

Index

Page numbers followed by *n* signify footnotes.

A

abstract use cases, 93
abstraction, level needed for project
 portfolio management, 244-245
Access for project portfolio
 management, 243
algebraic solution, calculating
 preconditions from postconditions,
 169-172
allocation of resource by project type
 (project portfolio management),
 246-247
comparing target to actual allocation,
 254-255, 274-276
estimating effort needed for use cases,
 251-253
inventory of projects, 247-249, 273-274
use case metadata needed,
 250-251, 274

B

basemaps in oil and gas exploration, 271
base use cases, 91
 include and extend use cases with,
 95-98
benefit to cost ratio, calculating ROI, 237
benefits, calculating ROI, 229-236
bottom-up planning strategy, 85
 operational profile usage example,
 87-89
boundary condition failures, 180-182
brainstorming, importance in QFD, 29
business drivers, 6
 identifying
 Mega Motors example (QFD
 overview), 17-18
 Oil & Gas Exploration Systems
 example (cross-company
 decision making), 54-55

294 INDEX

- prioritization
 - importance of, 11-12
 - Mega Motors example (QFD overview), 19-23
 - prototyping, Mega Motors example (QFD overview), 18-19
 - QFD in, 9-11
 - relationship to use cases
 - Mega Motors example (QFD overview), 23-32
 - Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example (cross-company decision making), 55-56
- C**
- chaos of projects, 11
 - chaos theory, deterministic chaos, 11
 - class invariants, 188
 - CM, project portfolio management, 241-244
 - current allocation of projects, 246-247
 - comparing target to actual allocation, 254-255, 274-276
 - estimating effort needed for use cases, 251-253
 - inventory of projects, 247-249, 273-274
 - use case metadata needed, 250-251, 274
 - pipeline management, 255
 - FTE models, 256
 - FTE run charts, 257-259, 277-282
 - tracking portfolio status, 259-264, 283-285
 - use cases in, 244-245
 - communication gap between requirements types, 6-7
 - constant failure rate, 124
 - constraints, transition constraints, 190-191
 - correlations between quality requirements, analyzing (Mega Motors example), 41-42
 - correlations between use cases
 - analyzing, Mega Motors example (QFD overview), 32-34
 - resolving negative correlations, Mega Motors example (QFD overview), 37-38
 - cost
 - calculating ROI, 225-229
 - of fully burdened employees, 224
 - critical use cases
 - defined, 110
 - operational profiles for, 109-118
 - cross sections in oil and gas exploration, 271
 - cross-company decision making (Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example), 50-60, 269-272
 - customers versus users, 6*n*
- D**
- dashboard (tracking reliability), 138-139
 - initializing, 142-145
 - layout of, 139-141
 - progress over time, 152-153
 - test coverage tracking, 141-152
 - updating, 145-152
 - data invariants, 188
 - DDE (Defect Detection Effectiveness), 153-156
 - decision graphs, 79-82
 - Defect Detection Effectiveness (DDE), 153-156

Page numbers followed by *n* signify footnotes.

- defect detection percentage, 156
 - defect removal effectiveness (DRE), 156, 234-235
 - designing test cases
 - Extended Use Case Test Design
 - Pattern, 200
 - building test cases, 209-213
 - domain definitions of operational variables, 202-203
 - operational relation development, 203-208
 - operational variables, identifying, 201-202
 - invariants, 198-200
 - postconditions, 198
 - preconditions, 198
 - dev kits (Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example)
 - prioritizing, 59
 - selecting, 51-52
 - distributed development, synchronizing (Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example), 61-72
 - domain analysis, 209*n*
 - domain definition of variables, 181
 - operational variables, 202-203
 - state variables, 188
 - DRE (defect removal effectiveness), 156, 234-235
 - dynamic, reliability as, 123
- E**
- earth modeling techniques, prioritizing (Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example), 58-59
 - effectiveness of testing, 153-156
 - efficiency versus effectiveness, 156
 - efficiency of staff, savings from, 229-230
 - effort needed for use cases, estimating (project portfolio management), 251-253
 - 80/20 rule (Pareto Principle), 82-85
 - setting failure intensity objectives, 136-138
 - electrical resistivity in oil and gas exploration, 270
 - entropy in distributed software development, 61-64
 - estimating effort needed for use cases (project portfolio management), 251-253
 - Evolver (Excel add-in), 70
 - examples
 - Mega Motors example (QFD overview), 12-47
 - Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example
 - cross-company decision making, 50-60, 269-272
 - distributed development
 - synchronization, 61-72
 - exponential failure law
 - defined, 124
 - setting failure intensity objectives, 133-136
 - extend relationship (between use cases), 91
 - extend use cases
 - with base use cases, 95-98
 - probability of usage, 98-101
 - standalone, 94-95
 - Extended Use Case Test Design
 - Pattern, 200
 - building test cases, 209-213

Page numbers followed by *n* signify footnotes.

