling), 9, 165
explained, 50
practices, 51-52
resources, 59
strengths, 42
initial architectural modeling, 175
architecture through the lifecycle, 190
benefits of, 176-177
IBM Global Services case study, 181
IBM Rational case study, 182
levels of architectural specification detail, 178-181
model types, 182-186
modeling strategies, 187-189
resources, 191
initial requirements modeling benefits of, 147-148
level of detail, choosing, 149-152
model types, 153-162
modeling strategies, 162-165
NFR (nonfunctional requirement) capture strategies, 170-171
resources, 173-174
work item management strategies, 166-170
look-ahead planning and modeling, 51, 306-307, 322, 326, 395
MDD (model-driven development), 328
model storming, 322, 326
potential solutions, 298-299
tools, 237
Morris, John, 431
motivating teams, 452
multiple iterations, 427
multiple models, 52
The Mythical Man Month (Brooks), 1
net present value (NPV), 224, 473
net velocity, 210
NFRs (nonfunctional requirements), 154, 170-171
ninth Construction iteration (AgileGrocers POS system), 411-413
nonagile groups, working with, 121
nonfunctional requirements (NFRs), 154, 170-171
nonfunctional testing, 335
non-solo development, 343, 485-488
non-solo work, 48
NPV (net present value), 224, 473
Nygard, Michael T., 431
OID (Outside-In Development), 41, 59
on-demand demonstrations, 365
open source tools, 233
OpenUP (Open Unified Process) explained, 56
project lifecycle, 56-57
resources, 59
strengths, 42
"Operational IT Governance" (Cantor and Sanders), 481
operations governance, 463
Opex (operations expense), 440
optimizing corporate performance, 453
organizational change, 490
organizational standards, 348
organizing
physical work environments, 238-244
teams
geographically distributed/dispersed teams, 99-101
large teams, 93-99
medium-sized teams, 90-93
small teams, 89-90
virtual work environments, 244-246
Outside-In Development (OID), 41, 59
Outside-in Software Development (Kessler and Sweitzer), 59, 82
overall IT governance strategy, 4603-465
ownership, collective, 343

P
pace, sustainability, 347
pair programming, 48
parallel independent testing, 334, 355-358
partners, 67
patterns
Construction, 284-285
Inception, 131-132
Transition, 427-428
payback period, 224
PDCA (Plan, Do, Check, Act) cycle, 14
people-first nature of DAD, 5-7, 27
phase duration, 202
physical taskboards, 304-306
Pike, Rob, 362
pilots, 422
Pink, Dan, 108
Pittaway, Andy, 181, 292, 317, 373
pivoting project direction, 377
Plan, Do, Check, Act (PDCA) cycle, 14
planning
AgileGrocers POS
Construction iterations
acceptance test criteria, 391-392
coordinating day’s work, 395-397
daily coordination meeting, 393-394
day-by-day breakdown, 397-403
high-priority work items, 387-390
ideal planning sheet hours, 384-386
iteration burndown charts, 394-395
sanity check, 392-393
work item breakdown and estimation, 392
cadences, 202-203, 208
Construction phase
daily coordination meetings 312-319
weekly status meetings, 312
daily plans, 197
general planning strategies, 197-201
initial release planning, 193
estimating cost and value, 218-225
formulating initial schedule, 208-217
how to do it, 196
who does it, 194
iteration contingency, 393
iteration planning workshops, 88, 197
agile planning, 290-291
decomposing work items into tasks, 299-300
eliciting work item details, 294-297
modeling potential solutions, 298-299
obtaining commitment, 303-304
planning team
availability, 293
resources, 308
sanity check, 302-303
selecting work items, 293-294
signing up for tasks, 300
team velocity, 301
updating estimates, 301
workflow, 291-292
look-ahead planning and modeling, 51, 306-307, 322, 326, 395
planning game, 49
planning poker, 218
portfolio plan, 196
release contingency, 389
release planning, 46, 55, 196
responsibility options, 195