- operational relation development, 203-208
 - operational variables
 - domain definitions of, 202-203
 - identifying, 201-202
 - extension (set theory), 206*n*
 - extension use cases, 91
 - Extreme Programming (XP) stories, estimating effort, 252
- F**
- failure analysis. *See also* Hazard Identification and Analysis
 - boundary condition failures, 180-182
 - invariants
 - boundary condition failures, 180-182
 - calculating preconditions from postconditions, 167-172
 - global invariants, 187-189, 199
 - local invariants, 187, 190-191
 - modeling state change, 172-180
 - relationship with preconditions and postconditions, 193-195
 - scope of, 188*n*
 - similarity to safety requirements (safety invariants), 187
 - in test case design, 198-200
 - types of, 187
 - postconditions, 161-163
 - boundary condition failures, 180-182
 - calculating preconditions from, 165-172
 - history of, 163-165
 - modeling state change, 172-180
 - multiple preconditions for, 185
 - relationship with preconditions and invariants, 193-195
 - scope of, 184-185
 - in test case design, 198
 - for individual operations versus use cases, 183-184
 - weak versus strong preconditions, 185-186
 - failure intensity, 124, 126
 - failure intensity objective, setting, 129-138
 - reliability growth curves, 127-128
 - tracking, 138, 143
 - units of measurement, 128-129
 - failure intensity objective, setting, 129-138
 - “flipping the matrix” (QFD)
 - matching use cases to quality requirements, 35-37
 - matching use cases to vehicle components, 43-44
 - frequency, defined, 110

Page numbers followed by *n* signify footnotes.

frequency of failure, calculating risk,
113-114

frequency of use in operational profiles.
See operational profiles

FTE (Full Time Equivalent) models, 256
run charts through time, 257-259,
277-282

fully burdened employees, accounting for
cost in calculating ROI, 224

G

Gantt charts, 258

generalization relationship (between use
cases), 91

geology in oil and gas exploration, 51

geophysics in oil and gas exploration, 51

global invariants, 187-189, 199

guesstimates. *See* Pareto Principle

H

hardware reliability versus software
reliability, 126

Hazard Identification and Analysis,
168, 187. *See also* failure analysis;
safety requirements (safety
invariants)

high-level planning, transition to
low-level planning, 105-107

high scores, restricting, 56

historical data, estimating effort
using, 252

history of preconditions and
postconditions, 163-165

I

include relationship (between use
cases), 91

include use cases, 91

with base use cases, 95-98

probability of usage, 98-101

standalone, 94-95

independent operational variables, 202

initializing dashboard (tracking
reliability), 142-145

intension (set theory), 206*n*

interpreters in oil and gas
exploration, 270

invariants. *See also* safety requirements
(safety invariants)

boundary condition failures, 180-182

calculating preconditions from
postconditions, 167-172

global invariants, 187-189, 199

local invariants, 187, 190
transition constraints, 190-191

modeling state change, 172-180

relationship with preconditions and
postconditions, 193-195

scope of, 188*n*

in test case design, 198-200

types of, 187

inventory of projects for project portfolio
management, 247-249, 273-274

iterations in distributed software
development, 64-72

J-K

Juran, Joseph, use of Pareto Principle, 82

known defects, tracking, 138, 143

L

language gap between requirements
types, 6-7

law of large numbers, 251

Page numbers followed by *n* signify footnotes.

- line-of-section in oil and gas
 - exploration, 271
 - local invariants, 187, 190
 - transition constraints, 190-191
 - log templates in oil and gas
 - exploration, 270
 - low-level planning, transition from
 - high-level planning, 105-107
- M**
- matrix, decision graph as, 81. *See also*
 - QFD matrix
 - Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF), 128*n*
 - Mean Time To Failure (MTTF), 128
 - setting failure intensity objectives, 133-136
 - Mean Time to Repair (MTTR), 128*n*
 - metadata, 246
 - needed for project portfolio management, 250-251, 274
 - model-based specification, 174
 - application to numeric problems, 193
 - boundary condition failures, 180-182
 - invariants
 - boundary condition failures, 180-182
 - calculating preconditions from postconditions, 167-172
 - global invariants, 187-189, 199
 - local invariants, 187, 190-191
 - modeling state change, 172-180
 - relationship with preconditions and postconditions, 193-195
 - scope of, 188*n*
 - similarity to safety requirements (safety invariants), 187
 - in test case design, 198-200
 - types of, 187
 - numeric nature of, 193
 - postconditions, 161-163
 - boundary condition failures, 180-182
 - calculating preconditions from, 165-172
 - history of, 163-165
 - modeling state change, 172-180
 - multiple preconditions for, 185
 - relationship with preconditions and invariants, 193-195
 - scope of, 184-185
 - in test case design, 198
 - for individual operations versus use cases, 183-184
 - preconditions, 161-163
 - boundary condition failures, 180-182
 - calculating from postconditions, 165-172
 - history of, 163-165
 - modeling state change, 172-180
 - multiple preconditions for postconditions, 185
 - relationship with postconditions and invariants, 193-195
 - scope of, 184-185
 - in test case design, 198
 - for individual operations versus use cases, 183-184
 - weak versus strong preconditions, 185-186
 - prioritizing, 192
 - and test case design
 - Extended Use Case Test Design Pattern, 200-213
 - invariants, 198-200

Page numbers followed by *n* signify footnotes.

- postconditions, 198
- preconditions, 198
- modeling state change, 172-180
- modeling techniques, prioritizing (Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example), 58-59
- MTBF (Mean Time Between Failure), 128*n*
- MTTF (Mean Time To Failure), 128
 - setting failure intensity objectives, 133-136
- MTTR (Mean Time to Repair), 128*n*
- multiple preconditions for
 - postconditions, 185

N

- negative correlations between quality requirements, analyzing (Mega Motors example), 41-42
- negative correlations between use cases
 - analyzing, Mega Motors example (QFD overview), 32-34
 - resolving, Mega Motors example (QFD overview), 37-38
- non-functional requirements, prioritizing (Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example), 56-58. *See also* quality requirements

O

- oil and gas exploration, overview, 50-51
- one-by-one domain testing strategy, 210
- open defects, tracking, 138, 143
- operational profiles, 77
 - for critical use cases, 109-118
 - test coverage, tracking, 138, 141-152
 - for use case packages, 90
 - UML use case relationships, 92-101, 104

- usage examples, 104-109
- for use case scenarios, 78-79
 - decision graphs, 79-82
 - Pareto Principle, 82-85
 - usage examples, 85-89
- operational relation, development of, 203-208
- operational variables
 - domain definitions of, 202-203
 - identifying, 201-202
- optimization problems, 69
- order of magnitude estimates (severity of use case failures), 115

P

- packages of use cases, operational profiles for, 90
 - UML use case relationships, 92-101, 104
 - usage examples, 104-109
- Pareto Principle (80/20 rule), 82-85
 - setting failure intensity objectives, 136-138
- Pareto, Vilfredo, 82
- perceived reliability, 77
- petrophysics in oil and gas exploration, 51
- pipeline management, 242, 255
 - FTE models, 256
 - FTE run charts, 257-259, 277-282
- planning
 - iterations (distributed software development), 64-72
 - operational profile usage in, 85-89, 104-109
- portfolio management, 241-244
 - current allocation of projects, 246-247

Page numbers followed by *n* signify footnotes.