scope, 196-197
short release cycles, 217
solution plans, 196
sprint planning, 46
strategies, comparing, 198-201
tools, 237
Transition phase, 419-421, 434-438
visualizing your plan, 304-306
planning (agile) tools, 237
planning (classic) tools, 237
PMO (project management office), 107
point counting, 218, 223
point-specific tools, 233
policies, 446
Poppendieck, Mary, 24, 39, 59, 82
Poppendieck, Tom, 24, 39, 59, 82
portfolio management, 262
portfolio plans, 196
post-delivery activities, 492
post mortems, 368-370
potential solutions, modeling, 298-299
Practical Data Migration
(Morris), 431
A Practical Guide to Distributed Scrum
(Woodward et al), 66, 101, 108, 308
Practical Project Initiation
(Wiegers), 134
The Practice of Programming
(Kernigham and Pike), 362
predelivery activities, 492
predictive (detailed) planning, 197
predictive (light) planning, 198
predictive (none) planning, 198
preproduct testing, 375
present value (PV), 224
principals, 67
principles
disciplined agile principles, 29-32
lean principles, 33-36
prioritized requirements, 52
prioritized work items, 294-296, 437-438
process
assessing, 373-374
improving, 368-373
modeling, 154
The Process of Software Architecting
(Eeles and Cripps), 191
procuring hardware, 238
product backlog, 45, 166
product owners challenges, 69-71
reonsibilities, 68-69
resources, 81
“The Product Owner Role: A Stakeholder Proxy for Agile Teams,” 81
production, deploying into, 375
production readiness, 421-422
Production Ready milestone, 424
production release cadences, 206
Programming Pearls
(Bentley), 362
project funding strategies, 126-129, 134
project management, 93-95, 279
project management office (PMO), 107
project missions, fulfilling, 276
projected revenue, 224
projected savings, 224
projectors space, 240
proven deployment/installation, 427
proving architecture, 276
pull reporting, 466
push reporting, 466
PV (present value), 224
“Questioning the Value of Earned Value Management in IT Projects” (Ambler), 481
R
RAD (Rapid Application Development), 1
ranged burndown charts, 209-213, 374
ranged estimates, 132
ranked risk lists, 226
Rapid Application Development (RAD), 1
Rational Requirements Composer (RRC), 162
Rational Team Concert (RTC), 153, 299
Rational Unified Process (RUP), 57
“A Real Revolutionary Agile Manifesto: Value to Stakeholders, Not Working Code to Customers” (Gilb), 39
realities faced by DAD teams, 27
reality over rhetoric, 36-38
recovery, 424
reducing feedback cycle, 485-486
technical debt, 476
refactoring, 48, 361
Refactoring: Improving the Design of Existing Code (Fowler), 361
Refactoring Databases (Ambler and Sadalage), 431
reference books, 240
regression testing, 53
regulatory compliancy, 476-478
rehearsing deployments, 422
release contingency, 389
Release It! (Nygard), 431
Q
QA (quality assurance), 106, 280, 463
qualitative benefits and costs, 224
quality solutions, 476
quantified business value, 31
quantitative benefits and costs, 223
releases
deployable, 276
small releases, 49
release planning, 46, 55, 196, 266-268
release dates, 216
reporting status, 361
Requirements by Collaboration: Workshops for Defining Needs (Gottesdiener), 174
requirements coordination, 93
requirements envisioning, 52, 149-150, 153, 262-264
requirements modeling
benefits of, 147-148
level of detail, choosing, 149-152
model types, 153-162
modeling strategies, 162-165
NFR (nonfunctional requirement) capture strategies, 170-171
resources, 173-174
work item management strategies, 166-170
requirements specifications, 321-322
respect, 34, 85, 452
responding to change, 29
responsibilities
of architecture owners, 76-77
definition of, 61
of everyone, 64-65
of product owners, 68-69
of team leads, 73-74
of team members, 71-72
retrospectives, 47, 368-373, 382, 405-406, 460, 489