300 INDEX

- comparing target to actual
 - allocation, 254-255, 274-276
 - estimating effort needed for use cases, 251-253
 - inventory of projects, 247-249, 273-274
 - use case metadata needed, 250-251, 274
- pipeline management, 255
 - FTE models, 256
 - FTE run charts, 257-259, 277-282
- tracking portfolio status, 259-264, 283-285
- use cases in, 244-245
- postconditions, 161-163
 - boundary condition failures, 180-182
 - calculating preconditions from, 165-172
 - history of, 163-165
 - modeling state change, 172-180
 - multiple preconditions for, 185
 - relationship with preconditions and invariants, 193-195
 - scope of, 184-185
 - in test case design, 198
 - for individual operations versus use cases, 183-184
- preconditions, 161-163
 - boundary condition failures, 180-182
 - calculating from postconditions, 165-172
 - history of, 163-165
 - modeling state change, 172-180
 - multiple preconditions for postconditions, 185
 - relationship with postconditions and invariants, 193-195
 - scope of, 184-185
 - in test case design, 198
 - for individual operations versus use cases, 183-184
- scope of, 184-185
- in test case design, 198
- for individual operations versus use cases, 183-184
- weak versus strong preconditions, 185-186
- prioritizing
 - business drivers, Mega Motors example (QFD overview), 19-23
 - importance of, 11-12
 - model-based specification, 192
 - modeling techniques, Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example (cross-company decision making), 58-59
 - non-functional requirements, Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example (cross-company decision making), 56-58
 - quality requirements, Mega Motors example (QFD overview), 39-41
 - shared earth modeling
 - development kit, Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example (cross-company decision making), 59
 - use cases
 - Mega Motors example (QFD overview), 26-32
 - Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example (cross-company decision making), 54-56
- probabilities
 - include and extend use case usage, 98-103
 - of use case scenarios, calculating, 79-80

Page numbers followed by *n* signify footnotes.

- project portfolio management, 241-244
 - current allocation of projects, 246-247
 - comparing target to actual
 - allocation, 254-255, 274-276
 - estimating effort needed for use
 - cases, 251-253
 - inventory of projects, 247-249, 273-274
 - use case metadata needed, 250-251, 274
 - pipeline management, 255
 - FTE models, 256
 - FTE run charts, 257-259, 277-282
 - tracking portfolio status, 259-264, 283-285
 - use cases in, 244-245
 - projects, chaos of, 11
 - projects in oil and gas exploration, 270
 - pros and cons analysis, 20
 - prototyping business drivers, Mega Motors example (QFD overview), 18-19
- Q**
- QFD (Quality Function Deployment), 7
 - brainstorming, importance of, 29
 - in business drivers, 9-11
 - cross-company decision making (Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example), 50-60, 269-272
 - distributed development
 - synchronization (Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example), 61-72
 - high scores, restricting, 56
 - prioritization, importance of, 11-12
 - traceability in, 46
 - in use cases, 8-9
 - Mega Motors example (QFD overview), 12-47
 - QFD matrix
 - components of, 15-16
 - iterations (distributed software development), planning, 64-72
 - matching use cases to quality requirements, 35-37
 - matching use cases to vehicle components, 43-44
 - modeling techniques, prioritizing, 58-59
 - non-functional requirements, prioritizing, 56-58
 - prioritized business drivers, entering, 22-23
 - and sequence diagrams, 61-64
 - shared earth modeling development kit, prioritizing, 59
 - use cases
 - entering, 25-26
 - prioritizing, 54-56
 - QFD road map (Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example), 52-53
 - Quality Function Deployment. *See* QFD
 - quality requirements (Mega Motors example). *See also* non-functional requirements
 - analyzing correlations, 41-42
 - identifying, 38-39
 - matching to use cases, 35-37
 - prioritizing, 39-41
 - quantifiable, reliability as, 124-125

Page numbers followed by *n* signify footnotes.

302 INDEX

R

ratio of effort to percentage-point of use
for use cases, 107-109

relations, development of operational
relation, 203-208

relations, implemented as a table,
203-204

relationships between use cases,
92-101, 104

reliability
DDE (Defect Detection Effectiveness),
153-156
defined, 122-123
failure intensity, 126
failure intensity objective, setting,
129-138
reliability growth curves, 127-128
units of measurement, 128-129
hardware versus software reliability, 126
perceived reliability, 77
as quantifiable, 124-125
testing to demonstrate, 136
tracking, 138-139
dashboard layout, 139-141
progress over time, 152-153
test coverage, 141-152
as user-centric and dynamic, 123
reliability growth curves, 127-128
requirements attributes (metadata), 246
needed for project portfolio
management, 250-251, 274
requirements management tools
(ROI), 223
benefit to cost ratio, 237
benefits, 229-236
cost, 225-229
starting assumptions, 224-225
uncertainty in model, 238