retrospectives.com, 382
return on investment (ROI), 224
reuse engineers, 107
“Reuse Patterns and Antipatterns,” 109
“Reuse Through Internal Open Source,” 109
revenue projections, 224
reviews, 335, 358-359. See also retrospectives
AgileGrocers POS (Point of Sale) system, 403-405
formal milestone reviews, 380-381
formal reviews, 143
informal reviews, 142
lightweight milestone reviews, 380
milestone reviews, 413-414, 455
sprint review and demonstration, 46
reward structure, 445
Ries, Eric, 376, 382
rights, 61-64, 453
risk
AgileGrocers POS (Point of Sale) case study, 268
assessing, 375
identifying, 225-226
risk mitigation, 445, 479-480
risk-value lifecycle, 19-21, 55, 282-283, 455
ROI (return on investment), 224
roles, 443-444
architecture owner, 76-81
definition of, 61
domain experts, 78
explained, 65
independent testers, 79-81
integrators, 79
product owner, 68-71, 81
project manager, 95
specialists, 78-81
stakeholders. See stakeholders
team lead, 73-75
team members, 71-73
and teamwork, 66
technical experts, 79
traditional roles, 61-62
transitioning to, 79-80
Rombach, H. Dieter, 481
Ross, Jeanne W., 481
Royce, Walker, 33, 39, 308
RRC (Rational Requirements Composer), 162
RTC (Rational Team Concert), 153, 203, 233-236, 299
RUP (Rational Unified Process), 57
Rusk, John, 481
S
safe teams, 85
sandboxes, 53
Sanders, John D., 481
sanity checks, 302-303, 392-393
savings projections, 224
scalability, 22-23
scalar values, 466
Scaled Agile Framework, 41, 58-59
scaling agile, 479
Scaling Software Agility (Leffingwell), 134, 145
scalingsoftwareagilityblog.com, 59
Schaefer, Lauren, 233, 246
schedules
formulating, 208-217
three-week Inception phase schedule, 117-119
schema analysis tools, 237
Schwaber, Ken, 58
scope
of governance, 443
initial requirements modeling
benefits of, 147-148
level of detail, choosing, 149-152
model types, 153-162
modeling strategies, 162-165
resources, 173-174
work item management strategies, 166-171
Scrum, 9
daily coordination meetings, 312-319
explained, 44
practices, 45-47
product backlog, 166
resources, 59
strengths, 41
team roles, 6
Scrum Guide, 59
SDLC 3.0: Beyond a Tacit Understanding of Agile (Kennaley), 24
second Construction iteration (AgileGrocers POS system), 407-408
security governance, 463
self-awareness, 87
self-leveling teams, 300
self-organization, 86, 459
shared artifacts, 125
shared solutions, 339
shared vision, 55
shared workspaces, 86
Shingo, Shigeo, 328
short iterations, 486
short release cycles, 217, 486
short transitions, 427
signing up for tasks, 300
simplicity, 31, 49, 275
single source information, 52
sixth Construction iteration (AgileGrocers POS system), 410-411
skills development, 132
small releases, 49
small teams, 89-90
Smith, Douglas K., 108
Software Development Experts, 487
Software Development Practice Advisor (SDPA), 459
Software Engineering Economics (Boehm), 362
Software Project Management: A Unified Framework (Royce), 308
Software Release Methodology (Bays), 431
solutions
betas/pilots, 422
building, 332
consumability, 490
delivery of early and continuous delivery, 29
frequent delivery, 30
sustainable delivery, 31
demonstrating for stakeholders, 365-368, 459
deploying, 424-426
importance of, 28
incremental delivery of, 490
preparing stakeholders for, 423-424
quality solutions, 476
sharing, 339
solution plan, 196
understanding, 322-331
validating, 334-338
SPDA (Software Development Practice Advisor), 459
specialists, 78-81, 88
spending IT investment wisely, 476
split tests, 376
sprint planning, 46
sprint retrospective, 47
sprint review and demonstration, 46
stabilizing day’s work, 359
stage gate strategy, 126
Stakeholder Delight milestone, 426, 439-440
stakeholders
active participation, 51, 486-488
AgileGrocers POS (Point of Sale) case study, 257
agreement with project vision, 142-143
communication with, 423-424
cost set by, 220
definition of, 67
demonstrating solutions to, 365-368, 459, 489
empathy with, 297
end users, 67
insiders, 67
partners, 67
preparing for solution release, 423-424
principals, 67
resources, 82
stakeholder collaboration, 28-30
stakeholder consensus, 142-143
Stakeholder Delight, 426, 439-440
training/educating, 424
standards, 348, 446
starting iterations midweek, 292
static code analysis, 361
status reporting, 361, 446
Stellman, Andrew, 108
Sterling, Chris, 362
storage cabinets, 240
story tests, 320-321
streamlining
inception, 492
transition, 493
structured surveys, 368, 382
sufficient artifacts, 51
supplementary specifications, 170
support issues, 490
Surdek, Steffan, 108, 308
surveys, 368, 382
sustainable delivery, 31
sustainable pace, 49, 347
Sweitzer, John, 82

T
T&M (time and materials), 126
table progress, updating, 345
taskboards, 284, 304-306, 407
tasks, signing up for, 300
TCO (total cost of ownership), 224
TDD (test-driven development), 49-52, 328-332, 348-350
team change management, 55
team lead, 73-75, 345-347
team members
challenges, 72-73
formulating initial schedules, 216
growing skills, 277
responsibilities, 71-72
team teams, 5-7, 83-84
accountability, 86
building, 101-104
disciplined agile principles, 32
enterprise teams, 455
estimating cost and value, 219
forming, 230-232
fully dispersed teams, 246
geographically distributed/dispersed teams, 99-101

governance, 441-442
agile governance philosophies, 451-454
agile governance strategies, 455-456
agile practices that support governance, 459-462
DAD milestones, 457-458
importance of, 447-448
issues addressed by, 443-447
metrics, 465-478
overall IT governance strategy, 460-465
resources, 480-481
risk mitigation, 479-480
scope, 443
traditional governance strategies, 448-451
interacting with other teams, 104-108
large teams, 93-99
component teams, 96-98
feature teams, 96-98
internal open source, 97, 109
project management coordination, 93
requirements coordination, 93
technical coordination, 95
measuring
audiences for metrics, 468
guidelines, 465-468
mapping goals, audience, and potential metrics, 476-478
table of metrics, 469-475
medium-sized teams, 90-93
motivating, 452
resources, 108-109
self-leveling teams, 300
small teams, 89-90
strategies for effective teams, 85-88

team availability, 293
team change management, 55
team lead, 73-75, 345-347
team members
challenges, 72-73
formulating initial schedules, 216
growing skills, 277
responsibilities, 71-72
velocity, 301
whole team strategy, 49, 88-89
technical coordination, 95
technical experts, 79
technical stories, 170
technical writers, 106, 279
technology governance, 463
technology models, 182
terminology, 43-44
test data management tools, 237
test-driven development (TDD), 49-52, 328-332, 348-350
test planning and management tools, 237
testing
acceptance tests, 320-321, 391-392
concurrent testing, 54
Construction phase, 278
deployment testing, 421
dead-line testing, 334, 421
manual testing, 335
nonfunctional testing, 335
parallel independent testing, 334, 355-358
preproduct testing, 375
split tests, 376
testing after development, 332
tools, 237
UI (user interface) testing, 334
testing (acceptance) tools, 237
testing (other) tools, 237
testing (unit) tools, 237
third Construction iteration (AgileGrocers POS system), 408-409
3C rhythm, 14, 277
three-phase delivery lifecycle, 492
time and materials (T&M), 126
TOGAF information site, 191
tools
automated tools, 233
build management tools, 236
code analysis (dynamic), 236
code analysis (static), 236
code review tools, 236
collaboration tools, 236
commercial tools, 233
configuration management (CM) tools, 236
continuous integration (CI) tools, 236
dashboard tools, 236
deployment management tools, 236