requirements types, language gap
between, 6-7

requirements-related defects, savings
from reducing, 232-236

resource graphs, 258-259, 277

restricting high scores, 56

return on investment. *See* ROI

review and rigor, accounting for cost in
calculating ROI, 227-229

risk, calculating, 110-118

risk exposure
calculating, 116-117
defined, 110

ROI (return on investment), 221-222
calculating, 223
benefit to cost ratio, 237
benefits, 229-236
cost, 225-229
starting assumptions, 224-225
uncertainty in model, 238

run charts (FTE) through time (project
portfolio management), 257-259,
277-282

S

safety requirements (safety invariants),
168, 187-188ⁿ, 195

savings, calculating ROI, 229-236

scenarios, operational profiles for, 78-79
decision graphs, 79-81
Pareto Principle, 82-85
usage examples, 85-89

scope
of invariants, 188ⁿ
of preconditions and postconditions,
184-185

seismic surveys in oil and gas
exploration, 271

Page numbers followed by *n* signify footnotes.

- selecting test points, 209-211
 - sequence diagrams and QFD matrix, 61-64
 - set comprehension, 206*n*
 - set enumeration, 206*n*
 - severity of failure
 - calculating risk, 114-116
 - order of magnitude estimates, 115
 - setting failure intensity objectives, 131-133
 - shared earth modeling development kit (Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example)
 - prioritizing, 59
 - selecting, 51-52
 - software reliability
 - DDE (Defect Detection Effectiveness), 153-156
 - defined, 122-123
 - failure intensity, 126
 - failure intensity objective, setting, 129-138
 - reliability growth curves, 127-128
 - units of measurement, 128-129
 - hardware versus software
 - reliability, 126
 - perceived reliability, 77
 - as quantifiable, 124-125
 - testing to demonstrate, 136
 - tracking, 138-139
 - dashboard layout, 139-141
 - progress over time, 152-153
 - test coverage, 141-152
 - as user-centric and dynamic, 123
 - spreadsheet matrix, decision graph as, 81
 - staff churn, savings from avoiding, 230-231
 - staff efficiency, savings from, 229-230
 - starting assumptions, calculating ROI, 224-225
 - state invariants, 188
 - state variables
 - domain definition, 181, 188
 - in model-based specification, 174
 - modeling state change, 172-180
 - status of portfolio, tracking, 259-264, 283-285
 - stopping testing, 138-139
 - dashboard layout, 139-141
 - progress over time, 152-153
 - test coverage, 141-152
 - stories (XP), estimating effort, 252
 - storyboarding, 13
 - stratigraphic columns in oil and gas exploration, 270
 - strong preconditions versus weak preconditions, 182, 185-186
 - Swamp Report (tracking reliability), 138-139
 - initializing, 142-145
 - layout of, 139-141
 - progress over time, 152-153
 - test coverage tracking, 141-152
 - updating, 145-152
 - synchronizing distributed development (Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example), 61-72
 - system requirements, 6
- T**
- target allocation of projects, comparing to actual allocation (project portfolio management), 254-255, 274-276

Page numbers followed by *n* signify footnotes.

304 INDEX

- test case design
 - Extended Use Case Test Design
 - Pattern, 200
 - building test cases, 209-213
 - domain definitions of operational variables, 202-203
 - operational relation development, 203-208
 - operational variables, identifying, 201-202
 - invariants, 198-200
 - postconditions, 198
 - preconditions, 198
- test coverage, tracking, 138, 141-152
- test points, 198
 - selecting, 209-211
 - usage in test cases, 211-213
- tester days, defined, 127*n*
- testing. *See also* reliability
 - to demonstrate reliability, 136
 - effectiveness of, 153-156
 - invariants
 - boundary condition failures, 180-182
 - calculating preconditions from postconditions, 167-172
 - global invariants, 187-189, 199
 - local invariants, 187, 190-191
 - modeling state change, 172-180
 - relationship with preconditions and postconditions, 193-195
 - scope of, 188*n*
 - in test case design, 198-200
 - types of, 187
 - postconditions, 161-163
 - boundary condition failures, 180-182
 - calculating preconditions from, 165-172
 - history of, 163-165
 - modeling state change, 172-180
 - multiple preconditions for, 185
 - relationship with preconditions and invariants, 193-195
 - scope of, 184-185
 - in test case design, 198
 - for individual operations versus use cases, 183-184
 - preconditions, 161-163
 - boundary condition failures, 180-182
 - calculating from postconditions, 165-172
 - history of, 163-165
 - modeling state change, 172-180
 - multiple preconditions for postconditions, 185
 - relationship with postconditions and invariants, 193-195
 - scope of, 184-185
 - in test case design, 198
 - for individual operations versus use cases, 183-184
 - weak versus strong preconditions, 185-186
 - when to stop, 138-139
 - dashboard layout, 139-141
 - progress over time, 152-153
 - test coverage, 141-152
- thrashing, similarity of projects to CPU thrashing, 242
- time-boxing planning strategy, 85
 - operational profile usage example, 86-87, 104-105

Page numbers followed by *n* signify footnotes.

- tool and process rollout, accounting for
 - cost in calculating ROI, 226
 - tool use overhead, accounting for cost in
 - calculating ROI, 226-227
 - traceability in QFD, 46
 - tracking
 - portfolio status, 259-264, 283-285
 - reliability, 138-139
 - dashboard layout, 139-141
 - progress over time, 152-153
 - test coverage, 141-152
 - training, accounting for cost in calculating ROI, 226
 - transition constraints, 190-191
- U**
- UML use case relationships, 92-101, 104
 - uncertainty in model, calculating
 - ROI, 238
 - Unified Software Development
 - Process, 121*n*
 - units of measurement for failure
 - intensity, 128-129
 - unnecessary development, savings from
 - avoiding, 231
 - updating dashboard (tracking reliability),
 - 145-152
 - use case packages, operational profiles
 - for, 90
 - UML use case relationships,
 - 92-101, 104
 - usage examples, 104-109
 - use case points (estimating effort), 252
 - use case scenarios, operational profiles
 - for, 78-79
 - decision graphs, 79-81
 - Pareto Principle, 82-85
 - usage examples, 85-89
 - use cases
 - analyzing correlations, Mega Motors
 - example (QFD overview), 32-34
 - critical use cases, operational profiles
 - for, 109-118
 - estimating effort needed (project
 - portfolio management), 251-253
 - identifying, Mega Motors example
 - (QFD overview), 23-26
 - importance of, 7
 - matching to quality requirements,
 - Mega Motors example (QFD
 - overview), 35-37
 - matching to vehicle components,
 - Mega Motors example (QFD
 - overview), 43-44
 - metadata, 246
 - needed for project portfolio
 - management, 250-251, 274
 - in Oil & Gas Exploration Systems
 - example (cross-company
 - decision making), 269-272
 - preconditions and postconditions
 - versus for individual operations,
 - 183-184
 - prioritizing
 - Mega Motors example (QFD
 - overview), 26-32
 - Oil & Gas Exploration Systems
 - example (cross-company
 - decision making), 54-56
 - in project portfolio management,
 - 244-245
 - QFD in, 8-9
 - Mega Motors example (QFD
 - overview), 12-47
 - ratio of effort to percentage-point of
 - use, 107-109

Page numbers followed by *n* signify footnotes.

306 INDEX

- relationship to non-functional requirements, Oil & Gas Exploration Systems example (cross-company decision making), 57
- resolving negative correlations, Mega Motors example (QFD overview), 37-38
- tracking portfolio status, 259-264, 283-285
- user requirements, 6
- user-centric, reliability as, 123
- users versus customers, 6*n*

V

- validity. *See* invariants
- variables
 - operational variables
 - domain definitions of, 202-203
 - identifying, 201-202
 - state variables
 - domain definition, 181, 188
 - in model-based specification, 174
 - modeling state change, 172-180
- vehicle components, matching to use cases (Mega Motors example), 43-44

W-Z

- WAG method (estimating effort), 252
- weak preconditions versus strong preconditions, 182, 185-186
- well-logging in oil and gas exploration, 51, 270
- wells in oil and gas exploration, 270
- Wideband Delphi, 19-21, 238, 253
- Wieggers, Karl, 6
- XP stories, estimating effort, 252

Page numbers followed by *n* signify footnotes.