documentation tools, 237
estimating tools, 237
integrated tool suites, 233
manual tools, 233
modeling tools, 237
open source tools, 233
planning (agile), 237
planning (classic), 237
point-specific tools, 233
schema analysis tools, 237
test data management tools, 237
test planning and management tools, 237
testing (acceptance), 237
testing (other), 237
testing (unit), 237
toolsets, 231-238
total cost of ownership (TCO), 224
total value of ownership (TVO), 224
toys, 240
traditional governance strategies, 448-451
traditional software development, 25
training stakeholders, 424
Transition phase, 15-17, 417
AgileGrocers POS (Point of Sale) system, 433
collaborating to deploy solution, 438-439
planning, 434-438
Stakeholder Delight, 439-440
weekly goals, 435
work item priority, 437-438
anti-patterns, 429-430
determining when project ends, 440
how it works, 418-419
patterns, 427-428
planning, 419-421
production readiness, 421-422
resources, 431
solutions, deploying, 424-426
Stakeholder Delight milestone, 426
stakeholders, preparing for solution release, 423-424
streamlining, 493
transition planning, 421
transparency, 452
trend tracking, 213, 466
trust, 85, 452
TVO (total value of ownership), 224

UI
UI (user interface) modeling, 154, 183
UI (user interface) testing, 334
UI prototypes, 186
UML (Unified Modeling Language), 237
uncontrolled change, 345
UP (Unified Process), 1, 9
updating estimates, 301
task progress, 345
usage-driven development, 47
usage modeling, 153
usage statistics, 376
use case diagrams, 263-264
use case-driven development, 55
user experience (UX), 106, 279
user interface (UI) modeling, 154, 183
user interface (UI) testing, 334
user stories, 257-259
user story-driven development, 47, 55
UX (User Experience) experts, 106, 279

V
validating solutions, 334-338
value
disciplined agile values, 27-29
estimating, 218-225
net present value (NPV), 224
present value (PV), 224
quantified business value, 31
value-driven lifecycle, 19-21, 45, 55, 282-283, 455
velocity (teams), 301
virtual work environments, 244-246
vision, 135-136
AgileGrocers POS (Point of Sale) case study, 254-255
business problem to be solved, 254-256
conditions of satisfaction, 262
constraints, 261
key stakeholders, 257
mind map, 255-256
needs and features, 257-260
product overview, 260
user stories/features, 257-259
contents, 136-137
detailed vision documents, 138
lightweight vision statements, 139
portfolio management approach, 262
visual management, 246-247
visualizing plan, 304-306
workflow, 32-34
Vizdo, Mike, 59

W-X-Y-Z
wall space, 240
waste, eliminating, 33
water-scrum-fall, 134, 492
Water-Scrum-Fall Is the Reality of Agile for Most Organizations Today
(West), 134
waterfall software development, 25
Waterfall2006.com, 495
weekly goals of Transition phase (AgileGrocers POS system), 435
weekly status meetings, 312
Weill, Peter, 481
West, Dave, 134
Whelan, Declan, 83
whiteboard space, 239
whole team strategy, 49, 54, 88-89
Wideband Delphi, 218
Wiegers, Karl, 134
WIP (work in progress), limiting, 35
The Wisdom of Teams
(Katzenbach and Smith), 108
Woodward, Elizabeth, 66, 108, 121, 308
work areas, 241-242
work environments
physical environments, 238-244
virtual environments, 244-246
work in progress (WIP), limiting, 35
work item pool, 167
work item stack, 166
work items, 45, 52, 275
AgileGrocers POS (Point of Sale) system, 264-265, 437-438
decomposing into tasks, 299-300
dependencies, 299
flexible work item management, 345
management strategies, 166-170, 307
prioritizing, 294-296
selecting, 293-294
understanding, 320-324
work item details, eliciting, 294-297
workflow, visualizing, 32-34
working builds, deploying, 375
working environment, 476-478
workspaces, shared, 86
XP (Extreme Programming), 1, 9
practices, 48-49
resources, 59
strengths, 42